An Alternative Approach to Solve Multi-objective Fuzzy Non-linear Programming Problems

¹Sk. Sakeb. Ali, ²Dwiti Krushna Behera*, and ³Rajani Ballav Dash

Author Affiliation:

- 1-3Department of Mathematics, Ravenshaw University, Cuttack-753003, Odisha, India
- ¹sksakeb81@gmail.com, ²dkb_math@ravenshawuniversity.ac.in, ³rajani_bdash@rediffmail.com

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Dwiti Krushna Behera, Assistant Professor (Stage-III), Department of Mathematics, Ravenshaw University, Cuttack-753003, Odisha, India E-mail: dwiti78@gmail.com

Received on 27.08.2024, Revised on 22.10.2024, Accepted on 26.11.2024

ABSTRACT

An alternative way of solving multi-objective fuzzy non-linear programming problems (MOFNLPP) is presented. This method reduces the MOFNLPP to crisp without using ranking function. The resulting multi-objective non-linear programming problem (MONLPP) is reduced to a single objective non-linear programming problem using Zimmerman's technique. At last the single objective NLPP is solved by convex separable programming method.

Keywords: Multi-objective fuzzy non-linear programming problem (MOFNLPP), Multi-objective non-linear programming problems (MONLPP), Convex separable programming, Fuzzy numbers.

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: 90C29, 90C30

How to cite this article: Sakeb. Ali Sk., Behera D.K., and Dash R.B. (2024). An Alternative Approach to Solve Multi-objective Fuzzy Non-linear Programming Problems. *Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences-Math & Stat.*, 43E (2), 138-147.

1. Introduction

To deal with the real life problems having imprecise data, we take the help of fuzzy-linear programming models. Tanaka et.al [1] first developed the theory of fuzzy programming. Zimmerman [2] provided a solution of fuzzy linear programming Problems (FLPP). Maleki [4] solved FLPP using ranking functions. Zhang et.al. [5] evolved a technique to reduce a FLPP into a Multi- objective linear programming problem having four objective functions. Thakre P.A.et.al. [6] solved similar type of problem using weights. R.B. Dash and P.D.P. Dash [7] solved fuzzy integer programming problem using Thakre's Technique.

In more complex situation we accept non-linear models rather than linear ones. Therefore, not much work has been done in the area of non-linear programming. In 1951 HW Kuhn and A.W. Tucker [8] first presented a paper on non-linear programming. C.A. Hildreth (1957) [9], P.Wolfe (1959)[10], R. Fletcher (1971)[11] work on quadratic programming algorithm.

F.M. Ali [12] first attempted to solve fuzzy non-linear programming problems. Then Nasseri S.H. [13], P.Raj and Ranjana[14], C. Loganathan and M. Laliltha [15] suggested different methods for solving fuzzy non-linear programming problems.

PDP Dash and R.B. Dash [16] used ranking function approach to solve MOFNLPP. Recently many authors, K.P. Gadle and T.S. Pawar [17], D.Rani and T.R. Gulati [18], S.K. Sing and S.P. Yadav [19], Priyadarshini et.al [20] produced papers based on solution of multi-objective non-linear optimization problems in Fuzzy environment.

Recently Sk.S.Ali et. al. [21] solved a multi objective fuzzy linear programming problem without using ranking function.

In this paper, a novel method of solving MOFNLPP is presented. The MOFNLPP is converted to a set of crisp MOFLPPS without taking the help of ranking function. Each member of the set is solved without using weighted combination method. Then utilizing the results a non-linear programming problem is derived using Zimmerman's fuzzy programming technique [3]. At last the resulting NLPP is solved using separable programming technique [22].

2. Preliminaries:

Fuzzy number:

A fuzzy number is a representation of a quantity with arrange of possible values, rather than a single value. Fuzzy numbers have been introduced by Zadeh [23] and Sakawa [24] in order to deal with imprecise numerical quantities in a practical way. We define this as follows.

Definition 1 A number ā whose membership functions in general is defined as follows is called a fuzzy number.

$$\mu_{\tilde{a}}(x) = \begin{cases} \mu_{\tilde{a}}^L(x) & a_1 \le x \le a_2 \\ 1 & a_2 \le x \le a_3 \\ \mu_{\tilde{a}}^R(x) & a_3 \le x \le a_4 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

where
$$\mu_{\tilde{a}}^L: [a_1, a_2] \rightarrow [0,1]$$

$$\mu_{\tilde{a}}^R \colon [a_3,a_4] \to [0,1]$$

are strictly monotonic and continuous functions.

Definition 2 (Trapezoidal Fuzzy Number)

A fuzzy number $\tilde{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ having following membership function is called Trapezoidal fuzzy number.

$$\mu_{\tilde{a}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & for & x < a_1 \\ \frac{x - a_1}{a_2 - a_1} & for & a_1 \le x < a_2 \\ 1 & for & a_2 \le x \le a_3 \\ \frac{a_4 - x}{a_4 - a_3} & for & a_3 \le x \le a_4 \\ 0 & for & x > a_4 \end{cases}$$

Definition 3 (Triangular Fuzzy Number)

A fuzzy number $\tilde{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ associated with following membership function is called Triangular fuzzy number.

$$\mu_{\tilde{a}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x - a_1}{a_2 - a_1} & for & a_1 \le x \le a_2\\ 1 & for & x = a_2\\ \frac{x - a_1}{a_2 - a_1} & for & a_2 \le x \le a_3\\ 0 & \end{cases}$$

Definition 4 (Optimal Solution)

 X^* is called a complete optimal solution for (2.1) of $\exists x^* \in X$ such that

$$f_i(x^*) \ge f_i(x), i = 1, 2, ..., K, \forall x \in X$$

Definition 5 (Pareto-optimal Solution)

An optimal solution X* of a multi-objective decision making is said to be Pareto-optimal solution of any change in X* cannot improve optimality of some objectives at the cost of other objectives.

It is a compromise optimal solution for the set of objective functions of Multi-objective optimization problem.

2.1 General Multi-objective Non-linear Programming

The problem to optimize multiple conflicting non-linear objective functions simultaneously under given constraints is called multi-objective non-linear programming problem (MONLPP). The formulation of this problem is to

maximize
$$f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), ... f_k(x))^T$$

Subject to

$$x \in X = \{x \in R^n | g_j(x) \le 0, j = 1, 2, ..., m\}$$
 (2.1)

where $f_1(x), f_2(x), ..., f_k(x)$ are k distinct non-linear objective functions of decision variables and x is the set of constrained decision.

3. Fuzzy Non-linear Programming Problem

We consider the following fuzzy non-linear programming problem (FNLPP) in which the cost of the decision variables as well as the co-efficient matrix of the constraints are fuzzy in nature.

$$f(x) = \langle \tilde{c}, x \rangle = Max\tilde{Z} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{C}_{j} x_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}$$
(3.1)

subject to the constraints

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{a}_{ii} x_i \leq \tilde{b}_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad x_i \geq 0$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{a}_{ij} \ x_{j} \leq \tilde{b}_{i}, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad x_{j} \geq 0$$
 Where $\tilde{C}_{j} = (\alpha_{j}, \ \beta_{j}, \ \gamma_{j}, \ \delta_{j}), \quad j = 1, \ 2, ..., n.$ and $\tilde{a}_{ij} = (a_{ij}^{1}, \ a_{ij}^{2}, \ a_{ij}^{3}), \ \tilde{b}_{ij} = (b_{i}^{1}, \ b_{i}^{2}, \ b_{i}^{3})$

The above problem can be rewritten as

$$f(x) = \max \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{C}_{j} x_{j}^{\alpha j}$$

such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_{ij}^{1}, a_{ij}^{2}, a_{ij}^{3}) x_{i} \leq (b_{i}^{1}, b_{i}^{2}, b_{i}^{3}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n$$

Using the transformation adopted in the paper Thakre et. al. [6], the problem is further reduced to

$$f(x) = \max \sum \tilde{C}_j \ x_i^{\alpha j} = \max \sum (\alpha_j, \ \beta_j, \ \gamma_j, \delta_j) \ x_i^{\alpha j}$$

Such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^{1} x_{j} \leq b_{i}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(a_{ij}^{1} - a_{ij}^{2} \right) x_{j} \leq b_{i}^{1} - b_{i}^{2}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(a_{ij}^{1} + a_{ij}^{3} \right) x_{j} \leq b_{i}^{1} + b_{i}^{3}, \quad x_{j} \geq 0$$
(3.2)

Definition 6 A point $x^* \in X$ is said to be an optimal solution to a FLPP/FNLPP if $\langle \tilde{C}, x^* \rangle \ge \langle \tilde{C}, x \rangle$ for all $x \in X$.

4. Reduction to Multi-objective Non-linear Programming Problem

The fuzzy objective function of (3.2) breads four crisp objective functions such as

$$f_1(x) = \sum_{j} \alpha_j x_j^{\alpha j}$$

$$f_2(x) = \sum_{j} \beta_j x_j^{\alpha j}$$

$$f_3(x) = \sum_{j} \gamma_j x_j^{\alpha j}$$
and
$$f_4(x) = \sum_{j} \delta_j x_j^{\alpha j}$$

Ultimately the FNLPP (3.2) reduces to a crisp multi-objective linear programming problem as follows: $\max_{x \in \mathcal{F}_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x), f_4(x)} \{f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x), f_4(x)\}$ (4.1)

subject to the constraints of (3.2), where $f_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$.

This crsip multi-objective function could have been solved reducing to weighted single objective function such as

$$Max \{w_1f_1 + w_2f_2 + w_3f_3 + w_4f_4\}$$

subject to the constraints of (3.2).

But in this paper, we solve (4.1) using Zimmerman's fuzzy technique.

5. Solving Multi-objective Fuzzy Non-linear Programming Problem(MOFNLPP)

A fuzzy multi-objective non-linear programming problem is defined as follows.

$$Max \ \widetilde{Z_r} = \sum_j \widetilde{C_{rj}} x_j^{rj} \qquad r = 1, 2, ... q$$
 (5.1)

such that

$$\sum_{j} \widetilde{a_{ij}} x_{j} \leq \widetilde{b_{i}}, \ j = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$x_{j} \geq 0$$

$$Where \qquad \widetilde{a_{ij}} = (a_{ij}^{1}, a_{ij}^{2}, a_{ij}^{3}, a_{ij}^{4})$$

$$\widetilde{C_{rj}} = (c_{rj}^{1}, c_{rj}^{2}, c_{rj}^{3}, c_{rj}^{4})$$

The method of solution:

Step-1 Reduce for each r, \tilde{Z}_r to a set of four crisp objective functions.

$$r = 1, 2, ..., q$$

Step-2 Reduce the constraints of (5.1) to the form as described in section-3.

Step-3 Ultimately we get a crisp multi-objective function having 4q objectives subject to the constraints of the step-2.

Step-4 The crisp MONLPP is reduced to single objective non-linear programming problem by Zimmerman's technique and convex separable programming technique.

Step-5 The resulting NLPP is solved using convex separable programming method.

6 Numerical Example

 $\begin{aligned} Max: & \widetilde{z_1}(x) = \widetilde{2}x_1 + \widetilde{3}x_2 - \widetilde{2}x_1^2 \\ Max: & \widetilde{z_2}(x) = \widetilde{3}x_1 + \widetilde{4}x_2 - \widetilde{5}x_1^2 \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$ $\tilde{1}x_1 + \tilde{4}x_2 \leq \tilde{4}$ $\tilde{1}x_1 + \tilde{1}x_2 \leq \tilde{2}$ $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$ $\tilde{2} = (2, 2.1, 2.2, 2.5)$

where

such that

 $\tilde{3} = (2.1, 2.3, 3.3, 3.7)$

 $\tilde{2} = (1.1, 1.3, 2.3, 2.7)$

 $\tilde{3} = (2.2, 2.5, 3.3, 3.4)$

 $\tilde{4} = (3.1, 3.4, 4.2, 4.7)$

 $\tilde{5} = (4.2, 4.4, 5.2, 5.6)$

 $\tilde{1} = (0.7, 0.9, 1)$

 $\tilde{4} = (3.1, 4.0, 4.3)$

 $\tilde{1} = (0.6, 0.9, 1)$

 $\tilde{1} = (0.5, 0.8, 1.2)$

 $\tilde{4} = (3.2, 3.4, 4)$

 $\tilde{2} = (1.7, 1.9, 2.1)$

Now the problem is converted into a crisp multi-objective non-linear programming problem as follows

$$Max: z_{11} = 3x_1 + 3.3x_2 - 1.8x_1^2$$

$$Max: z_{12} = 3.2x_1 + 3.4x_2 - 1.9x_1^2$$

$$Max: z_{13} = 3.3x_1 + 3.8x_2 - 2.4x_1^2$$

$$Max: z_{14} = 3.7x_1 + 3.9x_2 - 2.7x_1^2$$

$$Max: z_{21} = 3.4x_1 + 3.3x_2 - 4.4x_1^2$$

$$Max: z_{22} = 3.6x_1 + 3.5x_2 - 4.6x_1^2$$

$$Max: z_{23} = 3.9x_1 + 4.3x_2 - 5.3x_1^2$$

 $Max: z_{24} = 4.6x_1 + 4.9x_2 - 5.8x_1^2$ subject to

 $1.9x_1 + 3.8x_2 \le 3.8$

 $0.9x_1 + 0.8x_2 \le 0.8$

 $4.1x_1 + 8.6x_2 \le 8$

 $1.8x_1 + 1.7x_2 \le 1.8\tag{6.2}$

 $0.8x_1 + 0.7x_2 \le 0.8$

 $4x_1 + 4.1x_2 \le 4.2$

Solving $Max: z_{11} = 3x_1 + 3.3x_2 - 1.8x_1^2$, subject to (6.2) by using convex separable method, we get $x_1 = 0.982, x_2 = 0.994, z_{11} = 4.490$

Solving $Max: z_{12} = 3.2x_1 + 3.4x_2 - 1.9x_1^2$, subject to (6.2) by using convex separable method, we get $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0.9302, z_{12} = 3.163$

Solving $Max: z_{13} = 3.3x_1 + 3.8x_2 - 2.4x_1^2$, subject to (6.2) by using convex separable method, we get $x_1 = 0.987, x_2 = 0.9302, z_{13} = 4.454$

Solving $Max: z_{14} = 3.7x_1 + 3.9x_2 - 2.7x_1^2$, subject to (6.2) by using convex separable method, we get $x_1 = 0.966, x_2 = 0.9301, z_{14} = 4.682$

Solving $Max: z_{21} = 3.4x_1 + 3.3x_2 - 4.4x_1^2$, subject to (6.2) by using convex separable method, we get $x_1 = 0.0123, x_2 = 0.9302, z_{21} = 3.11$

Solving $Max: z_{22} = 3.6x_1 + 3.5x_2 - 4.6x_1^2$, subject to (6.2) by using convex separable method, we get $x_1 = 0.0021, x_2 = 0.9302, z_{22} = 3.263$

Solving $Max: z_{23} = 3.9x_1 + 4.3x_2 - 5.3x_1^2$, subject to (6.2) by using convex separable method, we get $x_1 = 0.999, x_2 = 0.93, z_{23} = 2.605$

Solving $Max: z_{24} = 4.6x_1 + 4.9x_2 - 5.8x_1^2$, subject to (6.2) by using convex separable method, we get $x_1 = 0.987, x_2 = 0.9433, z_{24} = 1.011$

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Z ₁₁	4.490	4.689	4.703	4.906 U ₁	$2.375 L_1$	2.578	2.993	3.794
Z ₁₂	3.534	$3.163 L_2$	3.535	3.628	3.069	3.256	3.999 U ₂	4.558
Z ₁₃	4.277	4.47	4.454	4.649 U ₃	$2.139 L_3$	2.328	2.686	3.448
Z ₁₄	4.288	4.480	4.483	4.682 U ₄	2.248 L ₄	2.440	2.821	3.588
Z ₂₁	3.106 L ₅	3.201	3.575	3.673	3.11	3.299	4.047	4.614 U ₅
Z ₂₂	3.076 L ₆	3.169	3.541	3.636	3.077	3.263	4.008	4.567 U ₆
Z ₂₃	4.269	4.463	4.435	4.628 U ₇	2.074 L_7	2.260	2.605	3.363
Z ₂₄	4.320	4.514	4.503	4.700 U ₈	2.182	2.373	2.742	$1.011 L_{8}$

Utilising $U_i, L_i, i = 1, 2, ..., 8$ from the above table and adopting Zimmerman's procedure we have, $min\lambda$: such that

$$3x_1 + 3.3x_2 - 0.1125a_{11} - 0.45a_{12} - 1.0125a_{13} - 1.8a_{14} + 2.531\lambda \ge 4.906$$

$$3.2x_1 + 3.4x_2 - 0.1187a_{11} - 0.475a_{12} - 1.0687a_{13} - 1.9a_{14} + 0.836\lambda \ge 3.999$$

$$3.3x_1 + 3.8x_2 - 0.15a_{11} - 0.6a_{12} - 1.35a_{13} - 2.4a_{14} + 2.51\lambda \ge 4.649$$

$$3.7x_1 + 3.9x_2 - 0.168a_{11} - 0.675a_{12} - 1.5187a_{13} - 2.7a_{14} + 2.434\lambda \ge 4.682$$

$$3.4x_1 + 3.3x_2 - 0.275a_{11} - 1.15a_{12} - 2.475a_{13} - 4.4a_{14} + 1.508\lambda \ge 4.614$$

$$3.6x_1 + 3.5x_2 - 0.2875a_{11} - 1.15a_{12} - 2.5875a_{13} - 4.6a_{14} + 1.491\lambda \ge 4.567$$

$$3.9x_1 + 44.3x_2 - 0.3312a_{11} - 0.1325a_{12} - 2.9812a_{13} - 5.3a_{14} + 2.55\lambda \ge 4.628$$

$$4.6x_1 + 4.9x_2 - 0.3625a_{11} - 1.45a_{12} - 3.2625a_{13} - 5.8a_{14} + 3.689\lambda \ge 4.7$$

$$1.9x_1 + 3.8x_2 \le 3.8$$

$$0.9x_1 + 0.8x_2 \le 0.8$$

$$4.1x_1 + 8.6x_2 \le 8$$

$$1.8x_1 + 1.7x_2 \le 1.8$$

$$0.8x_1 + 0.7x_2 \le 0.8$$

$$4x_1 + 4.1x_2 \le 4.2$$

$$a_{10} + a_{11} + a_{12} + a_{13} + a_{14} = 1$$

$$x_1+x_2\geq 0$$

Now solving by two-phase method the optimal solution of the above problem is

$$x_1^* = 0.1076$$

$$\kappa_2^* = 0.8789$$

 $\chi_2^* = 0.8789$ Now the optimal values of the objective function of MOFNLPP (??) we get

$$z_1^* = \tilde{2}x_1^* + \tilde{3}x_2^* - \tilde{2}x_1^*2$$

$$= (2,2.1,2.2,2.5)(0.1076) + (2.1,2.3,3.3,3.7)(0.8789) - (1.1,1.3,2.3,2.7)(0.1076)^{2}$$

= (0.2152, 0.2259, 0.2367, 0.269) + (1.8456, 2.0214, 2.9003, 3.2519) - (0.0127, 0.0150, 0.0266, 0.0312)

$$= (2.0481, 2.2323, 3.1104, 3.4897)$$

$$z_2^* = \tilde{3}x_1^* + \tilde{4}x_2^* - \tilde{5}x_1^*2$$

$$= (2.2,2.5,3.3,3.4)(0.1076) + (3.1,3.4,4.2,4.7)(0.8789) - (4.2,4.4,5.2,5.6)(0.1076)^{2}$$

= (0.2367, 0.269, 0.3550, 0.3658) + (2.7245, 2.9882, 3.6913, 4.1308) - (0.0486, 0.0509, 0.0602, 0.0648)

$$= (2.9126, 3.2063, 3.9861, 4.4318)$$

$$\mu_{\widetilde{z_1}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < a \\ \frac{x-a}{b-a} & a < x \le b \\ 1 & b < x \le c \\ \frac{d-x}{d-c} & c < x \le d \\ 0 & x > d \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_{\widetilde{z_1}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 2.0481 \\ \frac{x - 2.0481}{0.1842} & 2.0481 < x \le 2.2323 \\ 1 & 2.2323 < x \le 3.1104 \\ \frac{3.4897 - x}{0.3793} & 3.1104 < x \le 3.4897 \\ 0 & x > 3.48497 \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_{\widetilde{z_2}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 2.9126 \\ \frac{x - 2.9126}{0.2937} & 2.9126 < x \le 3.2063 \\ 1 & 3.2063 < x \le 3.9861 \\ \frac{4.4318 - x}{0.4457} & 3.9861 < x \le 4.4318 \\ 0 & x > 4.4318 \end{cases}$$

7. Conclusion

An alternative way of solving MOFNLPP is presented in this paper. A numerical verification shows the results are very close to those obtained by other methods using ranking function [16]. This method may be adopted for MOFNLPP in intuitionistic fuzzy environment.

REFERENCES

- **1.** Tanaka, H., Okuda, T., and Asai, K. (1973): On fuzzy mathematical programming, Journal of Cybernetics, Vol. 3(4), pp 37-46.
- **2.** Zimmerman, H.J. (1976): Description and optimization of fuzzy-systems, International Journal of General Systems, Vol.214, pp 209-215.
- **3.** Zimmerman, H.J.(1978): Fuzzy programming and linear programming with several objective functions, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol.I, pp 45-55.
- **4.** Maleki, H.R. (2002): Ranking functions and their applications to fuzzy linear Programming, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Vol.43, pp 183-301.
- **5.** G. Zhang, Yong-Hong Wu, M. Remias and Jie Lu (2003): Formulation of fuzzy linear programming problems as four objective constrained optimization problems, Applied Mathematics and Computation. Vol.139(2-3), pp 383-399.
- **6.** Thakre, P. A., Shelar, D. S. and Thakre, S. P. (2009): Solving fuzzy linear programming problem as multi-objective linear programming problem, Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering, London, Vol.2, pp 978-988.
- 7. Dash,R.B. and Dash, P.D.P. (2012): Solving fuzzy integer programming problem as Multi-objective integer programming problem, International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics and Systems, Vol.2, No.3, pp 307-314.
- **8.** Kuhn, H.W. and Tucker, A.W. (1951): Non-linear programming, Proceedings of the Second Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, pp. 481-492.
- 9. Hildreth, C.A. (1957): A quadratic programming procedure, Nav. Res. Log. Quart., Vol. 14, pp 79-85.
- 10. Wolfe, P. (1959): Simplex method for quadratic programming, Econometrica, Vol. 27, pp 382-398.
- **11.** Fletcher, R. (1971): A general quadratic programming algorithm, J. Inst. Maths. Applications, Vol.7, pp 76-91.
- **12.** Ali, F.M. (1998): A differential equation approach to fuzzy non-linear programming Problems, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol. 93(1), pp 57-61.
- **13.** Nasseri, S.H. (2008): Fuzzy non-linear optimization, The Journal of Non-linear Science and Applications, Vol.1, No.4, pp 230-235.
- **14.** Raj,P. and Ranjana (2021): Fuzzy non-linear programming problems with linear inequality constraints and its solution, Mathematical Statistician and Engineering Applications, Vol. 70(2), pp 297-308.
- **15.** Loganathan, C. and Lalitha, M. (2017): Solving fully fuzzy nonlinear programming with inequality constraints, International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology, Vol.8, pp 354-362.
- **16.** Dash, P.D.P and Dash, R.B. (2013): Solving fuzzy multi-objective nonlinear programming problem using fuzzy programming technique, Int. J. Engg Sci and Innov Tech, Vol 2(4), pp 137-142.
- **17.** Ghadle, K.P. and Pawar, T.S. (2015): New approach for Wolfe's modified Simplex method to solve quadratic programming problems, International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology. Vol. 04, Pp 371-376.
- **18.** Rani, D. & Gulati, T. and Garg, H. (2016): Multi-objective non-linear programming problem in intuitionistic fuzzy environment: Optimistic and pessimistic view point, Expert Systems with Applications, Vol. 64, pp 228-238.
- **19.** Singh, Sujeet and Yadav, Shiv. (2015): Modeling and optimization of multi-objective non-linear programming problem in Intuitionistic fuzzy environment, Applied Mathematical Modelling, Vol.39(16), pp 4617-4629.
- **20.** Rath, P. and Dash, R. B. (2017): Solution of fuzzy multi-objective non-linear programming problems using fuzzy programming techniques based on exponential membership functions, Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 36, No. 2, pp 133-142.
- **21.** Ali, Sk.S., Behera, D. K. and Dash, R.B. (2024): On a solution of multi-objective fuzzy linear programming problems, Bulletin Cal. Math. Soc., Vol. 116(6), pp. 583-596.
- **22.** Swarup Kanti , Gupta, P.K. and Man Mohan(2014): Introduction to management science, Operations Research, 20th Edition, Sultan Chand and Sons, New Delhi.

- 23. Zadeh L.A. (1965): Fuzzy sets, Inf Control, Vol. 8, pp 338-353
- **24.** Sakawa, M., & Yano, H. (1985): Interactive fuzzy decision-making for multi-objective nonlinear programming using reference membership intervals, International Journal of Man-machine Studies, Vol. 23(4), pp 407-421.