Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences. Vol. 37E (Math & Stat.), No.1, 2018.P.1-8 Print version ISSN 0970 6577 Online version ISSN 2320 3226 DOI: 10.5958/2320-3226.2018.00001.2

ON THE DEGENERATE LAPLACE TRANSFORM - I

Lalit Mohan Upadhyaya*

Author Affiliation:

Department of Mathematics, Municipal Post Graduate College, Mussoorie, Dehradun, (Uttarakhand), India-248179.

E-mail: Imupadhyaya@rediffmail.com; hetchres@gmail.com

*Corresponding Author:

Lalit Mohan Upadhyaya, Department of Mathematics, Municipal Post Graduate College, Mussoorie, Dehradun, (Uttarakhand), India-248179.

E-mail: Imupadhyaya@rediffmail.com; hetchres@gmail.com

Received on 04.12.2017, Accepted on 12.02.2018

Abstract

We make an attempt in this paper to extend the very recent work of Kim and Kim [24] on degenerate Laplace transform. Using the definition of the degenerate Laplace transform as given by Kim and Kim [24] and some of the results developed by them in this study we prove the first and second translation theorems and the change of scale property for the degenerate Laplace transform and give some illustrations highlighting the applications of these results for the degenerate Laplace transform of a function.

Keywords: Degenerate Laplace transform, first translation, second translation, change of scale property.

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 44A10, 44A45

Secondary: 33B10, 33B15, 33B99, 34A25

1. INTRODUCTION

The courses in operational calculus form an essential part of the curricula of mathematics worldwide in universities and engineering colleges because of the wide spread applications of these concepts in solving a number of problems arising in engineering, physics, applied mathematics and other related disciplines of scientific study. The concept of Laplace transform is invariably taught as an integral part of this course of operational calculus to the mathematicians, engineers, physicists and scientists from related disciplines on account of the powerful applications of this mathematical tool in engineering, physics and applied mathematics problems. The impact of Laplace transforms in the study of mathematics has been very huge and they form an integral part of a number of monumental works in mathematics, some of the classical ones are [4,5,6,25], they also form parts of many standard and authoritative mathematical handbooks like Gradshteyn, Ryzhik [15], Schaum's handbook [8] besides many others.

Lalit Mohan Upadhyaya / On The Degenerate Laplace Transform - I

We follow the notations used by Kim and Kim [24] for denoting the Laplace transform and the degenerate Laplace transform of a function throughout this paper. The Laplace transform of a function f(t) of the variable t defined for t>0, denoted by $\mathbf{L}\{f(t)\}$, is defined by the integral (see, for instance, (1), p.1 [7] or, (32.1), p. 161 [8], or, (3.3), p.103 [26])

$$\mathbf{L}\left\{f\left(t\right)\right\} = F\left(s\right) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} f\left(t\right) dt \tag{1.1}$$

provided the integral in (1.1) converges for some value of the complex parameter s. For sufficient conditions for the existence of the Laplace transform of a function f(t), the reader is referred to the Theorem 1-1, p.2 and the Problem 145, p.38 of [7] or, Theorem 3.1, p. 103 [26].

The above concept of the Laplace transform of a function has been generalized in many ways. Chung, Kim and Kwon [16] have recently given the q – analog of the Laplace transform. The recent developments in the theory of special functions of matrix arguments with real symmetric positive definite matrices and Hermitian positive definite matrices as arguments through the Laplace transform technique may be seen from the work of Mathai (Chapters 5 and 6) [14]. Some integrals involving the Laplace transforms of Appell's and Humbert's functions of matrix arguments are available in the works of this author [9-13]. Carlitz [2] studied the degenerate Sterling, Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers besides giving a degenerate analogue of the Staudt-Clausen theorem [1]. Most recently, the degenerate special polynomials and numbers have been very extensively studied by T. Kim and his research collaborators D.V. Dolgy, J.J. Seo, L.C. Jang, H.-I. Kwon, and D.S. Kim [17-24].

In this paper we aim to extend the very recent study of Kim and Kim [24] and using their various definitions and results of this paper [24] we state and prove the first and second translation theorems and the change of scale property for the degenerate Laplace transform of a given function. The paper is divided in two sections: besides giving an introduction of the necessary concepts in the first section of the paper we also state the preliminary results in this section which shall be invoked by us in proving our main results in the second section of the paper.

Definition 1.1: The Degenerate Exponential Function (Kim and Kim [24], (1.3), p. 241) – The degenerate exponential function, represented by e_{λ}^{t} , is a function of two variables λ and t, where, $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $t \in \square$ and is defined by

$$e_{\lambda}^{t} = \left(1 + \lambda t\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \tag{1.2}$$

It is important to note here that this definition generalizes the classical exponential function e^t defined by the well known series relation

$$e^t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \tag{1.3}$$

because one can easily deduce from (1.2) that (see Kim and Kim [24], p.241)

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0+} e_{\lambda}^{t} = \lim_{\lambda \to 0+} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} = e^{t}$$
 (1.4)

The well known Euler's exponential formula is given by (see, for instance, (1.7) p.241 Kim and Kim [24])

$$e^{i\theta} = \cos\theta + i\sin\theta \tag{1.5}$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. From this follow immediately the definitions of the elementary trigonometric functions sine and cosine in terms of the exponential function as (see, for instance, (1.8) p.241 Kim and Kim[24])

$$\cos a\theta = \frac{e^{ia\theta} + e^{-ia\theta}}{2}, \quad \sin a\theta = \frac{e^{ia\theta} - e^{-ia\theta}}{2i}$$
 (1.6)

Definition 1.2: The Degenerate Euler Formula: The degenerate Euler formula is defined by the relation (see (1.9), p.242 Kim and Kim[24])

$$e_{\lambda}^{it} = (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} = \cos_{\lambda}(t) + i \sin_{\lambda}(t)$$
(1.7)

From (1.7) it can be readily inferred that (see (1.10) p.242 Kim and Kim [24])

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0+} e_{\lambda}^{it} = \lim_{\lambda \to 0+} \left(1 + \lambda t\right)^{\frac{i}{\lambda}} = e^{it} = \cos t + i \sin t \tag{1.8}$$

Further it is rather easy to note from (1.7) and (1.8) that (see (1.11) p.242 Kim and Kim[24])

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0+} \cos_{\lambda}(t) = \cos t, \lim_{\lambda \to 0+} \sin_{\lambda}(t) = \sin t \tag{1.9}$$

Definition 1.3: The Degenerate Cosine and Degenerate Sine Functions: The following respective definitions of the degenerate cosine and degenerate sine functions follow from (1.7) (see (1.12), p.242 Kim and Kim[24])

$$\cos_{\lambda}(t) = \frac{e_{\lambda}^{it} + e_{\lambda}^{-it}}{2}, \sin_{\lambda}(t) = \frac{e_{\lambda}^{it} - e_{\lambda}^{-it}}{2i}$$
(1.10)

Definition 1.4: The Degenerate Laplace Transform of a Function: (Kim and Kim [24], (3.1), p.244) Let f(t) be a function defined for $t \ge 0$ and let $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, then the degenerate Laplace transform of the function f(t), represented by $F_{\lambda}(s)$, is defined by the integral

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\left\{f\left(t\right)\right\} = F_{\lambda}\left(s\right) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \lambda t\right)^{\frac{-s}{\lambda}} f\left(t\right) dt \tag{1.11}$$

Definition 1.5: The Degenerate Gamma Function: (Kim and Kim [24], (2.1), p.242)- The degenerate gamma function, denoted by $\Gamma_{\lambda}(s)$, for the complex variable s with $0 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < \frac{1}{\lambda}$ is defined by the integral (provided the integral converges)

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{-1}{\lambda}} t^{s-1} dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} e_{\lambda}^{-t} t^{s-1} dt$$
 (1.12)

It is not very difficult to infer from (1.12) that, $\Gamma_{\lambda}(s)$ provides a generalization to the well known gamma function $\Gamma(s)$ defined for a complex variable s by (see, for instance, (1), section (1.1), Chapter 1, p.1 [4])

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 0$$
(1.13)

where Re(s) denotes the real part of the complex variable s. From (1.13) we readily observe that

$$\Gamma(s+1) = s\Gamma(s)$$
 and $\Gamma(n+1) = n!, n \in \square$ (1.14)

(see, for example, (1), (4) section (1.2), Chapter 1, p.3 [4]). We mention that in the limiting case $\lambda \to 0+$, $\Gamma_{\lambda}(s)$ of (1.12) reduces to $\Gamma(s)$ of (1.13).

Kim and Kim [24] have shown that (see (2.2), p.242 of [24])

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(s) = \lambda^{-s} B\left(s, \frac{1}{\lambda} - s\right)$$
 (1.15)

where B(x, y) is the well known beta function given by (see, for example, (2), (5) section 1.5, Chapter 1 [4])

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^\infty v^{x-1} (1+v)^{-x-y} dv = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}, \qquad \text{Re}(x), \text{Re}(y) > 0$$
 (1.16)

Another important result for the degenerate gamma function proved by Kim and Kim (see Theorem 2.1, p.243 [24]) is as mentioned below which holds for $\lambda \in (0,1)$ and $0 < \text{Re}(s) < \frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}$

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}(s+1) = \frac{s}{(1-\lambda)^{s+1}} \Gamma_{\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}}(s)$$
(1.17)

which is clearly a generalization of (1.14) to which it reduces when $\lambda \to 0+$.

2. RESULTS FOR THE DEGENERATE LAPLACE TRANSFORM OF A FUNCTION

We now proceed to discuss three results for the degenerate Laplace transform of a function f(t) as outlined in the abstract of this paper. These results will be stated below as theorems and their proofs will be provided by utilizing the concepts and definitions as stated in the first section of the paper.

Theorem 2.1: The First Translation Theorem: If $\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\{f(t)\}=F_{\lambda}(s)$, then

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda} \left\{ e_{\lambda}^{at} f\left(t\right) \right\} = F_{\lambda} \left(s - a\right) \tag{2.1}$$

Proof: The proof immediately follows by applying the definition 1.4 to the left side of (2.1), keeping in mind the definition (1.1) as follows

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda} \left\{ e_{\lambda}^{at} f\left(t\right) \right\} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e_{\lambda}^{-st} e_{\lambda}^{at} f\left(t\right) dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \lambda t\right)^{\frac{-s}{\lambda}} \left(1 + \lambda t\right)^{\frac{a}{\lambda}} f\left(t\right) dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \lambda t\right)^{\frac{-(s-a)}{\lambda}} f\left(t\right) dt = F_{\lambda} \left(s - a\right)$$

This theorem generalizes the Theorem 1-3 Chapter 1, p.3 of [7] or the Theorem 3.3, p.107 of [26] . It can be seen that in the limiting case as $\lambda \to 0+$ in (2.1) then it reduces to the Theorem 1-3 Chapter 1, p.3 of [7] or the Theorem 3.3, p.107 of [26].

Kim and Kim [24] have shown that (see (3.8), p.244)

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\left\{\cos_{\lambda}\left(at\right)\right\} = \frac{s-\lambda}{\left(s-\lambda\right)^{2} + a^{2}}\tag{2.2}$$

As an illustration of the first translation theorem, we can write from (2.1) and (2.2) that, if $f(t) = \cos_x(bt)$ in (2.1), then

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda} \left\{ e_{\lambda}^{at} \cos_{\lambda} \left(bt \right) \right\} = \frac{s - \lambda - a}{\left(s - \lambda - a \right)^{2} + b^{2}}$$
 (2.3)

Similarly, Kim and Kim [24] have proved that (see (3.9), p.245)

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\left\{\sin_{\lambda}\left(at\right)\right\} = \frac{a}{\left(s-\lambda\right)^{2} + a^{2}}\tag{2.4}$$

from where follows immediately, with the aid of (2.1) that

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda} \left\{ e_{\lambda}^{at} \sin_{\lambda} \left(bt \right) \right\} = \frac{b}{\left(s - \lambda - a \right)^{2} + b^{2}}$$
 (2.5)

Theorem 2.2: The Second Translation Theorem: If $\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\left\{ f\left(t\right)\right\} = F_{\lambda}\left(s\right)$, and

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} f(t-a), & t > a \\ 0, & t < a \end{cases}$$

then

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\left\{g\left(t\right)\right\} = e_{\lambda}^{-sa} \mathbf{L}_{\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda a}} \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{\lambda t}{1+\lambda a}\right)^{sa} f\left(t\right) \right\}$$
 (2.6)

Proof: We see that

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\left\{g\left(t\right)\right\} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \lambda t\right)^{\frac{-s}{\lambda}} g\left(t\right) dt = \int_{0}^{a} \left(1 + \lambda t\right)^{\frac{-s}{\lambda}} g\left(t\right) dt + \int_{a}^{\infty} \left(1 + \lambda t\right)^{\frac{-s}{\lambda}} g\left(t\right) dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{a} \left(1 + \lambda t\right)^{\frac{-s}{\lambda}} .0.dt + \int_{a}^{\infty} \left(1 + \lambda t\right)^{\frac{-s}{\lambda}} f\left(t - a\right) dt$$

If we put t-a=u (so that, dt=du) in the second integral on the right side of the above equation, then, we get

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\left\{g\left(t\right)\right\} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \lambda\left(u + a\right)\right)^{\frac{-s}{\lambda}} f\left(u\right) du = \left(1 + \lambda a\right)^{\frac{-s}{\lambda}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda u}{1 + \lambda a}\right)^{\frac{-s}{\lambda}} f\left(u\right) du$$

On taking $\mu = \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda a}$ and keeping in mind (1.2), the above relation can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\left\{g\left(t\right)\right\} = e_{\lambda}^{-sa} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \mu u\right)^{\frac{-s\left(1 - \mu a\right)}{\mu}} f\left(u\right) du = e_{\lambda}^{-sa} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \mu u\right)^{\frac{-s}{\mu}} \left(1 + \mu u\right)^{sa} f\left(u\right) du$$

Or,

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\left\{g\left(t\right)\right\} = e_{\lambda}^{-sa} \mathbf{L}_{\mu}\left\{\left(1 + \mu u\right)^{sa} f\left(u\right)\right\} \tag{2.7}$$

On changing the dummy variable u by t and substituting the value of μ on the right side of (2.7) we arrive at the right side of (2.6).

This theorem generalizes the Theorem 1-4, p.3 Chapter 1 of [7] or the Theorem 3.4, p.107 of [26]. Obviously as $\lambda \to 0+$ in (2.6) then it reduces to the Theorem 1-4 Chapter 1, p.3 of [7] or the Theorem 3.4, p.107 of [26].

Kim and Kim [24] have proved the following result (see (3.19), p. 246 Kim and Kim [24]) for $\alpha \in \square$ with $\alpha > -1$ and for $s > (\alpha + 1)\lambda$

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\left\{t^{\alpha}\right\} = \frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}} \Gamma_{\frac{\lambda}{s}}\left(\alpha+1\right) \tag{2.8}$$

which in the limiting case $\lambda \to 0+$ reduces to the long known elementary result in the theory of Laplace transforms,

$$\mathbf{L}\left\{t^{\alpha}\right\} = \frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}}\Gamma(\alpha+1) = \frac{\alpha!}{s^{\alpha+1}}, \quad \text{when} \quad \alpha \in \square$$
 (2.9)

As an illustration for the Theorem 2.2, let us take $f(t) = t^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha > -1$ and $s > (\alpha + 1)\lambda$, and define

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} (t-a)^{\alpha}, & t > a \\ 0, & t < a \end{cases}$$

Then from the Theorem 2.2 follows

Lalit Mohan Upadhyaya / On The Degenerate Laplace Transform - I

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\left\{g\left(t\right)\right\} &= e_{\lambda}^{-sa} \mathbf{L}_{\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda a}} \left\{\left(1 + \frac{\lambda t}{1+\lambda a}\right)^{sa} t^{\alpha}\right\} \\ &= e_{\lambda}^{-sa} \mathbf{L}_{\mu}\left\{\left(1 + \mu t\right)^{sa} t^{\alpha}\right\}, \qquad \text{where} \quad \mu = \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda a} \\ &= e_{\lambda}^{-sa} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \mu t\right)^{\frac{-s}{\mu}} \left(1 + \mu t\right)^{sa} t^{\alpha} dt, \quad \text{from} \quad (1.11) \\ &= e_{\lambda}^{-sa} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \mu t\right)^{\frac{-s(1-\mu a)}{\mu}} t^{\alpha} dt \qquad \text{which,} \end{split}$$

$$= e_{\lambda}^{-sa} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{s(1 - \mu a)} s(1 - \mu a) t \right)^{\frac{-s(1 - \mu a)}{\mu}} \left\{ \frac{s(1 - \mu a)}{s(1 - \mu a)} t \right\}^{\alpha} \frac{s(1 - \mu a)}{s(1 - \mu a)} dt$$

$$= \frac{e_{\lambda}^{-sa}}{s^{\alpha + 1} (1 - \mu a)^{\alpha + 1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{s(1 - \mu a)} y \right)^{\frac{-s(1 - \mu a)}{\mu}} y^{\alpha} dy, \quad \text{where } y = s(1 - \mu a) t$$

on putting $\lambda = \frac{\mu}{1 - \mu a}$ and taking $s(1 - \mu a) > 0$, with the help of (1.12) leads us to

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\left\{g\left(t\right)\right\} = \frac{e_{\lambda}^{-sa}\left(1+\lambda a\right)^{\alpha+1}}{s^{\alpha+1}}\Gamma_{\frac{\lambda}{a}}\left(\alpha+1\right)$$

Here it is to be noted that the result of the above equation, in the limiting case, when $\lambda \to 0+$ reduces to the expected result $e^{-as} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{s^{\alpha+1}}$, as is obtained by the use of the second translation theorem in the theory of the classical Laplace transform.

Theorem 2.3: Change of Scale Property: If $\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\{f(t)\}=F_{\lambda}(s)$, then

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\left\{f\left(at\right)\right\} = \frac{1}{a}F_{\frac{\lambda}{a}}\left(\frac{s}{a}\right), \qquad a \neq 0$$
 (2.10)

Proof: We have

$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda} \left\{ f\left(at\right) \right\} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \lambda t\right)^{\frac{-s}{\lambda}} f\left(at\right) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{a} u\right)^{\frac{-s}{\lambda}} f\left(u\right) \cdot \frac{1}{a} du, \qquad \text{where } at = u$$

$$= \frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \beta u\right)^{\frac{-s}{a\beta}} f\left(u\right) du, \qquad \text{where } \beta = \frac{\lambda}{a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{a} F_{\beta} \left(\frac{s}{a}\right) = \frac{1}{a} F_{\frac{\lambda}{a}} \left(\frac{s}{a}\right).$$

This theorem generalizes the Theorem 1-5, p.3 Chapter 1 of [7] or the Theorem 3.5, p.107 of [26] to which it reduces when $\lambda \to 0+$ in (2.10). As an illustration, the validity of the results in (2.2) and (2.4) can be checked in a straightforward manner by the use of the Theorem 2.3 by starting

respectively with the results
$$\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\left\{\cos_{\lambda}\left(t\right)\right\} = \frac{s-\lambda}{\left(s-\lambda\right)^{2}+1}$$
 and $\mathbf{L}_{\lambda}\left\{\sin_{\lambda}\left(t\right)\right\} = \frac{1}{\left(s-\lambda\right)^{2}+1}$.

We conclude the paper by mentioning that this author has already established the generalizations of many more results for the degenerate Laplace transforms of a function which have appeared very recently in the successive papers [27-29] of this series of papers.

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express his most sincere gratitude and thanks to The Hon'ble Professor (Dr.) Edward G. Dunne, Executive Editor, Mathematical Reviews/MathSciNet, American Mathematical Society, U.S.A., All The Hon'ble Editorial Board Members and All The Hon'ble Staff Members of the Mathematical Reviews/MathSciNet, American Mathematical Society, U.S.A. and The Hon'ble American Mathematical Society, U.S.A. for providing him the reference number [24] and also for providing him the most coveted opportunity to write a Review (number MR 3658414) for this paper [24], without which this author would not have been able to write this series of papers. The author would also like to express his most sincere gratitude and thanks to The Hon'ble Professors - Professor (Dr.) Klaus Hulek (Editor in Chief) and Professor (Dr.) Dirk Werner (Deputy Editor in Chief), zbMATH (formerly, Zentralblatt MATH), of the Hon'ble FIZ Karlsruhe - Leibniz Institute for Information Infrastructure Mathematics Department, zbMATH Franklinstr. 11, D10587 Berlin, Germany, All The Hon'ble Editorial Board Members and All The Hon'ble Staff Members of the zbMATH Germany for providing him the reference number [26] and also for providing him the most coveted opportunity to write a Review (number Zbl 06840501) for this book [26]. The author, hereby, explicitly thanks and expresses his heartfelt gratitude towards both - the Mathematical Reviews/MathSciNet and the zbMATH - both of which are the World's topmost and the most prestigious resources of mathematical research - for providing him their immense and invaluable help which is most positively influencing his most humble research work and he hereby acknowledges most gratefully the greatest and the most generous supports and the extremely helpful services that he is receiving from both of these two greatest institutions and the topmost leaders of Mathematical Research in the World.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Carlitz L. (1956). A Degenerate Staudt–Clausen Theorem, *Util. Math. Arch. Math. (Basel)*,**7**, 28–33.
- [2]. Carlitz L. (1979). Degenerate Stirling, Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers, *Utilitas Math.* **15**, 51–88.
- [3]. Donaldson T. (1974). A Laplace Transform Calculus for Partial Differential Operators, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society. No. 143. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.
- [4]. Erdélyi A., Magnus W., Oberhettinger F., Tricomi F. G. (1953). Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. I, McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto and London.
- [5]. Erdélyi A., Magnus W., Oberhettinger F., Tricomi F. G. (1954). Tables of Integral Transforms, Vols.I, II, McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto and London.
- [6]. F. Oberhettinger and L. Badii. (1973). Tables of Laplace Transforms, Springer-Verlag, New York- Heidelberg.
- [7]. Spiegel M.R. (1965). Laplace Transforms (Schaum's Outlines), McGraw Hill, New York.
- [8]. Spiegel M.R. (1968). Schaum's Handbook of Mathematical Formulas, McGraw Hill, New York.
- [9]. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan, Dhami H. S. (Mar. 2002). Appell's and Humbert's Functions of Matrix Arguments-I, # 1848, *IMA Preprint Series*, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U. S. A.(http://www.ima.umn.edu/preprints/mar02/1848.pdf).
- [10]. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan, Dhami H. S. (Apr. 2002). Appell's and Humbert's Functions of Matrix Arguments-II, # 1853, *IMA Preprint Series*, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U. S. A.(http://www.ima.umn.edu/preprints/apr02/1853.pdf).
- [11]. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan, Dhami H. S. (May 2002). Humbert's Functions of Matrix Arguments-I,#1856, *IMA Preprint Series*, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U. S. A., *Vijnana Parishad Anusandhan Patrika*, Vol. 46, No.4, Oct.2003, 329-335. Zbl 1193.33222. (http://www.ima.umn.edu/preprints/may02/1856.pdf).

Lalit Mohan Upadhyaya / On The Degenerate Laplace Transform - I

- [12]. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan, Dhami H. S. (July 2002). Humbert's Functions of Matrix Arguments-II, # 1865, IMA Preprint Series, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U. S. A., Vijnana Parishad Anusandhan Patrika, Vol. 54, No.1, Jan.2011, 37-44. (http://www.ima.umn.edu/preprints/jul02/1865.pdf).
- [13]. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan (Nov. 2003): Matrix Generalizations of Multiple Hypergeometric Functions By Using Mathai's Matrix Transform Techniques (Ph.D. Thesis, Kumaun University, Nainital, Uttarakhand, India) #1943, IMA Preprint Series, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U.S.A. (https://www.ima.umn.edu/sites/default/files/1943.pdf http://www.ima.umn.edu/preprints/abstracts/1943ab.pdf http://www.ima.umn.edu/preprints/nov2003/1943.pdf http://hdl.handle.net/11299/3955 https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1254.33008 http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.192.2172\&rank=52).
- [14]. Mathai A.M. (1997). Jacobians of Matrix Transformations and Functions of Matrix Argument. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore.
- [15]. Gradshteyn I.S., Ryzhik I.M. (2007). Tables of Integrals, Series and Products, Seventh Edition, (Edited by Alan Jeffrey and Daniel Zwillinger), Academic Press, California, U.S.A.
- [16]. Chung W. S., Kim T. and Kwon H. I. (2014). On the *q*-Analog of the Laplace Transform, *Russ. J. Math. Phys*, . **21** (2), 156–168.
- [17]. Kim T. (2015). Degenerate Euler Zeta Function, Russ. J. Math. Phys., 22 (4), 469–472.
- [18]. Kim T. (2016). On Degenerate *q*-Bernoulli Polynomials, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 53 (4), 1149–1156.
- [19]. Dolgy D. V., Kim T. and Seo J. J. (2016). On the Symmetric Identities of Modified Degenerate Bernoulli Polynomials, Proc. *Jangjeon Math. Soc.*, 19 (2), 301–308.
- [20]. Kim T., Kim D. S. and Seo J. J. (2016). Fully Degenerate Poly–Bernoulli Numbers and Polynomials, Open *Math.*, 14, 545–556.
- [21]. Kim T., Kim D. S., Kwon H. I. and Seo J. J. (2016). Some Identities for Degenerate Frobenius–Euler Numbers Arising from Nonlinear Differential Equations, *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 36, 843–850.
- [22]. Kim D. S., Kim T., and Dolgy D. V. (2016). On Carlitz's Degenerate Euler Numbers and Polynomials, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., 21 (4), 738–742.
- [23]. Kim T., Dolgy D. V., Jang L. C. and Kwon H.-I. (2016). Some Identities of Degenerate *q*-Euler Polynomials under the Symmetry Group of Degree *n*, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 9 (6), 4707–4712.
- [24]. Kim T., Kim D.S. (2017). Degenerate Laplace Transform and Degenerate Gamma Function, Russ. J. Math. Phys., 24(2), 241-248. MR3658414.
- [25]. Prudnikov A.P., Brychkov Yu.A. and Marichev O.I. (1992). Integrals and Series, Vol. 4, Direct Laplace Transforms, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, Paris, Melbourne, Tokyo.
- [26]. Patra Baidyanath (2018). An Introduction to Integral Transforms (ISBN 9781138588035), CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL 334872742. Zbl 06840501.
- [27]. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan (2017). On The Degenerate Laplace Transform II, *International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research*, Vol. 5, Issue 12, December 2017, 63-71. (http://esrjournal.com/uploads/91/4403_pdf.pdf)
- [28]. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan (2018). On The Degenerate Laplace Transform III, International Journal of Engineering, Science and Mathematics, Vol. 7, Issue 1, January 2018, 400-410. (http://ijesm.co.in/abstract.php?article_id=4613&title=ON%20THE%20DEGENERATE%20L APLACE%20TRANSFORM%20%20III)
- [29]. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan (2018). On The Degenerate Laplace Transform IV, *International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research*, Vol. 6, Issue 2, February 2018, 198-209. (http://esrjournal.com/uploads/91/4863_pdf.pdf)