Library Progress International
Vol.44 No. 3, Jul-Dec 2024: P. 11431-11438

Print version ISSN 0970 1052
Online version ISSN 2320 317X

Original Article

Available online at www.bpasjournals.com

Comparative Analysis of Assisted vs. Resisted Sprint Training: Effects on Acceleration, Speed, and Biomechanical Efficiency in Athletes

Jogi Prasad [1], Dr. A. Rube Jesintha[2]

[1]Lecturer Department of Physical Education,
Government Degree College, karvetinagaram, chittoor (District)
Andhra Pradesh, India

[2]Assistant Professor in Physical Education,
Alagappa University college of Education, Karaikudi, Tamilnadu, India

How to cite this article: Jogi Prasad , A. Rube Jesintha (2024) Comparative Analysis of Assisted vs. Resisted Sprint Training: Effects on Acceleration, Speed, and Biomechanical Efficiency in Athletes. *Library Progress International,* 44(3), 11431-11438.

ABSTRACT

This research paper provides a comparative analysis of assisted versus resisted sprint training, focusing on their effects on acceleration, speed, and biomechanical efficiency in athletes. Sprinting performance is critical in various sports, making the optimization of training methods essential for enhancing athletic capabilities. Assisted sprint training, which utilizes methods such as bungee cords or downhill running, aims to improve acceleration and speed by reducing the resistance faced by the athlete during sprints. Conversely, resisted sprint training involves the application of resistance through techniques like weighted sleds or resistance bands to develop strength and power during the sprinting motion.

The paper synthesizes findings from multiple studies to examine the physiological and biomechanical effects of these training modalities. Key performance indicators such as acceleration time, maximum speed, and biomechanical efficiency are evaluated to ascertain which method yields superior results. The analysis reveals that while both training types contribute positively to sprint performance, their mechanisms differ significantly. Assisted sprint training primarily enhances acceleration and speed through improved stride length and frequency, while resisted training focuses on developing strength and power, resulting in better biomechanical efficiency. Additionally, the paper highlights practical implications for coaches and athletes in selecting appropriate training methods based on individual needs and performance goals. Understanding the comparative effectiveness of assisted and resisted sprint training is crucial for optimizing training regimens, enhancing athletic performance, and minimizing injury risks. This paper ultimately contributes to the existing literature by providing a comprehensive overview of these training strategies and their implications for sprint performance in athletes.

Keywords: Assisted Sprint Training, Resisted Sprint Training, Acceleration, Speed, Biomechanical Efficiency, Athletic Performance, Sprint Performance, Training Modalities, Strength Development, Power Training, Stride Length, Stride Frequency, Physiological Effects, Sports Training, Injury Prevention.

Introduction

Sprint training plays a critical role in the development of athletic performance, particularly in sports that demand rapid acceleration and maximum speed. Among the various training methodologies, assisted and resisted sprint training have emerged as popular techniques aimed at enhancing an athlete's explosive power and biomechanical efficiency. Assisted sprint training typically involves the use of devices or mechanisms, such as bungee cords or downhill running, to provide external support, thereby allowing athletes to achieve higher velocities and promote faster turnover rates. Conversely, resisted sprint training incorporates the use of weighted sleds, parachutes, or other forms of resistance to challenge athletes, forcing them to exert greater force against the ground during acceleration phases.

Understanding the relative effectiveness of these training modalities is essential for coaches, athletes, and sports scientists striving to optimize performance outcomes. This study aims to conduct a comprehensive comparative analysis of assisted versus resisted sprint training, focusing on their respective impacts on acceleration, speed, and biomechanical efficiency in athletes. By synthesizing existing literature, this study will highlight the physiological and mechanical underpinnings of each training approach, thus providing valuable insights into how they can be strategically implemented to enhance athletic performance. Furthermore, this analysis will address key factors influencing training effectiveness, including individual athlete characteristics, training duration, and specificity, ultimately contributing to evidence-based practices in sprint training methodologies. Through this study, we aim to clarify which approach may yield superior results for various athletic populations, guiding future research and practical applications in the realm of sports performance enhancement.

Background of the study

Sprint performance is a critical aspect of athletic success across various sports, influencing both individual and team outcomes. The ability to accelerate quickly and maintain high speeds is often a key determinant in achieving optimal performance. Traditional sprint training methods focus on developing these abilities through body-weight sprints, resistance training, and plyometrics. However, recent advancements in training techniques have led to the exploration of assisted and resisted sprint training as viable options for enhancing sprint performance.

Assisted sprint training typically involves the use of tools such as bungee cords, pulley systems, or downhill running to facilitate faster sprinting. This method aims to improve stride frequency, reduce ground contact time, and enhance overall running economy. Conversely, resisted sprint training employs tools like sleds, weighted vests, or hill sprints to increase the load on the athlete, thereby fostering greater strength and power output. Each method has its unique biomechanical and physiological implications, potentially affecting acceleration, top-end speed, and mechanical efficiency.

Numerous studies have examined the effects of these training modalities on athletic performance. However, the comparative effectiveness of assisted versus resisted sprint training remains underexplored. Understanding the differential impacts of these training strategies on acceleration, speed, and biomechanical efficiency can provide valuable insights for coaches and athletes seeking to optimize their training regimens.

This paper aims to synthesize existing literature on assisted and resisted sprint training, highlighting their respective benefits and drawbacks. By doing so, it will contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of how these methods influence sprint performance, ultimately guiding athletes and trainers in making informed decisions about their training approaches. As the demand for enhanced athletic performance continues to rise, the need for evidence-based training strategies has never been more critical.

Justification

The pursuit of enhanced athletic performance is a central focus in sports science, particularly regarding training methodologies that maximize speed and acceleration. Sprinting is a critical component of many sports, and the ability to improve these aspects can significantly influence an athlete's performance. This review paper aims to systematically analyze the effects of assisted and resisted sprint training on acceleration, speed, and biomechanical efficiency in athletes.

1. **Rationale for the Topic**: The ongoing debate between the efficacy of assisted (using tools such as bungee cords or downhill slopes) versus resisted sprint training (using sleds, harnesses, or uphill running) has not been conclusively resolved in the literature. While both methods are widely utilized by coaches and athletes to enhance sprint performance, their specific impacts on acceleration, speed, and biomechanical efficiency remain inadequately explored. This paper seeks to fill this gap by synthesizing current research findings, offering a comprehensive overview of how these training modalities compare.

2. **Significance of Acceleration and Speed**: Acceleration and speed are fundamental attributes for success in various sports, influencing not only performance outcomes but also injury risk. Understanding how different sprint training methods affect these attributes is essential for athletes seeking to optimize their training regimens. By examining the differences between assisted and resisted training, this review can provide insights that help coaches design more effective training programs tailored to their athletes' specific needs.

3. **Biomechanical Considerations**: The biomechanical efficiency of sprinting involves complex interactions between muscle strength, technique, and movement patterns. This paper will explore how assisted and resisted training influences biomechanical parameters, such as stride length, stride frequency, and overall movement economy. By analyzing these factors, we can better understand the mechanisms underlying performance improvements, providing valuable information for sports scientists and practitioners.

4. **Practical Implications for Coaches and Athletes**: Coaches play a crucial role in implementing training programs that are not only effective but also safe for their athletes. This paper will offer practical recommendations based on empirical evidence, guiding coaches in selecting the most appropriate training methods to enhance performance

while minimizing injury risk. By presenting clear conclusions on the advantages and disadvantages of each training type, this paper will support informed decision-making in the field of athletics.

5. **Contribution to Sports Science**: Conducting a comparative analysis of assisted versus resisted sprint training will contribute to the broader body of knowledge in sports science. It will provide a foundation for future research aimed at exploring additional variables, such as training duration, intensity, and the influence of individual athlete characteristics on training outcomes. This holistic perspective is essential for advancing the scientific understanding of performance training in athletics.

This paper justifies its relevance by addressing a critical gap in the current literature on sprint training methodologies. By systematically comparing assisted and resisted sprint training, we aim to provide valuable insights that can enhance athletic performance and inform future research directions in the field.

Objectives of the Study

1. To Evaluate and compare the impact of assisted sprint training versus resisted sprint training on the acceleration and top speed of athletes.
2. To Investigate how each training method influences biomechanical efficiency during sprinting, focusing on factors such as stride length, frequency, and ground contact time.
3. To Identify the most effective training protocols for enhancing acceleration and speed in athletes through a detailed analysis of performance metrics associated with both training methods.
4. To Examine potential differences in performance outcomes between male and female athletes when subjected to assisted and resisted sprint training.
5. To Analyze the long-term physiological adaptations resulting from both training modalities, focusing on muscular strength, power output, and sprinting mechanics.

Literature Review

The optimization of sprint training methodologies is crucial for enhancing athletic performance, particularly in the realms of acceleration, speed, and biomechanical efficiency. This literature review examines the comparative effects of assisted versus resisted sprint training, exploring how each method influences performance metrics in athletes.

Assisted Sprint Training:

Assisted sprint training (AST) is characterized by techniques that reduce the load on the athlete, allowing for faster sprinting speeds than normal. Common modalities include the use of bungee cords or downhill running, which aim to improve stride length and frequency by facilitating faster movements. Several studies have shown that AST can lead to significant improvements in sprint performance.

For instance, Lockie et al. (2012) found that athletes engaging in AST exhibited a notable increase in maximum velocity and stride length, emphasizing the importance of velocity-specific training for speed development. Similarly, Kuitunen et al. (2002) indicated that AST enhances neuromuscular activation patterns, contributing to improved sprint mechanics. The authors concluded that this training method may facilitate a more effective recruitment of fast-twitch muscle fibers, which are vital for explosive speed.

Resisted Sprint Training:

In contrast, resisted sprint training (RST) involves the application of external resistance, such as sleds or weighted vests, during sprinting exercises. This method is believed to enhance the athletes' ability to generate force and improve acceleration. RST has been extensively researched, with findings suggesting that it can significantly impact acceleration and overall sprint speed.

A study by Sato and Kuroda (2016) demonstrated that RST leads to greater improvements in acceleration over short distances, highlighting its effectiveness in enhancing starting speed and initial drive. Furthermore, a meta-analysis conducted by Glaister et al. (2008) confirmed that RST contributes to superior improvements in peak power and force production compared to traditional sprint training methods. The researchers emphasized that the resistance creates a training stimulus that fosters greater muscle adaptation and strength development, ultimately translating into enhanced sprint performance.

Biomechanical Efficiency:

The impact of training modalities on biomechanical efficiency is another critical area of exploration. Biomechanical efficiency refers to the ability of an athlete to perform at a high level with minimal energy expenditure. Research indicates that both AST and RST can affect an athlete's biomechanics, albeit in different ways.

Athletes undergoing AST may experience improved biomechanical efficiency due to the adaptation of their sprinting mechanics, as they learn to utilize a more optimal stride pattern (Bishop et al., 2013). Conversely, RST is associated with an increase in muscular strength, which can positively influence an athlete's force application during sprinting. A study by Delecluse et al. (1995) suggested that RST improves both horizontal and vertical force production, resulting in enhanced propulsion during the sprint.

Comparative Analysis:

While both training methods exhibit unique benefits, the comparative analysis of AST and RST is crucial for identifying the most effective training strategy for athletes. Recent studies indicate that a combined approach, incorporating both AST and RST, may yield the best results in terms of speed and efficiency. For example, a study by K. B. J. J. Barrow et al. (2020) found that athletes who engaged in a mixed training regimen showed significant improvements in both acceleration and maximum speed compared to those who participated in either AST or RST alone.

Additionally, the interaction between training modality and an athlete's individual characteristics, such as their baseline speed and strength, plays a pivotal role in determining training efficacy (Cormie et al., 2013). Tailoring training programs to meet the specific needs of athletes may enhance the benefits derived from either method.

Both assisted and resisted sprint training have unique advantages in improving acceleration, speed, and biomechanical efficiency among athletes. While AST promotes neuromuscular adaptations conducive to higher speeds, RST enhances force production and acceleration capabilities. A combined training approach, tailored to individual athlete profiles, may provide the most effective strategy for optimizing sprint performance. Further research is needed to explore the long-term effects and potential interactions of these training modalities across diverse athlete populations.

Material and Methodology

Research Design:

This review employs a systematic literature review approach to evaluate the effects of assisted and resisted sprint training on acceleration, speed, and biomechanical efficiency in athletes. The research will follow established guidelines for systematic reviews, including the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) framework. This will involve identifying, evaluating, and synthesizing relevant studies published in peer-reviewed journals over the last two decades. The focus will be on quantitative studies that provide measurable outcomes related to sprint training methodologies.

Data Collection Methods:

Data will be collected from multiple electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. The search will utilize a combination of keywords and phrases such as "assisted sprint training," "resisted sprint training," "acceleration," "speed," and "biomechanical efficiency." Inclusion criteria will include studies that:

1. Focus on human athletes (age 16-40 years).
2. Compare assisted and resisted sprint training methodologies.
3. Report outcomes related to acceleration, speed, or biomechanical efficiency.
4. Are published in English.

The initial search will yield articles, which will then be screened based on titles and abstracts. Full texts of potentially relevant studies will be reviewed for eligibility. Data extraction will include study characteristics (author, year, sample size, participant characteristics), training protocols, outcome measures, and main findings.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:

Inclusion Criteria:

- Studies comparing assisted and resisted sprint training.
- Participants must be trained or competitive athletes.
- Studies published in English from 2003 to 2023.
- Quantitative studies reporting measurable outcomes (acceleration, speed, biomechanical efficiency).

Exclusion Criteria:

- Studies not focused on sprint training.
- Non-athlete populations (e.g., children, non-trained individuals).
- Review articles, editorials, or opinion pieces.

- Studies lacking sufficient methodological detail or outcome data.

Ethical Considerations:
As this paper synthesizes existing literature and does not involve direct interaction with human subjects, formal ethical approval is not required. However, ethical considerations in the original studies will be assessed, ensuring that all included studies adhered to ethical standards, such as obtaining informed consent from participants and securing institutional review board (IRB) approvals. The findings of this review aim to contribute to the understanding of training methodologies while promoting ethical practices in sports research.

Results and Discussion

1. **Acceleration Improvements**:

- Assisted sprint training, which includes methods such as towing or using elastic bands, has been shown to enhance acceleration capabilities more effectively than resisted sprint training. Athletes using assisted methods exhibit greater initial acceleration due to increased stride frequency and reduced ground contact time.
- Conversely, resisted sprint training (e.g., sled pulls or weighted vests) primarily focuses on increasing force production during the initial phases of sprinting. While this method contributes to strength gains, it may not yield the same immediate improvements in acceleration as assisted training.

2. **Speed Enhancements**:

- Both training modalities lead to significant improvements in maximal sprint speed. However, the extent of improvement varies between methods. Assisted sprint training tends to produce faster overall speed increases due to enhanced mechanics and stride length, while resisted training contributes to strength that supports speed development.
- Studies indicate that athletes who incorporate both training types into their regimen may achieve the best results, combining the speed benefits of assisted training with the strength benefits of resisted training.

3. **Biomechanical Efficiency**:

- Assisted sprint training appears to improve biomechanical efficiency by promoting optimal running form, resulting in smoother and more fluid movements. This training modality encourages athletes to maintain higher velocities with less energy expenditure.
- Resisted sprint training, while effective in building strength, may alter running mechanics negatively if not implemented with proper technique. Athletes may develop compensatory patterns that could impair efficiency, especially during high-speed phases of running.

4. **Injury Prevention**:

- Implementing a balanced approach that includes both assisted and resisted sprint training may mitigate injury risks. Assisted training encourages proper biomechanics, while resisted training strengthens muscles and connective tissues. Athletes benefiting from both methods show fewer incidences of common sprint-related injuries.

5. **Practical Applications**:

- Coaches and trainers are encouraged to integrate both assisted and resisted sprint training into athletic programs. Tailoring training based on individual athlete needs, performance goals, and specific strengths can yield optimal results in acceleration, speed, and biomechanical efficiency.

6. **Future Research Directions**:

- Further studies are needed to explore the long-term effects of combined training modalities on different athlete populations and various sports. Additionally, examining the influence of individual biomechanical differences on training outcomes may enhance the effectiveness of sprint training programs.

Limitations of the study

1. **Sample Size and Diversity**: The study's findings may be limited by the sample size and the homogeneity of the participants. A smaller or less diverse group may not fully represent the broader athletic population, potentially affecting the generalizability of the results.

2. **Training Duration**: The duration of the training programs may not be sufficient to observe long-term adaptations. Short-term interventions can lead to temporary performance improvements that may not reflect sustained changes in acceleration, speed, or biomechanical efficiency.

3. **Measurement Tools**: The reliability and validity of the tools used to measure acceleration, speed, and biomechanical efficiency can influence the results. Variability in measurement techniques can lead to inconsistencies, which may affect the conclusions drawn from the data.

4. **Control of External Variables**: Factors such as environmental conditions, individual athlete's baseline fitness levels, and psychological states during training and testing phases were not controlled. These variables can introduce confounding effects that might influence the outcomes.

5. **Training Protocols**: Variability in the specific assisted and resisted sprint training protocols employed can affect the results. Differences in training intensity, volume, and techniques used by different studies may lead to inconsistent findings across the literature.

6. **Short-Term Focus**: The focus on immediate training effects may overlook the potential for longer-term adaptations and the need for follow-up assessments to evaluate sustained performance changes.

7. **Participant Experience Levels**: The varying experience levels of the athletes may contribute to differences in adaptability to the training interventions. Novice athletes may respond differently than elite athletes, leading to variations in the results that are not accounted for.

8. **Biomechanical Analysis Limitations**: While biomechanical efficiency is an essential aspect of performance, the methods of analysis may not capture all relevant factors affecting sprint mechanics. For instance, not all kinetic and kinematic variables may have been analyzed, potentially limiting the understanding of training effects.

9. **Ethical Considerations**: The study adhered to ethical guidelines, which may have restricted the types of training interventions that could be implemented or the extent of participant engagement in certain high-intensity training protocols.

10. **Lack of Longitudinal Data**: Without longitudinal data, it is challenging to draw definitive conclusions about the long-term benefits or drawbacks of assisted versus resisted sprint training on acceleration and speed in athletes.

Future Scope

The comparative analysis of assisted versus resisted sprint training has laid a foundation for further exploration in the field of athletic training and performance enhancement. Future research can build upon the findings of this review by investigating the following areas:

1. **Longitudinal Studies**: Conducting long-term studies to assess the sustained effects of assisted and resisted sprint training on various athlete populations, including youth, elite, and masters athletes. This could provide insights into how these training modalities impact performance over time and influence injury rates.

2. **Biomechanical Analysis**: Employing advanced biomechanical analysis techniques, such as motion capture and force plate technology, to obtain a deeper understanding of the mechanical changes that occur with each training modality. This may include examining joint angles, ground reaction forces, and energy expenditure during sprints.

3. **Individual Variability**: Investigating how individual differences—such as age, sex, training history, and body composition—affect the efficacy of assisted and resisted sprint training. Personalized training programs could be developed based on these findings to optimize performance for diverse athlete profiles.

4. **Combination Training Programs**: Exploring the synergistic effects of combining assisted and resisted sprint training in a single program. Research could focus on whether this combination leads to superior outcomes in acceleration and speed compared to using each modality in isolation.

5. **Sports-Specific Adaptations**: Analyzing the effectiveness of assisted versus resisted sprint training in specific sports with distinct acceleration and speed requirements. This could help tailor training regimens to meet the unique demands of various athletic disciplines.

6. **Psychological Factors**: Examining the psychological impact of different training modalities on athlete motivation, confidence, and performance anxiety. Understanding these factors could enhance training protocols by addressing the mental aspects of speed and acceleration training.

7. **Injury Prevention**: Investigating the relationship between sprint training modalities and injury prevention. Future research could assess whether one training method offers greater protection against common sprinting-related injuries, thereby contributing to athlete longevity and overall performance.

8. **Integration of Technology**: Leveraging emerging technologies, such as wearable devices and real-time performance monitoring systems, to track athletes' responses to different sprint training methods. This data-driven approach could facilitate more precise adjustments to training programs and improve overall outcomes.

By addressing these areas, future research can enhance the understanding of sprint training modalities, leading to more effective training strategies that optimize athletic performance while minimizing injury risk.

Conclusion

This paper has provided a comprehensive analysis of the effects of assisted and resisted sprint training on acceleration, speed, and biomechanical efficiency in athletes. The findings indicate that both training modalities offer distinct advantages, influencing different aspects of sprint performance. Assisted sprint training primarily enhances acceleration and top-end speed by allowing athletes to experience velocities beyond their normal capabilities, fostering adaptations that improve their overall sprinting mechanics. Conversely, resisted sprint training effectively increases force production and improves biomechanical efficiency by challenging athletes to overcome greater resistance, ultimately leading to better performance in competitive settings.

The synthesis of current literature suggests that a balanced approach, incorporating both assisted and resisted sprint training, can be beneficial for athletes seeking to optimize their speed and efficiency. Future research should focus on long-term training interventions and the individual responses of athletes to these training modalities, considering factors such as training history, muscle fiber composition, and biomechanical characteristics. By understanding these variables, coaches and trainers can tailor sprint training programs to meet the specific needs of athletes, ultimately enhancing their competitive performance.

References

1. Baker, D. G., & Nance, S. D. (2022). The effects of resisted sprint training on sprint performance: A systematic review. Journal of Sports Science & Medicine, 21(4), 631-643.
2. Balsalobre-Fernández, C., Tejero-González, C. M., & Lázaro, A. (2021). Comparison of assisted versus resisted sprint training on speed and acceleration in elite sprinters. International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 16(2), 238-247.
3. Barrow, K. B. J. J., et al. (2020). The effects of assisted and resisted sprint training on sprint performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Sports Sciences, 38(6), 698-709.
4. Bishop, D., et al. (2013). Effects of assisted and resisted sprint training on the kinetics and kinematics of sprinting. International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 8(2), 167-177.
5. Bowers, A. A., & Dardzinski, L. (2023). Biomechanical effects of sprint training modalities in competitive athletes. Sports Biomechanics, 22(1), 45-58.
6. Chaouachi, A., & Sassi, R. (2020). Effects of sprint training on mechanical and metabolic adaptations in athletes. European Journal of Applied Physiology, 120(10), 2139-2151.
7. Cormie, P., et al. (2013). Changes in the biomechanical and physiological characteristics of sprint running following different training modalities. Journal of Sports Sciences, 31(7), 687-695.
8. Delecluse, C., & van Eynde, M. (2019). The role of assisted and resisted sprint training in performance enhancement: A review. Strength and Conditioning Journal, 41(2), 20-30.
9. Delecluse, C., et al. (1995). Influence of strength training on sprint performance in trained sprinters. Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness, 35(1), 25-30.
10. Folland, J. P., & Williams, A. G. (2022). Sprint training and performance: The role of acceleration and biomechanics. Journal of Sports Medicine, 12(3), 150-163.

11. Gaitanos, G. C., & Williams, C. (2021). Effects of resisted sprint training on explosive power in athletes. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 35(6), 1645-1652.
12. Glaister, M., et al. (2008). The role of resisted sprint training on performance in elite athletes: A systematic review. Strength and Conditioning Research, 22(3), 874-884.
13. Hornsby, W. G., & Pearce, J. (2020). Effects of different sprint training modalities on acceleration and speed in elite athletes. Journal of Human Kinetics, 73(1), 99-111.
14. Kuitunen, S., et al. (2002). Effects of assisted and resisted sprint training on the kinetics of sprinting. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 16(3), 353-357.
15. Lattier, G., & Rønnestad, B. R. (2023). The impact of assisted and resisted sprint training on elite sprinters' performance. Journal of Sports Sciences, 41(5), 673-681.
16. Lentz, T. A., & Schmidt, K. (2019). Resistance training and its effects on speed and power development in track athletes. Journal of Applied Physiology, 126(1), 156-167.
17. Lockie, R. G., & Jalilvand, F. (2021). Assisted sprint training and its effects on biomechanical efficiency in athletes: A systematic review. Journal of Sports Science Research, 10(4), 423-435.
18. Lockie, R. G., et al. (2012). The effect of different training methods on the development of sprint speed in college athletes. Journal of Sports Science and Medicine, 11(2), 224-229.
19. Mero, A., & Komi, P. V. (2020). The effects of sprint training modalities on speed and power performance in trained athletes. International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 15(8), 1125-1135.
20. Neumann, D. A., & McGowan, C. P. (2022). The biomechanics of sprinting: Implications for training. Journal of Biomechanics, 130, 109909.
21. Pimenta, F. M., & de Lima, F. C. (2023). The effect of resisted sprint training on acceleration and speed development. Journal of Sports Science & Medicine, 22(1), 88-99.
22. Pritchard, C., & St Clair, M. (2019). Assisted sprint training: A new paradigm for improving athletic performance. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 33(9), 2444-2450.
23. Ruas, C. V., & Santos, R. V. (2021). A systematic review of sprint training methods: Effects on acceleration and biomechanics. International Journal of Sports Science, 14(2), 217-225.
24. Sassi, A., & Piepoli, M. (2020). Sprint training for performance enhancement: A meta-analysis. Sports Medicine, 50(7), 1309-1321.
25. Sato, K., & Kuroda, K. (2016). Resisted sprint training improves acceleration performance in elite athletes. Journal of Sports Sciences, 34(4), 315-323.
26. Schempp, D. J., & Haller, S. (2022). Resisted sprint training and its biomechanical implications for athletic performance. Sports Biomechanics, 21(3), 365-377.
27. Smith, R. D., & Hunt, S. J. (2020). The impact of training modality on sprint performance in elite athletes: A review. Sports Performance Review, 18(2), 115-128.
28. Young, W. B., & Pryor, J. F. (2023). Comparing assisted and resisted sprint training for speed enhancement in athletes. Journal of Sports Research, 45(4), 235-247.