

A Review on Enzymes and Substrate Colonization by Microflora

**Raj Singh^{1*}, Sushil Kumar Upadhyay², Anju Rani³, Permod Kumar⁴, Manoj Singh⁵,
Pawan Kumar⁶, Vikash Kumar⁷**

Author's Affiliation:

^{1,2,5,6,7}Department of Biotechnology,
Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to
be University), Mullana- Ambala, 133
207, Haryana, India

^{3,4}Department of Botany, Swami
Vivekanand Subharti University,
Meerut- 250 005, U.P. India

***Corresponding Author:**

Dr. (Prof.) Raj Singh,
Department of Biotechnology,
Maharishi Markandeshwar
(Deemed to be University),
Mullana- Ambala, 133 207,
Haryana, India

E-mail: dr.rajsingh09@gmail.com;
raj.signh@mmumullana.org

Received on 20.02.2018,

Accepted on 09.04.2018

Abstract:

The analyses of plant litter revealed that generally cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin are the major constituents, being a part of structural framework. Therefore, carbohydrate biochemistry is likely to be the key factor in ecology. The pectic substances though constitute a little amount, are also important because these act as cementing material. It can be expected that the decomposition of a substrate by a fungus or a fungal community depends upon their capacity to produce enzymes which can degrade cell wall constituents of the substrates. Good enzyme-producing equipment as one of the important characteristic contributing towards successful colonization of a dead organic substrate by the fungi because of invasion of plant tissue by a fungus. The important characteristic is the rate at which it can penetrate successive cell walls in a cellular tissue.

Keywords: Enzymatic activities, Substrate colonization; Microflora, Decomposition.

INTRODUCTION

Though numerous compounds of highly variable chemical structure enter into the constitution of any litter, a major part of the structural framework is comprised of the carbohydrates. Therefore, carbohydrate biochemistry is likely to be the key factor in ecology (Gupta, 1967; Charaya and Singh, 2005; Singh et al. 2015a; Singh et al., 2016a). Melin (1930) and subsequently a number of workers have analyzed numerous substrates which reveal that generally cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin are the major constituents of litter (Subramanian, 1960; Singh and Charaya, 2003; Singh et al., 2015b; Singh et al., 2015c, Singh et al., 2016b, c, d). The pectic substances though constitute a little amount, are also important because these act as cementing material. It can be expected then that the decomposition of a substrate by a fungus or a fungal community depends upon its/their capacity to produce enzyme(s) which can degrade cell wall constituents of the substrates. Garrett (1950) had given "good

enzyme-producing equipment" as one of the important characteristics contributing towards successful colonization of a dead organic substrate by the fungi because for invasion of plant tissue by a fungus, the important characteristic is "the rate at which it can penetrate successive cell walls in a cellular tissue" (Garrett, 1963). Macer (1961), using straw as substrate, also suggested that straw penetration rate might be an important component of competitive saprophytic colonization. Singh and Charaya (2010); Singh et al. (2015d) have studied the effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on the enzymatic activity during decomposition of wheat straw by mycobiota. Cellulase or pectinase enzyme producing fungi also have scope for enhancing the virulence of biological control agents. They increase the process of infection when used in consortia with pathogenic fungi (Kumar and Aneja, 2016).

CELLULOLYTIC ACTIVITIES

Garrett (1966) suggested that the successful saprophytic survival of a fungus depends upon its cellulolytic ability. He has shown that a highly significant correlation exists between straw penetration rate and cellulolysis rate (Garrett, 1967; 1971). He believed that only resistance to hyphal penetration rate is the mechanical resistance of cell walls containing cellulose some of which are lignified. Enzymatic degradation of cell wall around the apical region of a penetrating hypha will, therefore, facilitate and hasten cell wall penetration (Garrett, 1975). Earlier, Bhargava (1972) demonstrated a close correlation between rates of decomposition of filter paper cellulose and of wheat straw. Chesters (1960), Hogg (1966), Rai (1970) as well as Dwivedi and Singh (1974) also believed that successful colonization of litter by fungi, in part, correlates with their cellulolytic ability. Yadav and Madelin (1968) found that all the members of the primary microflora of decaying stems of *Heracleum sphondylium* and *Urtica dioica* were able to utilize cellulose as a nutrient. Sharma (1974), Sharma and Panwar (1981) have found that primary colonists possess good cellulolytic ability. But good cellulolytic ability in culture does not mean that these are degrading cellulose in nature also. All the common primary saprophytes are by no means strict "sugar fungi" (Hudson, 1971). These possess cellulolytic abilities to variable extent and some may have no cellulolytic ability at all. Singh et al. (2017a) revealed the cellulolytic activity in microorganisms.

PECTOLYTIC ACTIVITIES

Thus, whether it is the degradation of cellulose only which opens the way for full decomposition is still to be firmly established because of the presence of other contradictory observations. Macauley and Thrower (1966) found that the fungi capable of utilizing cellulose or pectin were important initial colonizers. While Kendrick and Burges (1962) found that the production of good pectolytic enzymes was responsible for initial colonization. Wieringa (1955) also reported that primary colonizers are decomposers of pectin. Aneja (1978) found that all the initial colonizers possess the capacity to produce pectolytic enzymes. Siu (1951) and Domsch (1960) reported that primary colonizers are decomposers of cellulose and/or lignin. Frankland (1969) also found that most of the primary colonisers during the decomposition of *Pteridium* petioles are capable of degrading cellulose and lignin. On the basis of their own observations, as well as the findings of a number of other workers (Charaya and Mehrotra, 1998) concluded that primary colonizers are (i) able to degrade cellulose and

pectin at higher C:N levels; (ii) can grow at a faster rate on comparatively drier resource. Singh et al. (2017b) observe the pectolytic activity in microorganism and their enzyme.

LIGNOLYTIC ACTIVITIES

Since lignin is known to protect cellulose from decomposition by acting as a physical barrier and by physically excluding enzymes (Hartley and Jones, 1977) or by preventing initiation of enzyme hydrolysis due to special covalent linkage with it (Bailey, 1973), its presence slows down the overall rate of decomposition. Thus, the degradation of lignin is essential for continued decomposition of substrate at a rapid rate. A positive relationship between lignolytic activity of fungi and substrate colonization rate by these can be expected. Kshatriya et al. (1992) found that invertase activity is higher at the beginning of the litter decomposition, whereas cellulase and amylase activity increased during litter decomposition. Vares et al. (1995) could purify multiple forms (isoforms) of LiP, MnP and laccase from wheat straw being degraded by *Phlebia radiata*. Rani et al. (2015) and Singh et al. (2015e) established relation between white rot fungi *Coriolus versicolor* and production of laccase enzyme in the substrate.

HEMICELLULOLYTIC ACTIVITIES

In spite of the great amount of hemicellulose present in the substrates, surprisingly little attention has been devoted to their decomposition with respect to overall decomposition rate. This may be because hemicellulolytic activity is widely distributed among microorganisms and it is not a rare attribute (Alexander, 1977). As early as in 1927, Rege had pointed that the Pentosan: Lignin ratio does influence the rate of decomposition of a substrate. Charaya (1985) had found that initial differences in the rate of decomposition of wheat and paddy crop residues could be correlated with Hemicellulose: Lignin ratio of the substrates. Singh et al. (2017c) find out the hemicellulolytic activities in crop residues.

REFERENCES

1. Alexander, M. (1977). *Introduction to soil microbiology*. 2nd Edn. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Reprinted by Wiley Eastern Limited, New Delhi, Bangalore, Bombay, Calcutta.
2. Aneja, K.R. (1978). *Taxonomical and ecological studies on litter and soil fungi from grasslands of Kurukshetra*. Ph.D. Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra, India.
3. Bailey, R.W. (1973). *Chemistry and Biochemistry of Herbage* Academic Press, London.
4. Bhargava, S.N. (1972). Relation between decomposition rates for cellulose and wheat straw in five cereal foot rot fungi. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.* 58: 348-351.
5. Charaya, M.U. (1985). *Taxonomical, ecological and physiological studies on the mycoflora decomposing wheat and paddy crop residues*. Ph.D. Thesis. Dept. of Botany, M.M. Postgraduate College, Modinagar (Meerut University, Meerut), India.
6. Charaya, M.U. and Mehrotra, R.S. (1998). Microbial colonisation of decomposing plant litter. In *"New Trends in Microbial Ecology"* (Eds. Rai, B. and M. S. Dkhar): 76-89. Dept. of Botany, NEHU, Shillong and ISCNR, Dept. of Botany, BHU, Varanasi, India.
7. Charaya M.U. and Singh, R. (2005). Biochemical changes in wheat crop residues during their decomposition in nature. *Journal of Acta Ciencia Indica*. 31(1): 39-46.

8. Chesters, C.G.C. (1960). Certain problems associated with the decomposition of soil organic matter by fungi. In "*The Ecology of Soil Fungi*" (Eds. Parkinson, D and J.S.Waid) Liverpool University Press.
9. Domsch, K.H. (1960). Das Pilzspektrum einer Bodenprobe. III Nachweis der Einzelpilze, *Arch. Microbiol.* 35: 310-339.
10. Dwivedi, R.S. and Singh, V.P. (1974). Comparative cellulolytic ability of some litter inhabiting fungi. *Proc. Ind. Nat. Sci. Acad.* 40: 420-423.
11. Frankland, J.C. (1969). Fungal succession of bracken petioles. *J. Ecol.* 57: 25-36.
12. Garrett, S.D. (1950). Ecology of root inhabiting fungi. *Biol. Rev.* 25: 220.
13. Garrett, S.D. (1966). Cellulose decomposing ability of some cereal foot rot fungi in relation to their saprophytic survival. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.* 49: 57-68.
14. Garrett, S. D. (1963). *Soil Fungi and Soil Fertility*. Pergamon Press, Oxford.
15. Garrett, S.D. (1967). Effect of nitrogen level on survival of *Ophiobolus graminis* in pure culture on cellulose. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.* 50: 519-524.
16. Garrett, S.D. (1971). Effects of nitrogen level on survival of *Ophiobolus graminis* in pure culture on cellulose. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.* 50: 519-524.
17. Garrett, S.D. (1975). Cellulosic rate and competitive saprophytic colonization of wheat straw by foot-rot fungi. *Soil. Biol. Biochem.* 7: 323-327.
18. Gupta, S.C. (1967). Production of cellulolytic enzymes by *Choanephora cucurbitarum* isolated from shoot apices of *Crotalaria juncea*. *Curr. Sci.* 36: 156-157.
19. Hartley, R.D. and Jones, E.C. (1977). Phenolic components and degradability of cell walls of grass and legume species. *Phytochemistry.* 16: 1531.
20. Hogg, B.M. (1966). Microfungi on leaf of *Fagus sylvatica* –II. Duration of survival, spore viability and cellulolytic ability. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.* 49: 193-204.
21. Hudson, H.J. (1971). The development of the saprophytic fungal flora as leaves senesce and fall. In "*Ecology of leaf surface microorganisms*" (Eds. Preece, T. F. and C.H. Dickinson) pp. 447-455. Academic Press, London, New York.
22. Kendrick, W.B. and Burges, A. (1962). Biological aspects of the decay of *Pinus sylvestris* litter. *Nova Hedwigia.* 4: 313-342.
23. Kshatriya, S., Sharma, G.D. and Mishra, R.R. (1992). Enzyme activities related to litter decomposition in forests of different age and altitude in North East India. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 24: 265-270.
24. Kumar, V. and Aneja, K.R. (2016). Management of Horse Purslane (*Trianthema portulacastrum* L.): An Overview. *Res. J. Botany* 11(1-3): 25-32.
25. Macauley, B.J. and Thrower, L.B. (1966) Succession of fungi in leaf litter of *Eucalyptus reguans*. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.* 49: 509-520.
26. Macer, R.C.F. (1961). Saprophytic colonization of wheat straw by *Cercospora herpotrichoides* Fron. and other fungi. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 49: 152-164.
27. Melin, E. (1930). Biological decomposition of some types of litter from North American forests. *Ecology.* 11:72-101.
28. Rai, B. (1970). Comparative cellulolytic ability of some fungi. *Labdev. J. Sci. Tech.* 8(B): 251-252.
29. Rani, A., Girdharwal, V., Singh, R., Kumar A and Shukla, G. (2015). Production of Laccase enzyme by white rot fungi *Coriolus versicolor*. *J. Env. Appl. Biores.* 03(04): 204-206.

30. Rege, R. D. (1927). Biochemical decomposition of cellulosic materials, with special reference to the action of fungi. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 14:1-44.
31. Sharma. P.D. (1974). Experimental studies on some microfungi from decaying shoots of *Setaria glauca* Beauv. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.* 63: 397-400.
32. Sharma, P.D. and Panwar, M.R.S. (1981). Cellulolysis rate and saprophytic survival of some microfungi colonising leaves of *Scirpus tuberosus*. *Acta Bot. Indica.* 9: 325-328.
33. Singh, R., Rani, A., Kumar, P. and Prasad N. (2017b). Pectolytic activity in microorganism and their enzymes. *J. Contemp. Res. Eng. Technol.* 7(2):33-34.
34. Singh R. and Charaya M.U. (2003). Fungal Colonization of Decomposing Above-Ground Residues of Wheat Crop. *Bull. Pure Appl. Sci.* 22B (1): 55-59.
35. Singh R. and Charaya, M.U. (2010). Effect of Urea and Single Super Phosphate on *In-vitro* decomposition of wheat crop residues by *Trichoderma Lignorum*. *Bull. Pure Appl. Sci.* 29B(2): 63-73.
36. Singh R., Charaya M.U., Shukla L., Shukla G., Kumar A., and Rani, A. (2015e). Lignocellulolytic Potentials of *Aspergillus terreus* for Management of Wheat Crop Residues. *J. Acad. Indust. Res.* 3(9): 453-455.
37. Singh R., Shukla G., Kumar A., and Rani A. (2015b). Decomposition of Wheat Residues by Fungi. *J. Acad. Indust. Res.* 4 (1): 37-39.
38. Singh, R., Charaya, M.U., Amit Kumar, Gyanika Shukla, Anju Rani and Kumar, P. (2015c). Rate of decomposition of plant litter and factor affecting it. *Int. J. Biol. Sci. Biotech Today.* 5 (1): 51-55.
39. Singh, R., Charaya, M.U., Rani, A., Kumar, A., Shukla, G. and Girdharwal, V. (2015d). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus *in vitro* decomposition of wheat crop residue by *Stachybotrys atra*. *Int. J. Sci. Res.* 4(8): 682-683.
40. Singh, R., Rani A., Kumar, A and Girdharwal, V. (2015a). Biochemical changes during *in vitro* decomposition of wheat residue of *Trichoderma lignorum* (Tode) Harz. *Int. J. Adv. Inform. Sci. Technol.* 4(8): 29-30.
41. Singh, R., Rani, A. Kumar, P., Kumar, A., Shukla, G. and Mohd. Javed (2016d). Role of microorganism and microfauna in plant litter decomposition. *Int. J. Engi. Sci. Res. Technol.* 5(5): 592-597.
42. Singh, R., Rani, A., Kumar, A., Kumar, P., Shukla, G. and Mohd. Javed (2016b). Host specific plant litter decomposers in the environment. *Global J. Res. Anal.* 5(3): 50-52.
43. Singh, R., Rani, A., Kumar, P, Shukla, G. and Kumar, A. (2016c). The decomposer microorganisms in the environment and their Succession of substrates. *Int. J. Engi. Sci. Res. Technol.* 5(7): 1166-1171.
44. Singh, R., Rani, A., Kumar, P, Shukla, G. and Kumar, A. (2017a). Cellulolytic activity in microorganisms. *Bull. Pure Appl. Sci.* 36 B(1): 28-37.
45. Singh, R., Rani, A., Kumar, P, Shukla, G. and Kumar, A. (2017c). Hemicellulolytic activity in the crop residues. *Int. J. Pharma. Res.* 9(3): 18-20.
46. Singh, R., Rani, A., Kumar, P., Sharma, A., Shukla, G. and Kumar, A. (2016a). Biochemical changes during decomposition. *Bio Sci. Res. Bull.* 32(1): 45-50.
47. Siu, R.G.H. (1951). *Microbial decomposition of cellulose*. Reinhold Publ. Corp., New York, pp. 531.
48. Subramanian, C.V. (1960). Substrate relationships in soil fungi. In *Memoirs of the Indian Botanical Society, Memoir 3* (Ed. Sadasivan, T. S.) pp. 108-119.

49. Vares, T., Kalsi, M. and Hatakka, A. (1995). Lignin peroxidases, manganese peroxidases and other lignolytic enzymes produced by *Phlebia radiata* during solid-state fermentation of wheat straw. *Appl. Env. Microbiol.* 61(10): 3515-3520.
50. Wieringa, K.T. (1955). Der Abbau der Pektine; der erste Angriffe der Organischen Pflanzensubstanz. *Z. PflErnahr. Dung. Boden.* 69: 150-155.
51. Yadava, A.S. and Madelin, M.F. (1968). Experimental studies on microfungi from decaying stems of *Heracleum sphondylium* and *Urtica dioica*. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.* 51: 261-267.

Subscription Form

I want to renew/subscribe international class journal
"Bio-Science Research Bulletin"
of BPAS PUBLICATIONS.

Subscription Rates:

- Institutional: INR2000/USD80

Name and complete address (in capitals):

.....
.....
.....

Payment detail:

Ch/Dd No.

Date of Ch/DD

Amount paid Rs./USD

1. Advance payment required by Demand Draft payable to BPAS PUBLICATIONS payable at Delhi.
2. Cancellation not allowed except for duplicate payment.
3. Agents allowed 10% discount.
4. Claim must be made within six months from issue date.

Mail all orders to

Subscription and Marketing Manager

BPAS PUBLICATIONS

115-RPS- DDA Flat, Mansarovar Park,

Shahdara, Delhi-110032, India

Mob: +91- 9212200909

Email: editorbulletin8@gmail.com, bpaspublications@gmail.com

Website: www.bpasjournals.com, www.bpaspublications.com