

Bull. Pure Appl. Sci. Sect. E Math. Stat. 43E(1), 32-36 (2024) e-ISSN:2320-3226, Print ISSN:0970-6577 DOI: https://doi.org/10.52710/bpas-math.4 @BPAS PUBLICATIONS, Delhi, India. 2024

Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences Section - E - Mathematics & Statistics

Website: https://www.bpasjournals.com/

Fixed points in binary relation ordered dislocated quasi-metric spaces *

M. A. Ahmed¹, A. Kamal^{2,†} and H. A. Nafadi³

- 1. Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Majmaah University, Al-Zulfi, Saudi Arabia.
 - $1. \ \ Department \ of \ Mathematics, \ Faculty \ of \ Science, \ Assiut \ University, \ Assiut \ 71516, \ Egypt.$
 - 2,3. Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science,

Port Said University, Port Said 42521, Egypt.

2. Department of Mathematics, College of Science,

Qassim University, Buraydah 51452, Saudi Arabia.

1. E-mail: moh.hassan@mu.edu.sa

2. E-mail: $_{\bowtie}$ alaa_kamal@sci.psu.edu.eg , $_{\bowtie}$ ak.ahmed@qu.edu.sa

3. E-mail: hatem9007@yahoo.com

Abstract In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorems in binary relation ordered dislocated quasi-metric spaces. These theorems generalize some previous results (see, J. J. Neito, and R. Rodriguez-Lopez, Contractive mappings theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, Order, Vol. 22(2005), 223–239, 2005).

Key words Complete dislocated quasi-metric, contraction, fixed point.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification 47H10, 54H25.

1 Introduction

The study of fixed point theorems in partial ordered metric spaces was first investigated in 2004 by Ran and Reurings [5], and then by Nieto and Lopez [4]. Also, this study in dislocated metric spaces was under the name of metric domains in the context of domain theory [3]. In [7], Zeyada, Hassan and Ahmed generalized a result of Hizler and Seda [2] to dislocated quasi-metric spaces. Fixed point theory in partially ordered metric spaces has applications in the area of differential and matrix equations (see, e.g. [4,5]). Also, this theory in dislocated metric spaces has application in logic programming semantics (see, for example, [1]).

Throughout this paper, let X be a non-empty set and let $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ be a function, called a distance function. We need the following conditions:

$$(M_1) \ d(x,x) = 0,$$

$$(M_2)$$
 if $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0$, then $x = y$,

^{*} Communicated, edited and typeset in Latex by *Lalit Mohan Upadhyaya* (Editor-in-Chief). Received November 16, 2023 / Revised March 19, 2024 / Accepted March 27, 2024. Online First Published on June 15, 2024 at https://www.bpasjournals.com/.

[†]Corresponding author A. Kamal, E-mail: alaa_kamal@sci.psu.edu.eg, ak.ahmed@qu.edu.sa

$$(M_3) d(x,y) = d(y,x),$$

$$(M_4) \ d(x,y) \le d(x,z) + d(z,y), \text{ for all } x,y,z \in X.$$

If d satisfies conditions (M_1) - (M_4) , then it is called a **metric** on X. If d satisfies conditions (M_1) , (M_2) and (M_4) , it is called a **quasi-metric** on X. If d satisfies conditions (M_2) and (M_4) , it is called a **dislocated metric** (or simply d-metric) on X respectively.

Definition 1.1. A poset (X, \preceq) (partially ordered set) is a nonempty set X and a binary relation \preceq on X such that for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (1) $x \leq x$ (reflexivity),
- (2) if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$, then x = y (antisymmetry),
- (3) if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$, then $x \leq z$ (transitivity).

Two elements $x, y \in X$ are called comparable elements if either $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$.

Definition 1.2. [4] Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set. It is said that $f: X \to X$ is monotone nondecreasing if $x, y \in X, x \preceq y \Longrightarrow f(x) \preceq f(y)$.

Definition 1.3. [7] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a dq-metric space (X,d) is called **dislocated quasi** - **convergent** (for short **dq- convergent**) if there exists a point $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n,x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x,x_n) = 0$.

In this case, x is called a dq-limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$.

Definition 1.4. [7] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a dq-metric space (X, d) is called **Cauchy** if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall m.n \geq n_0, d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$ or $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$, where \mathbb{N} is the set of all positive integers.

Replacing $d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$ and $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ in Definition 1.3 by $\max\{d(x_m, x_n), d(x_n, x_m)\} < \epsilon$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a dq-metric space (X, d) is called 'bi' Cauchy (see [6]).

Definition 1.5. [7] A dq-metric space (X, d) is called **complete** if every Cauchy sequence in it is dq-convergent.

Lemma 1.6. [7] Every subsequence of dq-convergent sequence to a point x_0 is dq-convergent to x_0 .

It is obvious that the converse of Lemma 1.6 may not be true.

Lemma 1.7. [7] dq-limits in a dq-metric space are unique.

In 2005, Nieto and Lopez [4] established a fixed point theorem in partially ordered complete metric spaces, stated below as Theorem 1.8, which analogues Banach's fixed point theorem.

Theorem 1.8. [4] Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $f: X \to X$ be a continuous and monotone nondecreasing mapping such that there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ with

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \le \alpha d(x, y) \quad \forall y \le x. \tag{*}$$

If there exists $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \leq f(x_0)$, then f has a unique fixed point. Also, the authors [4] stated and proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.9. [4] Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Assume that X satisfies

if a nondecreasing sequence
$$\{x_n\}$$
 converges to x , then $x_n \leq x \, \forall \, n \in \mathbb{N}$. (**)

Let $f: X \to X$ be a monotone nondecreasing mapping such that there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ with (*) holds. If there exists $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \leq f(x_0)$, then f has a unique fixed point.

The purpose of this paper is to state and prove some fixed point theorems in binary relation ordered dq-metric spaces. These theorems generalize Theorem 3, Theorem 4 and some results in [4].



2 Main Results

First, we rewrite some definitions.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a nonempty set and \leq be a binary relation. A Bset (X, \leq) is a binary relation with a nonempty set.

Definition 2.2. Let (X, \preceq) be a Bset. A mapping $f: X \to X$ is called **monotone nondecreasing** if $x, y \in X, x \preceq y \Longrightarrow f(x) \preceq f(y)$.

Definition 2.3. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a dq-metric space (X,d) is called **dislocated quasi-convergent** (for short **dq-convergent**) if there exists a point $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n,x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x,x_n) = 0$

Definition 2.4. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a dq-metric space (X,d) is called **Cauchy** if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall m.n \geq n_0, d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$, or $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$, where \mathbb{N} is the set of all positive integers.

Now, we are ready to state and prove the first theorem as follows:

Theorem 2.5. Let (X, \preceq) be Bset. Suppose that there exists a dq-metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete dq-metric space. If $f: X \to X$ be a continuous monotone nondecreasing mapping such that $(1) \exists 0 \leq \alpha < 1$ such that $d(f(y), f(x)) \leq \alpha d(y, x)$ and $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$ with $y \preceq x$,

(2) $\exists x_0 \in X \text{ such that } x_0 \leq f(x_0), \text{ then } f \text{ has a fixed point.}$

Proof. Since f is a monotone nondecreasing mapping and there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \leq f(x_0)$, then by induction one can deduce that $f^n(x_0) \leq f^{n+1}(x_0) \ \forall \ n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then, one can obtain from (1) that

$$d(f^{n+1}(x_0), f^n(x_0)) \le \alpha^n d(f(x_0), x_0)$$
 and $d(f^n(x_0), f^{n+1}(x_0)) \le \alpha^n d(x_0, f(x_0))$,

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m > n, we get that

$$d(f^{m}(x_{0}), f^{n}(x_{0})) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d(f^{i+1}(x_{0}), f^{i}(x_{0}))$$

$$\leq (\alpha^{n} + \alpha^{n+1} + \dots + \alpha^{m-1}) d(x_{0}, f(x_{0}))$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha^{n}}{1 - \alpha} d(x_{0}, f(x_{0})).$$

Hence, $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} d(f^m(x_0), f^n(x_0)) = 0$. Similarly, one can deduce that $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} d(f^n(x_0), f^m(x_0)) = 0$. Therefore, the sequence $\{f^n(x_0)\}$ is Cauchy. Since X is complete, then there exists $y_0 \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(f^n(x_0), y_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(y_0, f^n(x_0)) = 0.$$

Since $\{f^{n+1}(x_0)\}$ is a subsequence of $\{f^n(x_0)\}$, then $\{f^{n+1}(x_0)\}$ dq-converges to y_0 . From the continuity of f, $\{f^{n+1}(x_0)\}$ dq-converges to $f(y_0)$. The uniqueness of dq-limits gives that $d(y_0, f(y_0)) = 0$; i.e., y_0 is a fixed point of f.

Corollary 2.6. Let (X, \preceq) be a a partially ordered set. Suppose that there exists a d-metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete d-metric space. If $f: X \to X$ be a continuous monotone nondecreasing mapping such that (1) and (2) hold as in Theorem 2.5, then f has a fixed point.

Remark 2.7. Corollary 2.6 improves Theorem 2.5 since

- (i) (X, \preceq) is Bset instead of (X, \preceq) is a partially ordered set,
- (ii) d is a d-metric on X instead of d is a metric on X.



Theorem 2.8. Let (X, \preceq) be Bset. Suppose that there exists a dq-metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete dq-metric space. Assume that X satisfies (**) as in Theorem 1.9. If $f: X \to X$ is a monotone nondecreasing mapping such that (1) and (2) hold as in Theorem 2.5, then f has a fixed point.

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 2.5, we deduce that the sequence $\{f^n(x_0)\}$ converges to $y_0 \in X$. Then, given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_1 \in N$ such that for all $n \geq n_1$,

$$d(f^{n}(x_{0}), y_{0}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
 and $d(y_{0}, f^{n}(x_{0})) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

From (**), we obtain that $f^n(x_0) \leq y_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\{f^{n+1}(x_0)\}$ is a subsequence of the sequence $\{f^n(x_0)\}$, then we have from Lemma 1.7 that $\{f^{n+1}(x_0)\}$ dq-converges to $y_0 \in X$. Thus, given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_2$,

$$d(f^{n+1}(x_0), y_0) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
 and $d(y_0, f^{n+1}(x_0)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

Therefore, given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m = \max(n_1, n_2)$ such that for all $n \geq m$,

$$d(f(y_0), y_0) \leq d(f(y_0), f(f^n(x_0))) + d(f^{n+1}(x_0), y_0)$$

$$\leq \alpha d(y_0, f^n(x_0)) + d(f^{n+1}(x_0), y_0)$$

$$< d(y_0, f^n(x_0)) + d(f^{n+1}(x_0), y_0)$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

and

$$d(y_0, f(y_0)) \leq d(y_0, f^{n+1}(x_0)) + d(f(f^n(x_0)), f(y_0))$$

$$\leq d(y_0, f^{n+1}(x_0)) + \alpha d(f^n(x_0), y_0)$$

$$< d(y_0, f^{n+1}(x_0)) + d(f^n(x_0), y_0)$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

Since ϵ is an arbitrary, then one can deduce that y_0 is a fixed point of f.

Corollary 2.9. Let (X, \preceq) be a partailly ordered set and suppose that there exists a d-metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete d-metric space. Assume that X satisfies (**) as in Theorem 1.9. If $f: X \to X$ is a monotone nondecreasing mapping such that (1) and (2) hold as in Theorem 2.5, then f has a fixed point.

Similarly, one can prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.10. Let (X, \preceq) be a Bset. Suppose that there exists a dq-metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete dq-metric space. If $f: X \to X$ is a monotone nondecreasing mapping such that (1) holds as in Theorem 2.5. Assume that either f is continuous or X satisfies

(3) f is a nonincreasing sequence $\{x_n\}$ which converges to $u \in X$, say, then $u \leq x_n \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $f(x_0) \leq x_0$, then f has a fixed point.

Corollary 2.11. Let (X, \preceq) be a partailly ordered set. Suppose that there exists a d-metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete d-metric space. If $f: X \to X$ is a monotone nondecreasing mapping such that (1) holds as in Theorem 2.5. Assume that either f is continuous or X satisfies (3) as in Theorem 2.10. If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $f(x_0) \preceq x_0$, then f has a fixed point.

Remark 2.12. (i) In Theorems 2.5, 2.8 and 2.10, if for every $x, y \in X$, there exists an upper bound or a lower bound, then the fixed point is unique.

(ii) Corollary 2.9 (resp. Corollary 2.11) improves Theorem 4 (resp. Theorem 8 of [4]) for the same reasons as in Remark 2.7.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank the Deanship of Scientific Research at Majmaah University for the Financial support (project number R-1441-56). All the authors are also highly indebted to the Editor-in-Chief and the anonymous Referees for their painstaking efforts towards the improvement of the original version of this manuscript.



References

- [1] Hitzler, P. (2001). Generalized metrics and topology in logic programming semantics, Ph. D. Thesis (2001), National University of Ireland (University College Cork).
- [2] Hitzler, P. and Seda, A. K. (2003). Dislocated topologies, J. Electr. Engin., 51, No. 12/s(2003), 3-7
- [3] Matthews, S. G. (1986). Metric domains for completeness, Ph.D. Thesis, 1985 (Research Report 76), Department of Computer Science, University of Warwick, UK.
- [4] Neito, J. J. and Rodriguez-Lopez, R. (2005). Contractive mappings theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, *Order*, Vol. 22(2005), 223–239.
- [5] Ran, A. C. M. and Reurings, M. C. B. (2003). A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132(5), 1435–1443.
- [6] Rutten, J. J. M. M. (1996). Elements of generalized ultrametric domain theory, Theoretic. Comput. Sci., 170, 349–381.
- [7] Zeyada, F. M., Hassan, G. H. and Ahmed, M. A. (2006). A generalization of a fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasi-metric spaces, *Arab J. Sci. Eng.*, 31(1A), 111–114.

