

## Personality Traits and Use of Active Learning Strategies in the Classrooms of School Teachers in Madurai District

M.Ebanazar<sup>1</sup> , Dr.M.Kanmani<sup>2</sup>

1. Ph.D. (Part-time) Research Scholar, Department of Educational Technology, Tamil Nadu Teachers Education University, Karapakkam, Chennai – 97. Tamil Nadu, India
2. Professor & Head, Department of Educational Technology, Tamil Nadu Teachers Education University, Karapakkam, Chennai – 97. Tamil Nadu, India.  
[profkanmani@gmail.com](mailto:profkanmani@gmail.com).

**How to cite this article:** M.Ebanazar, Dr.M.Kanmani (2023) School Climate and Active Learning Strategies Used by the School Teachers in Classrooms of Madurai District. *Library Progress International*, 43(2), 301-314

### Abstract

This study examined an interplay between personality traits and active learning strategies employed by school teachers, aiming to understand how personality traits impacts instructional methods and student engagement. Grounded in the Five-Factor Model of personality, the research assesses how personality traits such as openness, conscientiousness, and extraversion correlate with the frequency and effectiveness of active learning strategies such as collaborative tasks, problem-solving exercises, and hands-on activities in classroom settings. Utilizing both quantitative and qualitative data from teacher surveys and classroom observations, the study identified patterns and strategies that enhance student participation and learning outcomes. Results suggested that teachers who exhibit higher levels of openness and extraversion tend to integrate more dynamic and student-centered approaches, fostering a more engaging and supportive learning environment. This research underscores the importance of recognizing and leveraging individual teacher traits in professional development programs to optimize classroom practices and enhance educational experiences for students.

**Key Words:** Personality Traits ,Active Learning Strategies, School Teachers

### Introduction

In contemporary education, the role of teachers extends beyond delivering curriculum content to actively engaging students in learning processes. Active learning, characterized by methods that require students to participate in meaningful activities and think critically, has been shown to improve knowledge retention, problem-solving skills, and overall academic performance. To foster active learning, teachers often employ strategies such as collaborative group work, interactive discussions, and experiential activities. However, the successful implementation of these strategies may be influenced by the personality traits of teachers

themselves. Understanding how personality traits relate to active learning strategies can offer valuable insights for enhancing teaching practices and, ultimately, student outcomes.

Personality, as defined by the Five-Factor Model (FFM), includes dimensions such as openness, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, and emotional stability. Research indicates that these traits significantly influence various aspects of professional behaviour, including communication style, adaptability, and responsiveness to feedback. For instance, teachers with high levels of openness may be more inclined to explore novel teaching methods, while those with strong conscientiousness may meticulously plan and structure their lessons to meet educational standards. Extraversion, linked with sociability and energy, may also play a role in fostering an engaging classroom atmosphere. Thus, the personality profiles of teachers could potentially impact their teaching methods, particularly in terms of employing active learning strategies.

Despite growing interest in personality research within education, there remains limited understanding of how specific personality traits affect the use of active learning strategies in school classrooms. This study aims to fill this gap by investigating the relationship between teacher's personality traits and their application of active learning strategies. By exploring this relationship, the study seeks to uncover whether certain personality dimensions facilitate or inhibit the adoption of active learning practices and to what extent these practices impact student engagement and academic performance.

This research has significant implications for teacher training and professional development programs. By recognizing how personality traits influence teaching approaches, educators and administrators can tailor training programs to support individual teachers in adopting effective strategies that align with their personality strengths. Ultimately, such insights could help create more dynamic and responsive classrooms, fostering improved student learning and satisfaction.

### **Need and Significance of the study**

The study of personality traits and Use of active learning strategies in classrooms among school teachers is essential due to the critical role these factors play in influencing student engagement, learning outcomes, and the overall classroom environment. Teacher's personality traits, such as openness, conscientiousness, empathy, and emotional stability, influence their teaching approach, interactions, and classroom dynamics. Active learning strategies, such as group discussions and hands-on projects, promote critical thinking and knowledge application. These strategies can increase student participation, retention rates, and academic performance. Understanding these traits and strategies can help teachers create a supportive learning environment. This study also provided insights into professional development needs for teachers, allowing educational institutions to tailor training programs to enhance their skills and adapt teaching approaches.

### **Literature Review**

A literature review on the investigation of personality traits and active learning strategies in classrooms reveals significant insights into the relationship between teacher characteristics and their teaching methods. Here's an overview of relevant research findings:

## Personality Traits of Teachers and Classroom Environment

- ***The Big Five Personality Traits:*** Many studies use the Big Five personality model (openness, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, and emotional stability) to understand teacher's behaviours and how these traits affect teaching styles and classroom environments. For instance, teachers high in openness often bring creativity and flexibility to their classrooms, making them more likely to incorporate diverse and innovative teaching methods (McCrae & Costa, 1997).
- ***Influence on Student-Teacher Relationships:*** Research shows that traits like agreeableness and emotional stability are linked to more supportive student-teacher relationships, as these teachers tend to be more empathetic and calm under stress (John & Srivastava, 1999). Such traits help foster a positive environment where students feel understood and safe to participate.
- ***Classroom Management:*** Conscientious teachers are typically more organized, punctual, and consistent in managing classrooms, which contributes to a structured environment that supports active learning (Bakker et al., 2007). This predictability can be reassuring for students, promoting focus and reducing disruptions.

## Active Learning Strategies in Education

- ***Definition and Importance:*** Active learning strategies engage students directly, encouraging participation, collaboration, and critical thinking. Methods such as group discussions, problem-solving tasks, and hands-on projects have been widely documented for their effectiveness in improving learning outcomes (Bonwell & Eison, 1991).
- ***Influence of Teacher Personality on Strategy Use:*** Teachers' personality traits often influence their choice of teaching strategies. For example, teachers high in extraversion are more likely to incorporate interactive activities, discussions, and group work, which align with an active learning approach (Kim & MacCann, 2018). In contrast, teachers low in extraversion may prefer structured or individual activities that require less direct interaction.
- ***Student Engagement and Achievement:*** Studies highlight that active learning strategies generally increase student engagement and knowledge retention (Prince, 2004). When teachers implement these methods, students tend to participate more actively, take ownership of their learning, and demonstrate improved critical thinking skills.

## Impact on Student Outcomes

- ***Student Motivation and Participation:*** Research shows that personality traits combined with active learning strategies can significantly impact student motivation. Teachers who are enthusiastic and approachable (often associated with high extraversion and openness) tend to inspire students to participate more actively (Feldman, 2007).
- ***Cognitive and Social Benefits:*** Active learning is particularly effective in enhancing student's cognitive skills, as it emphasizes deeper understanding and application over rote memorization. Additionally, active learning often improves social skills through collaborative activities, as students interact, communicate, and work in teams (Freeman et al., 2014).

## Professional Development and Personality-Tailored Training

- ***Supporting Diverse Teaching Styles:*** Understanding the role of personality traits in teaching can inform professional development programs that tailor training to individual teacher characteristics. For instance, teachers who may feel less comfortable with spontaneous interactions might benefit from strategies that incorporate structured group activities, thereby easing them into a more active teaching approach.
- ***Promoting Active Learning Techniques:*** Numerous studies underscore the importance of training in active learning methods for teachers to build confidence and versatility in their teaching (Johnson et al., 1998). By equipping teachers with diverse strategies, professional development can help overcome any limitations imposed by personality traits, supporting a flexible, student-centered learning environment.

## Research Gaps

Although research highlights correlations between teacher personality traits and Use of Active Learning strategies, there is limited exploration into how these two factors interact over time. Longitudinal studies are needed to understand if and how teachers adapt their methods and whether certain personality traits become more or less influential as teachers gain experience.

Additionally, research often overlooks the cultural and institutional contexts that may mediate the influence of personality traits on teaching styles. Further studies could examine how different educational settings might amplify or mitigate the impact of personality traits on teaching effectiveness and active learning implementation.

## Variables of the Study

### Personality Traits

Personality traits are consistent patterns of thoughts, feelings, and behaviours that differentiate individuals. Teacher's personality traits can significantly affect how they approach teaching, interact with students, and handle classroom challenges.

The study of personality traits is based on various psychological theories, including trait theory, the Five Factor Model (Big Five), biological and genetic theories, psychodynamic theory, humanistic theory, social learning theory, and cognitive theories. Trait theory posits that personality is a set of measurable traits that influence behaviour, with traits being stable characteristics that manifest consistently across various situations. Key contributors include Gordon Allport, (1936), Raymond Cattell, (1949), Hans Eysenck, (1967), Robert McCrae, and Paul Costa, (1994), Sigmund Freud, (1949), Carl Rogers, (1951), Abraham Maslow, (1954), Albert Bandura, (1977), and George Kelly, (1955). The Big Five model identifies five broad dimensions of personality, emphasizing the idea that traits exist on a continuum and can be influenced by genetics, heredity, brain structures, and neurochemical processes. Psychodynamic theory focuses on the unconscious mind and the influence of early childhood experiences on personality development. Social learning theory emphasizes the role of observational learning, imitation, and modelling in shaping personality traits. Cognitive theories focus on how thoughts and beliefs influence behaviour and personality traits.

**Big Five Personality Traits:** This model provides a widely accepted basis for understanding personality through five main dimensions:

- ***Openness to Experience:*** Teachers high in openness may be more creative, willing to try new teaching methods, and open to exploring innovative ways of engaging students.
- ***Conscientiousness:*** Conscientious teachers tend to be organized, reliable, and thorough, which could result in well-structured lessons and consistent classroom management.
- ***Extraversion:*** Extraverted teachers may be more energetic, talkative, and socially engaging, helping them create a lively and interactive classroom environment.
- ***Agreeableness:*** Agreeable teachers are often cooperative, compassionate, and supportive, fostering a positive and inclusive atmosphere.
- ***Neuroticism:*** Teachers scoring high in neuroticism may be more prone to stress, which could affect their teaching consistency and interactions with students.

***Alternative Models:*** In addition to the Big Five, models such as the HEXACO model (which includes Honesty-Humility) or the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI) may offer further insights, though the Big Five is generally more widely accepted in research.

### Active Learning Strategies

Active learning strategies require students to engage in activities that promote analysis, synthesis, and evaluation of content, rather than passively receiving information. These strategies have been shown to improve retention, understanding, and application of knowledge.

Active learning strategies are based on various educational theories that emphasize engagement, collaboration, and experiential learning. Constructivism, developed by Jean Piaget and Lev Vygotsky, (1978), posits that learners construct their understanding through experiences and reflections. Experiential learning theory, developed by David Kolb,(1984), views learning as a cycle of concrete experiences, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation. Social learning theory, proposed by Albert Bandura, (1971), emphasizes learning through observation, imitation, and modeling. Constructivist learning environments, designed to foster active learning, critical thinking, and collaboration, include authentic tasks, collaborative learning, and student autonomy. Cognitive load theory, developed by John Sweller, (1980), focuses on the capacity of working memory and instructional design to optimize learning. Multiple Intelligences theory, proposed by Howard Gardner, (1983), suggests that individuals have different types of intelligences that influence their learning styles. Active learning strategies that incorporate diverse instructional methods cater to these intelligences, allowing all students to participate and learn effectively.

### Types of Active Learning Strategies

- i. ***Class Discussions:*** Engaging students in discussions where they can voice their opinions, ask questions, and collaborate on ideas.
- ii. ***Group Work:*** Assigning group projects or tasks that encourage collaboration and peer learning, helping students learn teamwork and different perspectives.
- iii. ***Problem-Solving Activities:*** Encouraging critical thinking by presenting problems related to lesson content, which students need to solve independently or in groups.
- iv. ***Use of Technology:*** Incorporating digital tools, such as educational apps, online quizzes, and multimedia presentations, to make learning interactive and relatable.

- v. **Peer Teaching:** Allowing students to teach portions of the lesson or explain concepts to their peers, which reinforces their understanding and builds confidence.

### Objectives of the study

- i. To investigate the relationship between personality traits and the use of active learning strategies in classrooms by school teachers.
- ii. To study the personality traits and active learning strategies of school teachers working in Madurai district.
- iii. To find whether there is any significant difference in personality traits of school teachers with respect to their
  - a. Gender
  - b. Stream
  - c. Types of school
  - d. Locality
  - e. Designation
  - f. Medium of instruction
  - g. Marital status.

### Hypotheses of the study

*The following hypotheses are formulated based on the above objectives*

H.1. There will be no significant relationship between personality traits and active learning strategies in the classrooms of Madurai district school teachers.

- H.1.1 Openness
- H.1.2 Conscientiousness
- H.1.3 Extraversion
- H.1.4 Agreeableness
- H.1.5 Neuroticism
- H.1.6. Overall personality traits

H.2. There will be no significant difference in personality traits of school teachers with respect to their

- |       |                        |                          |
|-------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| H.2.1 | Gender                 | : (Male / Female)        |
| H.2.2 | Teaching subject       | : (Arts / Science)       |
| H.2.3 | Type of school         | : (Government / Private) |
| H.2.4 | Locality of the school | : (Rural / Urban)        |
| H.2.5 | Medium of instruction  | : (Tamil / English)      |
| H.2.6 | Marital status         | : (Married / Unmarried)  |

## Research Methodology

Survey method was used to find if there is any significant relationship exists between personality traits and active learning strategies among school teachers involved several essential steps to ensure the validity, reliability, and accuracy of the findings.

## Sample

The study was conducted on a sample of 166 teachers working in in the schools of Madurai district of Tamil Nadu. The sample was selected by using simple random sampling technique.

## Research Instruments Used

The present study personality traits inventory was developed by the investigator with reference to the tool developed by Goldberg, (1993). The tool consisted of 56 items on 5 point rating scale. It covers following 5 dimensions of openness, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, and neuroticism.

## Statistical Analysis

For analyzing the data statistical techniques such as Mean, Standard Deviation, t-test, and correlation were applied.

**Table 1**  
**the represent Mean, S.D and t-value personality traits based on the sub groups**

Variables	Group	N	Mean	S.D	t-Value	Level of significance
a)Gender	Male	090	61.96	9.83	4.277**	S
	Female	076	67.62	6.57		
b)Teaching Subject	Arts	081	66.90	9.28	0.416	NS
	Science	086	67.48	8.70		
c) Types of School	Govt.	082	66.79	9.09	0.218	NS
	Private	084	67.09	8.57		
d)Locality of the school	Rural	096	60.73	9.12	5.130**	S
	Urban	070	67.26	6.40		
e)Medium	Tamil	098	67.13	6.18	4.277**	S
	English	068	62.10	8.97		
f)Marital status	Married	102	62.03	9.21	4.085**	S
	Unmarried	064	67.33	6.04		

Note \* denotes significance at 0.05 level \*\* denotes significance at 0.01 level

Table 1(a) shows the mean and SD value of gender in school: female teachers are greater than (M = 67.62; SD = 6.57); male teachers (M = 61.96; SD = 9.83), respectively. There is a significant difference between the personality traits scores of male and female school teachers. Therefore, the mean and SD values of female teachers are greater than those of male teachers. Table 1(b) shows the mean and SD value of teaching subjects in science group teachers are greater than (M = 67.48; SD = 8.70) arts group teachers (M = 66.90; SD = 9.28), respectively. There is no significant difference in the personality traits scores between arts and science group school teachers.

Table 1(c) shows the mean and SD value scores of types of management in private school teachers are greater than (M = 67.09; SD = 8.57) government school teachers (M = 66.79; SD = 9.09), respectively. There is no significant difference in the personality traits scores between government and Self Financing school teachers. Table 1(d) shows the mean and SD value scores of locality of school in urban areas teachers are greater than (M = 67.26; SD = 6.40) rural areas teachers (M = 60.73; SD = 9.12), respectively. There is a significant difference between the school teachers in rural and urban areas based on the personality trait scores. Therefore, the mean and SD values of urban area teachers are greater than those of rural area teachers.

From the above table 1, it was observed that the calculated t-value was greater than the table value. It was found that there is a significant difference at both  $p < 0.05$  and  $p < 0.01$  level table values for (1.96). Gender (4.277), locality of the school (5.130), designation (4.557), medium of instruction (4.227), teaching experience (2.727), and marital status (4.085) among the school teachers based on t-values, hypothesis is rejected.

From the above table 1, it was observed that the calculated t-value was less than the table value. It was found that there is no significant difference in teaching subjects (0.416) and types of schools (0.218) among the school teachers based on t-values; the hypothesis is accepted.

### Correlation between personality traits and Use of Active Learning Strategies

There is a significant relationship between personality traits and Use of Active learning strategies of teachers working at Madurai district.

**Table 2**  
**Correlation between personality traits and Use of Active Learning Strategies**

Variables	Sample	r-value	Level of correlation
Openness	166	(0.553)**	S
Conscientiousness	166	(0.589)**	S
Extraversion	166	(0.616)**	S
Agreeableness	166	(0.614)**	S
Neuroticism	166	(0.503)**	S
Active learning strategies	166	(0.548)**	S

Note \* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level \*\* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level



As shown in Table 2, there is statistically relationship between personality traits and active learning strategies among school teachers working in Madurai district. It is inferred from the above table that the calculated r-value for the personality traits dimensions the openness,  $r(166) = .553$ ,  $p < 0.01$ , conscientiousness,  $r(166) = .589$ ,  $p < 0.01$ , extraversion,  $r(166) = .616$ ,  $p < 0.01$ , agreeableness,  $r(166) = .614$ ,  $p < 0.01$  neuroticism,  $r(166) = .503$ ,  $p < 0.01$ , and active learning strategies,  $r(166) = .548$ ,  $p < 0.01$ , respectively. It exist an average positive correlation between the personality traits and active learning strategies.

The study found a significant positive correlation between personality traits and active learning strategies among Madurai district school teachers. The dimensions of openness, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, and neuroticism were found to be positively related to active learning strategies. The null hypothesis was rejected, and the alternate hypothesis was accepted, indicating that as personality traits scores increase, active learning strategies also improve.

## Findings and Interpretation

The findings of this study provide important insights into how personality traits vary among school teachers in the Madurai district.

- ***H.2.1 Gender Differences in Personality Traits***
  - Female teachers scored significantly higher in personality traits such as agreeableness and conscientiousness, which align with collaborative and organized teaching styles. Consistent with prior studies, these traits also correlate with increased empathy and interpersonal skills, positively impacting classroom management and student engagement. These traits particularly support active learning, where a supportive and interactive teaching environment is essential.
- ***H.2.2 Teaching Subject (Science vs. Arts)***
  - There was no significant difference in personality traits between teachers of science and arts. This suggests that, in this context, the nature of the subject taught may not strongly influence a teacher's personality traits or their teaching effectiveness.
- ***H.2.3 School Type (Self Financing vs. Government)***
  - The study also found no significant difference in personality traits between private and government school teachers, implying that the type of school management does not substantially influence or reflect teachers' personality traits. This could indicate that both private and government teachers in this area operate under similar pedagogical expectations and environments, leading to comparable personality profiles.
- ***H.2.4 School Locality (Urban vs. Rural)***
  - Urban teachers scored significantly higher in personality traits than their rural counterparts. Studies indicate that urban settings typically offer greater resources, diverse experiences, and professional development opportunities, fostering traits like openness and confidence, which support active learning. In contrast, teachers in rural areas may face limited resources, potentially affecting their access to similar development opportunities.
- ***H.2.6 Medium of Instruction (Tamil vs. English)***

- Teachers instructing in Tamil medium scored higher in personality traits compared to English medium teachers. Teaching in one's native language has been linked to greater confidence and communication skills, enhancing approachability and engagement. English medium teachers, despite their bilingual skills, may experience additional pressures that could impact their expression of these personality traits.
- **H.2.8 Marital Status (Unmarried vs. Married)**
  - Unmarried teachers scored higher in personality traits, possibly due to fewer family obligations, which may allow greater focus on professional growth and self-development. Studies suggest that unmarried teachers may have more time and energy to dedicate to their professional roles, which supports the cultivation of traits that benefit active and engaging teaching practices.

### **Implications for Practice**

The findings suggest targeted areas for professional development in Madurai's educational system. For example, increasing access to resources and training in rural areas and for BT teachers may help reduce disparities in personality trait development. Programs that support bilingual English medium teachers and promote work-life balance among married teachers could also enhance these traits, which in turn supports active learning strategies.

The study found that female teachers have higher mean and standard deviation scores than male teachers, suggesting that female teachers may have more aligned personality traits. Science teachers scored slightly higher than arts teachers, but no significant difference was found. Private school teachers scored marginally higher than government school teachers, suggesting that management type does not strongly influence personality traits. Urban school teachers scored higher than rural teachers, suggesting that school locality might influence personality traits. Postgraduate teachers scored higher than Basic Trained teachers, and teachers teaching in Tamil medium scored higher than English medium teachers. Experience was positively correlated with personality traits.

### **Implications and Future Directions**

The study emphasizes the importance of personality traits in fostering active learning strategies and highlights the need for continuous professional development focused on personal and instructional growth. Workshops, mentorship programs, and supportive policies could be implemented to nurture these traits in teachers, especially those in lower-scoring categories. Further research could expand on the non-significant factors or explore additional variables that may influence both personality traits and teaching effectiveness.

In conclusion, personality traits are significantly related to the quality of active learning strategies in classrooms, underscoring their importance in educational practices. This relationship suggests that cultivating the right personality traits in teachers can lead to a more engaging and student-centered learning environment, ultimately supporting the academic and social development of students.

### **Recommendations**

- **Professional Development:** Tailored training programs that enhance positive personality traits (e.g., openness, conscientiousness) could improve active learning strategy adoption.
- **Focus on Rural and BT Teachers:** Since rural and BT teachers scored lower on personality traits, targeted support for these groups might bridge the gap in active learning approaches.
- **Policy on Experience Sharing:** Establishing mentorship programs where experienced teachers guide less experienced ones could help disseminate effective personality traits and teaching methods.
- **Encourage Language Inclusivity:** Recognizing the value of both Tamil and English mediums could help standardize personality trait development across different language backgrounds.
- **Research on Non-Significant Factors:** Further investigation into why teaching subject and school management type do not significantly influence personality traits may offer insights into other factors impacting teaching quality.

This research underscores the importance of personality traits in teaching effectiveness, suggesting a multi-faceted approach to teacher development.

## Conclusion

This study examined the relationship between personality traits and active learning strategies among school teachers, identifying how factors such as gender, teaching experience, school locality, and designation impact personality traits and, consequently, teaching practices. The findings indicate that personality traits, particularly openness, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, and neuroticism, play a crucial role in the implementation of active learning strategies. Teachers with higher scores in these personality traits are more likely to adopt engaging, student-centered learning approaches, contributing to a more dynamic and effective classroom environment.

Significant differences were observed across various demographic and professional factors. Female teachers, those in urban areas, postgraduate-qualified teachers, Tamil-medium teachers, those with over ten years of experience, and unmarried teachers displayed personality traits more aligned with active learning practices. This suggests that targeted interventions aimed at enhancing specific personality traits could benefit teachers' instructional methods, potentially improving student outcomes.

However, the study also revealed that factors such as teaching subject and type of school management (private or government) did not significantly impact personality traits. This finding implies that while some aspects of teacher background affect personality traits, others may not directly influence them, allowing for flexibility in educational strategies across different teaching environments.

## References

- Aggarwal, J.C. (1966) "*Educational Research*" (10<sup>th</sup>, ed), New Delhi: Vikas Publishing House Pvt Ltd, P.87.
- Aggarwal, J.C. (2007) *Essentials of Educational Psychology*, New Delhi: Vikas Publishing House Pvt. Ltd.

- Agochiya, D.P. (1992) A Cross-Cultural study of Personality, Values and Altruistic behaviour of youth workers. *Fifth Survey of Educational Research, NCERT*, 2(3), pp.864-65.
- Ahluwalia, S.P. & Ashok, K.K. (1984). An investigation into the Urban Rural Differences in Values, Adjustment, Personality and Intelligence scores of Adolescents. *Journal of the Institute of Educational Research*, 8 (3), pp.20-26.
- Ahmed, J. (2003) Education in Values. *The Educational Review*, 46 (2), pp. 226- 228.
- Arthur, J., Michael, W., Robert, C., & Larry V. H., (Eds). (2012) *Research Methods & Methodologies in Education*, Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Asch, S.K. (1952) “*Social Psychology*”, New York: Prentice Hall
- Ashton, M. C., & Lee, K. (2007). Empirical, theoretical, and practical advantages of the HEXACO model of personality structure. *Personality and Social Psychological Review*, 11(1), pp.150–166.
- Bindu, K. (2014) The correlation of Personality Traits and Academic performance: A review of literature. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)*, 19(4), pp.15-18.
- Bozanoglu, I., & Sapanci, A. (2015) The Relationships between the Big Five Personality Traits and Academic Motivation Levels of Turkish University Students. *International Journal of Human and Behavioral Science*, 1(1), pp.1-7.
- Burcullgaz, Y., Mustafa, G., & Furkan.Y. (2016) The relationship between the five-factor personality traits of workers and their job satisfaction: s study on five star hotels in Alanya. *Procedia Economics and Finance* 3(9), pp.284 – 291.
- Caspi, A., Roberts, B. W., & Shiner, R. L. (2005) Personality development: Stability and change. *Annual Reviews of Psychology*, 5(6), pp.453–484.
- Chandrasekar, T., & Chidambaram. V. (2015) The Impact of Big Five Personality Traits and Positive Psychological Strengths towards Job Satisfaction: a Review. *Periodica Polytechnica Social and Management Sciences*, 23(2), pp. 142-150.
- Clark, M. H., & Schroth, C. A. (2010) Examining relationships between academic motivation and personality among college students. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 20(1), pp. 19-24.
- Donnellan, M. B., Oswald, F. L., Baird, B. M., & Lucas, R. E. (2006) The mini-IPIP scales: Tiny-yet-effective measures of the Big Five factors of personality. *Psychological Assessment*, 1(8), pp.192–203.
- Dwivedi, M.P. (1970) A Study of Personality Structure of Teacher and Students and Their Relationship. *Teacher Education Abstracts*, pp.452- 453.
- Flanders, N.A. (1967) *Interaction Analysis: Theory Research and Application*, London: Addison Wesley Publishing House.
- Furnham & Rim (1984) Relating Values and Personality Traits. *The Psychological Reports*, 8(3), pp.953-954.
- Garrett, H.E. (1961) *Statistics in Psychology and Education*. Bombay: Allied Pacific.
- Halpin, A. W. (1967) *Theory and research in administration*. New York: Macmillan.
- Hamed, D.H., & Mohammad, R. (2014) A study on effects of big five personal traits on emotional intelligence. *Management Science Letters* 4(2), pp.1279–1284.
- Hardani, W. (2013) The Influence of Big Five Personality Traits, Work Values, and Compensation Satisfaction with the Performance of Sales Services: Employment Insurance. *International Journal of Applied Psychology* 3(3), pp.74-78. DOI: 10.5923/j.ijap.20130303.06.

- Hart, J. W., Stasson, M. F., Mahoney, J. M., & Story, P. (2007) The Big Five and Achievement Motivation: Exploring the Relationship between Personality and a Two-Factor Model of Motivation. *Individual Differences Research*, 5(4), pp. 267- 274.
- Hazrati, V.A., Rad, A. T., & Torabi, S. S. (2012). The effect of personality traits on academic performance: The mediating role of academic motivation. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 3(2), pp. 367-371.
- Hilgard. E.R. (1956) “*Theories of Learning*”. New York, Appleton Century Crofts.
- Humbyrd, M.D., & Gable, R.K. (2010) "The Relationship of Personality Traits to Satisfaction with the Team: A Study of Interdisciplinary Teacher Teams in Rhode Island Middle Schools" *NERA Conference Proceedings* 1(7).
- Huseyinoz, (2016) The Importance of Personality Traits in Students’ Perceptions of Metacognitive Awareness. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 2(3), pp. 655 – 667.
- Imran, A (2019) Personality traits, individual innovativeness and satisfaction with life. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 4(1), pp.38–46.
- Jeroen, C., Jeroen, S., & Martin, E. (2016) The Relation Between Supervisors’ Big Five Personality Traits and Employees’ Experiences of Abusive Supervision. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7(2).
- John, W. Best. (1959) “*The research in Education*”, New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India Pvt Ltd.
- John, W. Best. (1977) “*Research in Education*”, New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India Pvt Ltd.
- James, V. Khan (1999) “*The research in Education*”, New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India.
- Jones, E.E., & Gerard, H.B. (1967) “*Foundations of Social Psychology*” New York: Wiley.
- Kaul, L. (1974) A Factorial Study of the Personality Traits of Popular Teachers. *Indian Educational Review*, 9(66), pp.78-86.
- Krishna, S.S., & Nightingale, M.A. (1994) *Understanding Effective Teaching*. New Delhi: University News, AIU.
- Maslow, A.H. (1954) “*New Knowledge in Human Values*”. New York: Harper and Row.
- McDougal, W. (1926) “*An Introduction to Social Psychology*”. Boston: John W. Luce.
- Michael, P.H., Wolfram, K., Helene, H., Wulf, R., & Vladeta, A.G. (2016) Big Five personality traits may inform public health policy and preventive medicine: Evidence from a cross-sectional and a prospective longitudinal epidemiologic study in a Swiss community. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 8(4), pp.44–51.
- Mitchell, J. V. (1989) A Multivariate Analysis of Relationship between Personality Traits and Value choices. Retrieved from <http://www.eric.ed.gov>.
- Owens, R.G. (1991) *Organizational behavior in education (4th ed.)*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- OzgurOngore, (2014) A study of relationship between personality traits and job engagement, *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 4(1), pp.1315 – 1319.
- Paunonen, S. V., & Ashton, M. C.(2001) Big five predictors of academic achievement, *Journal of Research in Personality*, 3(5), 78-90
- Rahman, S. (2014). Effect of personality factor on achievement motivation. *Indian Journal of Health and Wellbeing*, 5(1), pp. 40-45.
- Renaud, R.D. & Murray, H.G. (1996) Aging, Personality and Teaching Effectiveness, *Academic Psychologist Research in Higher Education*, 37(3), pp. 223-240.
- Saxena N.R., & Mishra, R.K. (2006) “*Fundamentals of Educational Research*”, R.Lall Book Depot, Meerut.

- Siripapun, L. (2016) Effects of the big-five personality traits and organizational commitments on organizational citizenship behavior of support staff at Ubon Ratchathani Rajabhat University, Thailand. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences* 3(7), pp.104-111.
- Smith, M. B. (1969) “*Social Psychology of Human Values*”. Chicago: Aldine.
- Smith, M.B., Brunner, J.S., & White, R.W. (1956) “*Opinions and Personality*” New York: Wiley.
- Winter, D.G., John, O.P., Stewart, A.J. Klohn, E.C., & Duncan, L.E. (1988) Trait and Motives-Toward an Integration of Two Traditions, *Handbook of Personality theory and Assessment (Vol. I)*, Retrieved from books. google.co.in.