Original Article

Available online at www.bpasjournals.com

Impact of Young Adult Literature using Social Cognitive Theory

Trakshi Sisodiya¹, Dr. Renu Shrivastava²

¹Research Scholar, Department of English, IES University Bhopal (MP), <u>trakshisisodiya78@gmail.com</u>
²Professor, Department of English, IES University Bhopal (MP), <u>drrenu.shrivastava@iesuniversity.ac.in</u>

ABSTRACT

The rationale of this study was evaluating the progression and interaction between expressive emotional resilience and self-assurance in regulating affective responses from teenage to prime of life. A latent development curve method used to interpret degrees of emotional steadiness and self-assurance in handling negative sentiments and showing positive sentiments. We noticed that initial emotional resilience and self-assurance affective beliefs were highly tied together. According to the expectation, the growing pace of discerned self-assurance in handling negative sentiments estimated the growing rate of steadiness in emotions, whereas the reverse path shows no significance. Advancements in self-assurance for dealing with positive emotional presence and emotional equilibrium were found unrelated. These determinations suggest that self-assurance beliefs have an impact on change of traits. The realistic benefits of the conclusions are discussed with a focus on the functioning of social cognitive theory in offering perfect measures for organizing efficacious intercessions to facilitate individuals achieve their potential.

KEYWORDS

Personality progression; Character scales and registries; Emotional skill.

1. Introduction

In recent times, personality psychology space is largely controlled by two conflicting theories: trait techniques and social cognitive approaches. Trait theory reflects personality as a structured hierarchy of feelings, thoughts, and actions that are linked to instinctive rudimentary inclinations which from infancy decide possible potential of a person (1). The phrase personality traits are utilized to talk about the basic potential which incline to present consistent, sequences of experience and action across scenarios. There is a broad agreement on a five-factor personality framework that consists of extraversion, amicability, diligent behavior, emotional resilience, and an openness to experiences as chief traits, which are capable to supersede mostly. different inferior natures. These features synchronize qualities that correspond to particular dimensions of individual disparities in personality (2). Detailed literary

works document the operational value of the

Big Five in outlining and projecting human activities among different sectors including Academic levels and accomplishments, The labor sector, Health measures, psychopathology, and anti-social acts. The social cognitive theory, differently, regards personality as a psychological cognitive-emotional system that, as a consequence of shared performance The functioning of functionally detached systems, which increase in time most often under the direction of experience. Notably, social cognitive approaches reflect over the mental mechanisms that empower individuals to interact efficiently with the environment, assign personal implications to activities, and schedule and carry out activities as per their individual aims and standards. This has incited a focus on individual agency's different features, such as self-introspection and self-management, which allow individuals to utilize their personal and external experiences, to select and transform the environments they inhabit, and contributing in charting their individual life paths.

2. Hypotheses regarding the evolution of qualities

Results in the literature verify high "rank order" steadiness of emotional resilience. Elongated correlations for this attribute lie around .50 (20), which are pretty long-lasting compared to other personality traits over time. However, while examining average changes across life periods, emotional resilience speculated to rise from adolescence to early adulthood, attaining a climax and later a stabilization in adulthood and a minor decline in elderly age (8). Inferring from this publication, we anticipated a high degree of consistency, i.e., strong connections the same level over time, for each the three personality measurements (8). When acknowledging emotional resilience as a demonstration of innate power and discerned efficiency as a sense of learnable mastery, the former expected to transition over time less than the latter. Additionally, we assumed that the complexity coefficients diminish the larger the gap between the measuring instances. As per the literature (8), we also hypothesized that both emotional equilibrium and the affective self-assurance beliefs towards regulation development, that is on the analogy of evolving resources a trans time. Simultaneously, a rational expectation was to find individual modulation at an initial level and variation in speed of change over time for all variables (8) because there was individual modulation during the teenage years.

3. Hypotheses outlining the interaction among the structures

Prior findings and theoretical arguments infer a mutual relationship between fluctuations in emotional resilience and self-assurance affective beliefs. Basic characteristics mainly coordinate with what is provided by an elementary aptitude, while it plays a significant job in predisposing individuals to respond to environmental pressures. Consequently, changes in emotional steadiness should heavily impact changes in self-assured affective beliefs. Since self-assurance beliefs largely explain what is changeable and experiential, those that are able to process negative sentiments and articulate positive sentiments are likely to maintain a state of calmness, upon encountering challenges and obstacles and even reduce incidents of mood swings. Particularly, it can be assumed that self-assurance beliefs perform a unique task in advising individuals to pick proper reactions to various scenarios and attain the total display of their trait potential. Although we should unappreciated the crucial distinction between nesting and knowing doing capability, a large figure of literature aligns with social cognitive theory suggesting confidence in one's abilities serve as most proximate signs that shows individuals' operative capabilities (1). Even if our reasoning tends to assign emotional resilience a causal position when presenting opportunities for the formation of ineffective belief systems, we are conscious that we cannot settle the direction of the effect between resilience in emotions and efficacy in emotion management when they are gauged (i.e., for the first instance the constructs were examined). As a result, our expectation is that evident and apparent associations will be detected between emotional steadiness and self-assured affective beliefs as they initially measured.

4. Method

Participants this examination encompassed 195 youths (100 girls and 95 boys) who were surveyed at four unique decision stages. All contenders were 15 years old at stage S1, during their ninth grade, 17 years old at stage S2, 19 years old at S3, and 21 years old at stage S4. Participants were from high school in Guna, Madhya Pradesh. Participation remained relatively strong throughout the gathering of data across taken time span:78% from S1 to S2, 79% from S1 to S3, and 51% from S1 to S4. Attrition mainly due to moving out of the area or absence of contact by a participant. Analysis of variance showed no significant variation among participants compared to their counterparts on any variable at the starting point, and clusters did not differ on correlation matrices.

4.1 Procedure

At S1, S2, S3 and S4 self-regulated questionnaires examining the variables of interest were administered by two examiners in the classroom. The study followed a rigid consent procedure, including parental consent and school board approval at varying points, and children's liberty to reject participation if they opted to do so. The analysts articulated the confidentiality of the interviews by maintaining their privacy.

4.2 Measures

Participants were scrutinized at all four phases of longitudinal data collection. At each phase, emotional resilience was succeeded by self-assured regulating affective beliefs. Items for the two realms of self-assurance (discerned self-assurance governing negative or resentment sentiments and articulating positive or elated sentiments) are randomly ordered to scale accordingly.

4.2.1 Emotional Resilience

Contenders responded (1= strongly disagree; 5= strongly agree) their emotional resilience on 9 items taken based on Big Five Questionnaire (BFQ). Strong correlation found within the comparable scales like openness, extroversion etc. Cronbach's Alpha Level (CAL) were .71 at S1, .79 at S2, .80 at S3 and .82 at S4.

4.2.2 Self-assurance regulating affective beliefs

Self-assurance regulating affective beliefs were assessed based on two metrics assessing the self-assurance regulating capability to control the negative influence within the confront of uneasiness stirring dangers, outrage incitements, dismissal and disregard, and capacity to control stressing or uneasiness when things turn off-base (e.g. 'How well can you keep from getting discouraged by strong criticism?' and 'How well can you get over irritation quickly for wrongs you have experienced?'). CAL are .79, .80, .83 and .81 at S1, S2, S3 and S4 respectively. Five items evaluate one's seen tendency to specific positive influence such as enjoying and love towards others, excitement and satisfaction, and fulfilment after individual achievements (e.g. 'How well can you express joy when good things happen to you?' or How much joy can you find in your accomplishments?'). CAL are .85, .81, .86 and .74 at time S1, S2, S3 and S4 respectively. To assess self-assurance affective beliefs, participants rated self-assurance beliefs based on 5-option scale (1-not likely at all to 5 -very likely).

5. Results

5.1 Statistical Description

Recorded mean data values, standard deviation data values, and correlation data depicting the relationship between both emotional resilience and self-assurance affective beliefs spanning four stages as in table 1. Pairwise correlations imply intermediate levels of stability over time. The average correlation between consecutive stages was .60 for emotional resilience, .52 for discerned self-assurance in controlling negative sentiments, and 0.45 for discerned selfassurance in positive sentiments. When comparisons for significance were utilized, it was unveiled that, on average, order stability was significantly greater for emotional steadiness than discerned self-assurance for expressing positive sentiments (p < 0.05). On the opposite end, the variance in high rank stability between emotional resilience and discerned self-assurance governing negative sentiments was not notable. As hypothesized, consistency coefficients decreased the wider the gap in measuring times; correlations between S1 and S4, 0.49 for emotional resilience, 0.40 discerned self-assurance to manage negative sentiments, and 0.28 for discerned self-assurance to express positive sentiments.

5.2 Univariate developmental models

Analysis of the growth of emotional resilience and self- assurance regulating affective beliefs

140	ic 1. ivicans, sta	nama .	devidile	n and n	itorcorre	attons an	none cine	tional sta	omity and	u direct	ve sem-	Commerci	y circus	y ounce	
		vi	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	10000

DSE in positive sentiments (15)	2.07													
sentiments (15)	2.07													
	3.97	0.64	1.00											
DSE in negative														
sentiments (15) Emotional	3.09	0.69	0.36	1.00										
Resilience (15) DSE in positive	3.01	0.41	0.21	0.49	1.00									
sentiments (17) DSE in negative	4.12	0.55	0.41	0.25	0.17	1.00								
sentiments (17)	3.29	0.54	0.15	0.49	0.40	0.37	1.00							
Resilience (17)	2.85	0.53	0.16	0.39	0.56	0.13	0.47	1.00						
sentiments (19)	4.15	0.58	0.29	0.11	0.10	0.50	0.18	0.10	1.00					
sentiments (19)	3.03	0.59	0.14	0.41	0.39	0.30	0.44	0.43	0.34	1.00				
Resilience (19)	3.02	0.61	0.08	0.31	0.51	0.20	0.37	0.63	0.21	0.50	1.00			
sentiments (21)	4.15	0.63	0.25	0.03	0.10	0.40	0.25	0.07	0.47	0.06	0.03	1.00		
sentiments (21) Emotional	3.09	0.70	0.13	0.41	0.43	0.16	0.39	0.44	0.18	0.53	0.45	0.26	1.00	
Resilience (21)	2.87	0.49	0.02	0.29	0.47	0.13	0.31	0.59	0.14	0.43	061	0.08	0.59	1.00
	Emotional Resilience (15) DSE in positive sentiments (17) DSE in negative sentiments (17) Emotional Resilience (17) DSE in positive sentiments (19) DSE in positive sentiments (19) Emotional Resilience (19) DSE in positive sentiments (21) DSE in positive sentiments (21) DSE in positive sentiments (21) Emotional	Emotional Resilience (15) DSE in positive sentiments (17) DSE in negative sentiments (17) Emotional Resilience (17) Emotional Resilience (17) DSE in positive sentiments (19) DSE in positive sentiments (19) DSE in negative sentiments (19) DSE in negative sentiments (19) DSE in positive sentiments (21) DSE in positive sentiments (21) DSE in negative sentiments (21) DSE in negative sentiments (21) DSE in megative sentiments (21) Emotional	Emotional Resilience (15) 3.01 0.41	Emotional Resilience (15) 3.01 0.41 0.21	Emotional Resilience (15) 3.01 0.41 0.21 0.49	Emotional Resilience (15) 3.01 0.41 0.21 0.49 1.00	Emotional Resilience (15) 3.01 0.41 0.21 0.49 1.00	Emotional Resilience (15) 3.01 0.41 0.21 0.49 1.00 DSE in positive sentiments (17) 4.12 0.55 0.41 0.25 0.17 1.00 DSE in positive sentiments (17) 3.29 0.54 0.15 0.49 0.40 0.37 1.00 Emotional Resilience (17) 2.85 0.53 0.16 0.39 0.56 0.13 0.47 DSE in positive sentiments (19) 4.15 0.58 0.29 0.11 0.10 0.50 0.18 DSE in negative sentiments (19) 3.03 0.59 0.14 0.41 0.39 0.30 0.44 Emotional Resilience (19) 3.02 0.61 0.08 0.31 0.51 0.20 0.37 DSE in positive sentiments (21) 4.15 0.63 0.25 0.03 0.10 0.40 0.25 DSE in negative sentiments (21) 3.09 0.70 0.13 0.41 0.43 0.16 0.39 Emotional 0.70 0	Emotional Resilience (15) 3.01 0.41 0.21 0.49 1.00 DSE in positive sentiments (17) 4.12 0.55 0.41 0.25 0.17 1.00 DSE in negative sentiments (17) 3.29 0.54 0.15 0.49 0.40 0.37 1.00 Emotional Resilience (17) 2.85 0.53 0.16 0.39 0.56 0.13 0.47 1.00 DSE in positive sentiments (19) 4.15 0.58 0.29 0.11 0.10 0.50 0.18 0.10 DSE in negative sentiments (19) 3.03 0.59 0.14 0.41 0.39 0.30 0.44 0.43 Emotional Resilience (19) 3.02 0.61 0.08 0.31 0.51 0.20 0.37 0.63 DSE in positive sentiments (21) 4.15 0.63 0.25 0.03 0.10 0.40 0.25 0.07 DSE in negative sentiments (21) 3.09 0.70 0.13 0.41 0.43 0.16 0.39 0.44 Emotional Constitute sentiments (21) 3.09 0.70 0.13 0.41 0.43 0.16 0.39 0.44 Emotional Constitute sentiments (21) 3.09 0.70 0.13 0.41 0.43 0.16 0.39 0.44 Emotional Constitute sentiments (21) 3.09 0.70 0.13 0.41 0.43 0.16 0.39 0.44 Emotional Constitute sentiments (21) 3.09 0.70 0.13 0.41 0.43 0.16 0.39 0.44 0.45 0.4	Emotional Resilience (15) 3.01 0.41 0.21 0.49 1.00 DSE in positive sentiments (17) 4.12 0.55 0.41 0.25 0.17 1.00 DSE in negative sentiments (17) 3.29 0.54 0.15 0.49 0.40 0.37 1.00 Emotional Resilience (17) 2.85 0.53 0.16 0.39 0.56 0.13 0.47 1.00 DSE in positive sentiments (19) 4.15 0.58 0.29 0.11 0.10 0.50 0.18 0.10 1.00 DSE in negative sentiments (19) 3.03 0.59 0.14 0.41 0.39 0.30 0.44 0.43 0.34 Emotional Resilience (19) 3.02 0.61 0.08 0.31 0.51 0.20 0.37 0.63 0.21 DSE in positive sentiments (21) 4.15 0.63 0.25 0.03 0.10 0.40 0.25 0.07 0.47 DSE in negative sentiments (21) 3.09 0.70 0.13 0.41 0.43 0.16 0.39 0.44 0.18 Emotional 0.30 0.70 0.13 0.41 0.43 0.16 0.39 0.44 0.18 Emotional 0.40 0.4	Emotional Resilience (15) 3.01 0.41 0.21 0.49 1.00	Emotional Resilience (15) 3.01 0.41 0.21 0.49 1.00	Emotional Resilience (15)	Emotional Resilience (15)

Note: DSE- discerned self-efficacy

Time stages are mentioned in brackets.

was carried out one of a latent enhancement (22). For each property, we assessed varied confined models that laid out diverse growth patterns (23). The starting point stands for the foundation for each variable (S1). This value is superimposed on the initial stage of experiment (15 years) by fixing all baseline weights to 1 and the initial trajectory weight to 0. The growth path signifies the trajectory of the property over time. This bids an opportunity to explore modifications in the average degree of the observed patterns. As described, univariate term alludes to the quantity or size of transformation in a property throughout the duration. This transformation is absorbed in the variations of the sample means. In this study, the data examination model enshrined empowered us to comprehend this fluctuation with a parameter of the average escalation in the uprise trend: if this factor proves noteworthy, the mean of the property undergoes changes between the primary and latest measurement. Precise the parameter approximations for the premium-unifying development models. Contrarily to assumptions, the mean trajectory reveals a shrinkage in emotional resilience across the four

12

consecutive stages. Now, various potential elucidations for the unpredicted culmination are presented in the Discussion argument.

Discerned self-assurance for articulating-positive sentiments escalated across consecutive stages (S1-S4), while discerned self-assurance for governing negative sentiments extended as well.

5.3 Multivariate developmental contour modelling

When the most fitting growth contour model for a trait was settled on, we scrutinized a multivariate growth contour model which analyses the relationship amidst the properties under investigation growing stages. Primarily, we set up an assessment framework where the development characteristics of emotional resilience and self-assurance affective beliefs (i.e., baseline and growth rate) were aligned. To allow for plausible differences amidst men and women, gender was absorbed in the model as a constant covariate. The fitted model harmonized the statistics, (62, N = 195). Later, we set up a non-recursive latent growth model, which adjudicated the interplay effects of

emotional steadiness trajectory and (i) discerned self-assurance in governing negative sentiments and (ii) discerned self-assurance in articulating. affirmative sentiments. Concepts of emotional equilibrium and affective performance efficiency are predicted to be highly entwined.

6. Findings and Conclusion

Trait reasoning and social cognitive reasoning have been predominant in the realm of personality psychology recently. If, trait reasoning imagine personality as a hierarchical framework of affective, cognition, and behavioral sequences, traceable to rudimentary endogenous tendencies (9), then cognitive reasoning conceive personality as a effective cognitive system. collaboration of functionally detached mechanisms. Lately, these two conflicting arguments have emerged as complemental and reciprocally informatorily about personality function and growth (21). In this amalgamated perspective, person can scrutinize the traits which spawn a chance to evolve harmonized sequences of perception, sentiment, and performance, while self-assurance beliefs can be discerned as custodians to the realization of the effective adaption amidst environment and human. Witnessing characters as capabilities expand the conventional attention not tricks that are decided from the inception and marks the fact that trait progress and surface through the dynamic interactivity among people and their sociocultural landscape. This is aligning with a flexible stance of propensities and likeliness of results. The above-mentioned findings align with most of the propositions we made at the get go of this study. As per previous discoveries, both emotional steadiness and self-assurance beliefs presented high correlative steadiness over the time: successive correlations for emotional resilience were anticipated to be larger than self-assurance beliefs. The realms of emotional resilience in this study are congruent contrasted with the outcomes of other longitudinal experiments assessing trait consistency (8). As guessed, placement consistency mean decreased the more delayed the time passage amidst measurements. Affective selfregulatory efficiency beliefs only sometimes displayed the direct development we anticipated: Emotional resilience illustrated a non-linear tendency that initially dipped, subsequently rose, and finally settled over time. Though salient, interruptions from time linearity were minute; the discerned self-assurance to articulate-positive sentiments surged, while the discerned selfassurance in coping with negative sentiments remained stationary. It cannot be dismissed there are distinct sets of hindrances in managing sentiments whether positive and negative at varying life stages. Probably, growing older compels youth to ponder their delight, satisfaction, and the advantages of communicating cheerfulness and to be more mindful and involved about the challenges of regulating negative sentiments. Finally, it cannot be excluded that the equivalent

imply of self-assurance beliefs amidst diverse age troops may hint at greater capabilities, since challenges expand with age. Contrarily to our expectations, emotional resilience and ineffective self-assurance beliefs not present analogous progressions. In this context, one can merely postulate about probable disparities amidst traits and analogous self-assurance beliefs, for trait modifications wanting more time, not essentially tracking a non-linear progression in selfassurance beliefs. Particularly, the direction in emotional resilience seems opposite to a recent comprehensive review of change in traits mean (8), wherein emotional resilience elevates up to the age of 60 and subsequently diminishes marginally. If trends of transition amidst emotional resilience in Table 1, a noteworthy decrease from 15 years to 17 years, then a minute increase from 17 years to 19 years, then a downturn from 19 years to 21 years. We cannot count out that reflected pattern is owing to gender variations in growth, since the identical "quadratic" course is seen for both males and females. More so, modifying patterns of this mid-level change can be spotted as verves, such as adolescence grappling with the ambiguity of the extended transition from adolescence to adulthood (2). It should also not be underestimated that the New Year's schedule is hardly enough to display a distinct course, owing to it is well recorded in literary. Absolutely, the intense advance in the stability of the emotional level, documented in 22-30-year-olds and 30-40-year-old (8). Expectedly, numerous distinctiveness between individuals both at an original stage and in speed of transformation of emotional steadiness and self-assurance efficiency of belief change. These outcomes validate that people distinctly transition over time (8) in both mental steadiness and self-assurance beliefs. The results of the latent variables with no recursive relationship, delineated us to differentiate the supremacy and direction of relevance of emotional steadiness and self-assurance beliefs. We discovered that baseline stage of emotional resilience strongly correlated with baseline stage of self-assurance beliefs about managing sentiments whether positive or negative. It is viable that more emotionally stabilized folks feel better equipped to manage their feelings appropriately. The growing rate of discerned self-assurance in ability to handle negative sentiments was indicative of an increasing rate of emotional steadiness, whilst the contradictory route was not notable. Interestingly, the affiliations between discerned self-assurance and emotional steadiness when articulating positive sentiments failed to align. The striking aftermath of transformations in self-assurance affective beliefs on transformations in emotional steadiness can supply evidence of the flexibility of personality characteristics, which are considered as capacities that can be exercised and "open systems that can be attuned" (8). Viewing traits as not merely as "determinants" but also as "consequences", whose appearance and translations can be justified from sources other than the prime traits. Because experience instigates revolutions in people's beliefs about their potential to steer their lives, those beliefs alter their traditional behaviors and fundamental tendencies. The findings of this study are especially vital for intercessions, the purpose is to stimulate the transformation of features in the required direction. We are conscious about the scope of this limited to concerning the results, the mechanisms studied, and the including population. As expressed earlier, irrespective of our theoretical reasonings, caution must be taken when deducing causality from correlation. Study employing experimentation, including quasi-experimental designs methods ought to assist in clearing the orientation and mutual interaction of effects between steadiness in emotions and self-assurance belief efficiency. Although self-assurance beliefs are individual cognition statuses mostly held by whom keep these beliefs, upcoming scrutinize would derive benefits from depending on multiple data origins to diminish both trait and self-report prejudices.

References

- [1] L Almund, M., Duckworth, A., Heckman, J.J., & Kautz, T. (2011). The psychology and economics of personality. In the book E.A. Hanushek, S. Machin L. Wossmann (toim), Handbook on Economics of education (Vol. 4).
- [2] Amsterdam: Elsevier. Bandura, A. (1977). Social learning theory. New York: Prentice Hall. Bandura, A. (1997). Self-assurance: Exercising control. USA: W.H. Freeman and Company.
- [3] Bandura, A. and Cervone, D. (1983). Self-esteem and self-assurance Mechanisms affecting the motivational effects of goal systems. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 45, 1017–1028.
- [4] Bandura, A., Caprara, G.V., Barbaranelli, C., Gerbino, M., and Pastorelli, C. (2003). Effects of affective self-regulation in differen functional areas. Child Development, 74, 1–14.
- [5] Barbaranelli, C. and Caprara, G.V. (2000). Measuring the Big Five in self-report and other assessments: a multitrait-multimethod study. European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 16, 31-43.
- [6] Barbaranelli, C., Caprara, G.V., and Maslach, C. (1997). Individuality and the five factors of personality traits. European Journalo of Psychological Assessment, 13, 75–84.
- [7] Barrick, M. R., Mountain, M.K. and judge, T. A. (2001). Personality and performance at the beginning of the new millennium. What do we know and where do we go from here? International Journal of ChoiceAssessment, 9, 9–30.
- [8] DOI: 10.1111 1468-2389.00160 Branje, S. J. T., Van Lieshout, C. F. M. jah Gerris, J. R. M. (2007). Big Five personality development in adolescence and adulthood. European Journal of Personality, 21, 45–62.
- [9] Browne, M.W. and Cudek, R. (1993). Alternative ways to assess model fit. K.A.
- [10] Bollen and J.S. Long (Red.), Testing structurel equation model (p. 136-162). Newbury Park, CA: Saĝo. Caprara, G. V., Alessandri, G., & Barbaranelli, C. (2010). Optimal efficacy
- [11] The effect of self-assurance beliefs on positive orientation. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 79, 328-330.
- [12] Caprara, G.V., Alessandri, G., Di Giunta, L., Panerai, L., and Eisenberg, N. (2010). The Contribution of Similarity and Efficacy Beliefs to Prosociality. European Journal of Personality, 24, 36–55.
- [13] Caprara, G.V., Alessandri, G., Eisenberg, N. (2012). Prosociality: proportion of traits, values and self-assurance beliefs. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. doi:10.1037 a0025626 Caprara, G.V., Barbaranelli, C., Bermudez, J., Maslach, C., and Ruch, W. (2000).
- [14] Multivariate methods for comparing factor structures cross-cultural research: An example with the Big Five questionnaire. Journal of Cross Cultural Psychology, 31, 437-464.
- [15] Caprara, G.V., Barbaranelli, C., Borgogni, L., & Perugini, M. (1993). The Big Five Factor Questionnaire: A New Questionnaire for Evaluating the Five Factor Model. Personality and Individual Differences, 15, 281-288.
- [16] Caprara, G. V., Barbaranelli, C., Pastorelli, C., & Cervone, D(2004). Effects of selfassurance beliefs on psychosocial outcomes in adolescence: Prediction beyond global trends. Personality and Individual Differences, 34, 751-763.
- [17] G.V., & Gerbino, M. (2001). Emotional self-assurance: The ability to regulate negative affect and express positive affect. In the book G. In V. Caprara (Ed.), Taxa of memefication (pp. 5-50). Trento, Italy: Erikson.
- [18] Caprara, G.V., Scabini, E. and Sgritta, G. (1999). (2003). The long transition to adulthood. F. Pajares & T. Urdan (Eds.), International perspectives on adolescence (pp.

- 71–99). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing 2, G.V., Steca, P., Cervone, D., & Artistico, D. (2003). Effects of self-assurance beliefs on dispositional shyness: Social-cognitive systems and personality development associations. Journal of Personality, 71, 943–970.
- [19] Caprara, G.V., Scabini, E., Barbaranelli, C., Pastorelli, C., Regalia, C. and Bandura, A. (1999). Discerned emotional personal self-assurance and good social functioning [Contributed. emotional and interpersonal self-assurance and psychosocial well-being]. Giornale Italiano di Psicologia, 26, 769-789.
- [20] Caprara, G. V., Steca, P., Gerbino, M., Paciello, M., and Vecchio, G. nM. (2006). Youth's pursuit of well-being: Self-assurance beliefs as determinants of positive thinking and happiness. Epidemiology e Psichiatria Sociale, 15, 30–43.
- [21] Caprara, G. V., Vecchione, M., Alessandri, G., Gerbino, M. and Barbaranelli, C. (2011). Effects of personality traits and self-assurance beliefs on academic achievement: A longitudinal study. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 81, 78–96.
- [22] Caspi, A., Roberts, B.W. and Shiner, R. L. (2005). Personality development: stability and change. Annual Review of Psychology 56, 453–484.
- [23] Cervone, D., Jiwani, N., & Wood, R. (1991). Goal setting and differential effects of the self-regulatory process on complex decision making. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 61, 257–266.
- [24] Cervone, D., & Peake, P. K. (1986). Anchoring, efficacy and action: Effects of evaluation heuristics on self-assurance evaluation and behavior. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 50, 492–501. Chaplin, W. F., John, O. P. and Goldberg, L. R. (1988). Perceiving states and traits: Dimensional attributes and ideals as prototypes. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 54, 541–557.
- [25] Cunha, F. J., Heckman, J., & Schennach, S. M. (2010). A Technology Assessment for Cognitive and Non-Cognitive Skills Development Econometrica, 78, 883-931. Duncan, T. E. and Duncan, S.C. (1995). Modeling developmental processes using latent variable growth curve methodology. Structural Equation Modeling, 2, 187-213. Eisenberg, N., Cumberland, A., Spinrad, T. L., Fabes, R. A., Shepard, S. A., Reiser, M. Guthrie, minä. K. (2001).
- [26] Relationships of regulation and emotionality with children's externalizing and internalizing problematic behavior. Child Development, 72, 1112-1134. Eisenberg, N., Fabes, R. A., Guthrie, I. K., & Reiser, M. (2000). Dispositional emotion and regulation.
- [27] Eisenberg, N. and Spinrad, T. L. (2004). Emotion regulation: Set the definition. Child Development, 75, 334–339. Friedman, H.S., Tucker, J. S., Schwartz, J.E., Martin, L.R., Tomlinson-Keasey, C., Wingard, D.L.
- [28] John, O.P., Caspi, A., Robins, R.W. and Moffitt, T.S. E. (1994). The Little Way: Examining the Nomological Network of the Five-Factor personality Model in Adolescent Boys. Child Development, 65, 60–178. John, O. P., Naumann, L. P. and Soto, C.J. (2008). A paradigm shift dire an integrated taxonomy of five features: history, measurementand conceptual issues. In the book O. In P. John, R. W. Robins, & L. A. Pervin (Eds.), Handbook of personality: Theory and research(pp. 114–158). New York, NY: Guilford Press. Joreskog, K.G. and Sorbom, D.
- [29] Chicago: National Educational Resources. Kline, R. B. (2005). Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling. (2nd ed.) New York: The Guilford Press. Kotov, R., Gamez, W., Schmidt, F., & Watson, D. (2010). The association of "core" personality traits with anxiety, depression, and substance use disorders: A meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 136, 768–821.
- [30] McArdle, J.J. (1988). Dynamic yet structural equation modeling for repeated measures data. In the book R. In B. Cattell & J. Nesselroade (Eds.), Handbook of multivariate

- experimental psychology (2nd ed., pp. 561–614). New York: Plenum Press. McCrae, R. R. and Costa, P.T. (2008). The Five Factor Theory of Personality. In the book O. P. John, R.W. Robins cage L.A. Pervin (Ed.), Handbook of personality: Theory and research (3rd eds. 159-181). New York: Guilford. Meredith, W., & Tisak, J. (1990). Latent curve analysis. Psychometrika, 55, 107–122.
- [31] Mullins-Sweatt, S.N., & Widiger, T.A. (2009). Clinical utility and DSM-V. Psychological Assessment, 21, 302–312. Muthén, B. O. (1998–2008). Plus held lisad. Los Angeles, CA: Muthen and Muthen. Muthén, B. and Shedden, K. (1999). Modeling the final mixture with mixture results using the EM algorithm. Biometrics, 55,463–469. Nyhus, E.K. and Pons, E. (2005). The effect of personality on income. Journal of Economic Psychology, 26, 363–384.
- [32] Olson, S.L., Schilling, E.M. and Bates, J.E. (1999). Measuring impulsivity: construct coherence, longitudinal stability, and association with externalizing problems in middle childhood adolescence. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 27, 151–165.
- [33] Peake, P.K., & Cervone, D. (1989). Sequence anchoring and self-assurance:Priming effects in option weighing. Social Cognition, 7, 31–50.Poropat, A. (2009). A meta-analysis of the fivefactor model of personality and academic achievement. Psychological Bulletin, 135, 322–328. Rigdon, E.E. (1995). A necessary and sufficient identification rule for structural models evaluated in practice. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 30, 359–383. Roberts, B.W. and DelVecchio, W.F. (2000). Continuity of personality orderfrom childhood to old age: A quantitative review of longitudinal studies. Psikologia Bulteno, 126, 3–25.
- [34] Roberts, B.W. and Mroczek, D. (2008). Change in personality traits during puberty. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 17, 31–35. Roberts, B. W., Walton, K.E. and Viechtbauer, W. (2006). Average changes in personality traits over the life course: A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. Psychological Bulletin, 132, 1–25. Roberts, B. W., Wood, D., Caspi, A. (2008).
- [35] Isiksuse areng. O. P. John, R. W. Robins and L. A. Pervin (eds.), Handbook of Personality: Theory and research (3. painos, s.375–398), New York, NY: Guilford. Schunk, D. H. (1981). Effects of modeling and attribution on children's achievement: A self-assurance analysis.
- [36] Journal of Educational Psychology, 73, 93–105. Stoolmiller, M. (1994). Antisocial behavior, delinquent peer associations and boys' unsupervised loitering: Growth and change from childhood to early adolescence. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 29, 263–288. Tanaka, J. (1993). Multiple concepts of fit in structural equation models. In the book K.A. Bollen and F. S. Long (toim.), Testing structural equation models (pp. 10–39). Newbury Park, CA.