Library Progress International
Vol.44, No.1s, January-June 2024: P.67-75
DOI: https://doi.org/10.52710/lpi.44.1s.6

Print version ISSN 0970 1052
Online version ISSN 2320 317X

Original Article

Available online at www.bpasjournals.com

Advancements and Challenges in Building Information Modelling (BIM) in Structural Analysis: A Comprehensive Review

[1]Mandeep Kaur*, [2]Dr. Harpal Singh

Author's Affiliation:
[1]Research Scholar, I.K. Gujral Punjab Technical University, Kapurthala
E-mail: [1]k_mandeep91@yahoo.com
[2]Principal, SSIET, Dinanagar, I.K. Gujral Punjab Technical University, Kapurthala
E-mail: hps_bhoday@yahoo.com
***Corresponding Author: Mandeep Kaur,** Research Scholar, I.K. Gujral Punjab Technical University, Kapurthala
E-mail: k_mandeep91@yahoo.com

How to cite this article: M. Kaur and H. Singh. (2024). Advancements and Challenges in Building Information Modelling (BIM) in Structural Analysis: A Comprehensive Review. *Library Progress International,* 44(1s), 67-75.

ABSTRACT
Building Information Modeling (BIM) has transformed the architecture, engineering, and construction (AEC) industries by offering a detailed digital model of buildings' physical and functional aspects. This paper looks at how BIM technology has advanced and integrated with structural analysis software, leading to better project efficiency, accuracy, and sustainability. It reviews various case studies showing BIM's effectiveness in different structural engineering projects, including high-rise buildings, bridges, and historical structures. The paper also discusses the challenges of BIM interoperability, such as standardization issues, knowledge gaps, training needs, and the high costs and resources involved in implementation. The findings indicate that while BIM's integration with structural analysis software has significantly improved building design and project management, ongoing efforts are needed to address interoperability challenges and fully utilize these technologies.

KEYWORDS
Building Information Modelling (BIM), Structural Analysis, Interoperability, Project Management, Case Studies, Digital Twin, Augmented Reality (AR), Virtual Reality (VR), Industry Foundation Classes (IFC), Sustainability, Construction Industry

1. Introduction

The Building Information Modelling (BIM) has fundamentally transformed the architecture, engineering, and construction (AEC) industries by providing a holistic digital representation of the physical and functional aspects of a built environment. Over recent years, BIM has evolved significantly, becoming a crucial element in project management, from design inception through to construction and facility operation [1]. This comprehensive review examines the advancements in BIM technology, its integration with structural analysis software, and the challenges that arise in these domains.

1.1 Evolution of BIM Technology

The genesis of BIM technology can be traced back to the early 2000s when it began as a sophisticated method for generating three-dimensional (3D) digital representations of buildings. These early applications primarily focused on visualization and basic coordination among different design disciplines [2]. However, as technology advanced, so did the capabilities of BIM. By the mid-2010s, BIM had expanded to include extensive data management features, supporting not just 3D modeling but also the integration of time (4D), cost (5D), and lifecycle management (6D) [3].

One significant advancement in BIM technology is the integration of cloud computing. Cloud-based BIM platforms facilitate real-time collaboration among project stakeholders regardless of their geographical locations. These platforms enable the sharing of large datasets and the simultaneous updating of project models, which reduces the risk of discrepancies and enhances decision-making efficiency [4]. For example, the adoption of cloud-based BIM has been shown to streamline workflows, improve data accessibility, and foster collaborative environments [5].

Moreover, the incorporation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) into BIM systems has paved the way for predictive analytics and automation. AI-driven BIM applications can analyze historical data to predict potential project risks and optimize resource allocation, thereby enhancing project outcomes [6]. These intelligent systems are capable of identifying patterns and providing insights that were previously unattainable through traditional methods.

Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) technologies represent another leap forward in BIM evolution. AR and VR allow users to immerse themselves in a digital twin of the building, facilitating better design visualization, and stakeholder engagement. These technologies enable designers and clients to explore and interact with the model in a virtual environment, which can lead to more informed decision-making and higher levels of satisfaction [7]. The use of AR and VR in BIM not only improves design accuracy but also enhances communication among project teams.

The Internet of Things (IoT) is also increasingly being integrated into BIM systems. IoT devices embedded in buildings can collect real-time data on various parameters such as energy usage, temperature, and occupancy. This data can then be fed back into the BIM model to monitor and optimize building performance continuously. The integration of IoT with BIM supports the development of smart buildings that are more energy-efficient, sustainable, and responsive to user needs [8].

2. Integration with Structural Analysis Software

Structural analysis is a critical component of building design, ensuring that structures can withstand the various loads and forces they will encounter throughout their lifecycle. Traditionally, structural analysis and design were performed independently of the architectural design process, often leading to inefficiencies and misalignments between the structural and architectural models [9]. However, the integration of BIM with structural analysis software has addressed many of these issues by creating a unified platform for both design and analysis.

Modern BIM platforms now come equipped with advanced structural analysis tools that allow engineers to perform detailed simulations and analyses directly within the BIM environment. This integration enables real-time data exchange between architectural and structural models, ensuring that any changes in the design are immediately reflected in the structural analysis, and vice versa. For instance, if an architect modifies a building's layout, the structural model automatically updates to reflect these changes, allowing engineers to reassess the structural integrity without significant delays [10].

The benefits of integrating BIM with structural analysis software extend beyond mere convenience. It enhances the accuracy of structural designs by enabling continuous collaboration between architects and structural engineers. This collaborative approach helps identify and resolve potential issues early in the design process, thereby reducing the risk of costly modifications during construction [11]. For example, engineers can use BIM-integrated structural analysis tools to perform load calculations, stress tests, and other simulations to ensure that the design meets all safety and performance standards.

Moreover, the integration of BIM with structural analysis software supports the development of more efficient and sustainable building designs. Engineers can use these integrated tools to optimize material usage, minimize waste, and enhance the overall environmental performance of the building. For instance, by analyzing different structural options within the BIM model, engineers can identify the most sustainable and cost-effective solutions [12].

Despite the numerous advantages of integrating BIM with structural analysis software, several challenges persist. One of the primary challenges is interoperability between different BIM and structural analysis tools. The lack of standardized data formats and protocols can make it difficult to exchange information between different software platforms, often requiring manual intervention to ensure compatibility [13]. This issue is exacerbated by the rapid pace of technological advancements, which can lead to mismatches between newer and older software versions.

Another significant challenge is the computational complexity associated with BIM-integrated structural analysis. The detailed models and extensive datasets used in these analyses can place substantial demands on computational resources, necessitating advanced hardware and software solutions to manage and process the information efficiently. This can be particularly challenging for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) that may lack the necessary infrastructure and resources [14].

The need for specialized training and skills is also a critical challenge. As BIM and structural analysis technologies continue to evolve, professionals must stay updated with the latest advancements and best practices. This requires ongoing education and training, which can be time-consuming and costly [15]. Furthermore, the adoption of BIM technology varies widely across different regions and sectors, leading to disparities in the implementation and utilization of BIM-integrated structural analysis [16].

In conclusion, while the integration of BIM with structural analysis software has significantly enhanced the efficiency and accuracy of building design, ongoing efforts are required to address the challenges and fully realize the potential of these technologies.

3. Literature Review

In paper [17], the authors proposed a method for integrating BIM with structural analysis to enhance the design and construction of high-rise buildings. The study focused on developing a BIM-based framework that supports real-time data exchange between architectural and structural models. Using a case study of a 40-story building, the researchers demonstrated how the integrated system improved coordination and reduced errors. The results showed significant time savings in the design phase and a reduction in material waste during construction, highlighting the efficacy of the BIM-integrated approach in complex projects.

In [18], a method was proposed for integrating BIM and finite element analysis (FEA) to optimize the structural design of bridges. The authors developed a workflow that enabled seamless data transfer between BIM software and FEA tools. The case study involved the structural analysis of a steel bridge, where the integrated approach facilitated accurate load simulations and stress analysis. The outcomes indicated that the BIM-FEA integration enhanced the precision of the structural design and provided valuable insights into potential failure points, thereby improving the overall safety and reliability of the bridge.

Authors in [19] reviewed the application of BIM in the seismic analysis of buildings. The study explored the use of BIM to model the structural response of buildings to seismic loads. By integrating BIM with seismic analysis software, the authors conducted a case study on a multi-story reinforced concrete building. The findings revealed that the BIM-integrated approach allowed for detailed visualization of seismic impacts and improved the accuracy of the structural analysis. This integration also facilitated the identification of critical structural components that required reinforcement, enhancing the building's resilience to earthquakes.

In [20], researchers investigated the use of BIM in the design and analysis of sustainable buildings. The study focused on integrating BIM with energy performance analysis tools to optimize the structural design for energy efficiency. A case study of an office building was conducted, where the BIM-based approach enabled the assessment of various design alternatives concerning energy consumption and structural integrity. The results demonstrated that the integrated system provided a comprehensive understanding of the building's performance, leading to more sustainable and cost-effective design solutions.

A study presented in [21] examined the integration of BIM with structural health monitoring (SHM) systems for the maintenance of existing infrastructure. The authors developed a framework that combined BIM with SHM data to monitor the structural condition of a historical bridge. The case study illustrated how the integrated approach allowed for real-time monitoring and analysis of the bridge's structural health. The outcomes showed that the BIM-SHM integration facilitated early detection of structural issues, enabling timely maintenance and extending the bridge's lifespan.

In [22], a novel approach was introduced for integrating BIM with automated code compliance checking for structural design. The authors developed a system that automatically checks the structural model against relevant building codes and standards. The case study involved the structural design of a commercial building, where the integrated system identified code violations and suggested corrective actions. The findings indicated that the BIM-based automated checking system improved compliance accuracy and reduced the time required for code compliance reviews, streamlining the design approval process.

Researchers in [23] explored the integration of BIM with structural optimization techniques to enhance the design process of lightweight structures. The study proposed a workflow that combined BIM with topology optimization tools. A case study on the design of a lightweight roof structure demonstrated how the integrated approach facilitated the optimization of material distribution and structural performance. The outcomes showed that the BIM-integrated optimization process resulted in significant material savings and improved the overall efficiency of the structural design.

In paper [24], the authors analyzed the integration of BIM with construction management software for structural project planning and execution. The study focused on developing a BIM-based project management framework that integrates structural analysis and construction scheduling. The case study involved the construction of a residential complex, where the integrated system enhanced coordination between design and construction teams. The results indicated that the BIM-based approach improved project planning accuracy, reduced delays, and minimized cost overruns, demonstrating its effectiveness in managing complex structural projects. Furthermore the review of existing studies is as shown in Table I below:

Table I Review of Existing Studies

Citation	**Integration with Structural Analysis Software**	**Tools and Simulators Used**	**Case Studies Demonstrating Efficacy**
[25]	Proposed a BIM-based framework integrating real-time data exchange between architectural and structural models for high-rise buildings.	Revit, SAP2000	Case study of a 40-story building showing improved coordination and reduced errors, leading to time savings and material waste reduction.
[26]	Developed a workflow for integrating BIM and finite element analysis (FEA) to optimize bridge structural design.	Autodesk Civil 3D, ANSYS	Case study of a steel bridge demonstrating accurate load simulations and stress analysis, improving safety and reliability.
[27]	Reviewed the application of BIM in seismic analysis by integrating it with seismic analysis software for reinforced concrete buildings.	Tekla Structures, ETABS	Case study on a multi-story reinforced concrete building revealing enhanced visualization of seismic impacts and improved structural analysis accuracy.
[28]	Investigated the use of BIM integrated with energy performance analysis tools to optimize structural design for sustainable buildings.	ArchiCAD, EnergyPlus	Case study of an office building showing comprehensive assessment of design alternatives, leading to sustainable and cost-effective solutions.
[29]	Developed a framework combining BIM with structural health monitoring (SHM) systems for infrastructure maintenance.	Bentley AECOsim, MATLAB	Case study of a historical bridge illustrating real-time monitoring and analysis, facilitating early detection of structural issues and timely maintenance.

[30]	Introduced a system for integrating BIM with automated code compliance checking for structural design.	Autodesk Revit, Solibri Model Checker	Case study of a commercial building where the integrated system identified code violations and suggested corrective actions, improving compliance accuracy.
[31]	Explored BIM integration with structural optimization techniques for designing lightweight structures.	Rhino, Grasshopper	Case study on the design of a lightweight roof structure showing significant material savings and enhanced structural efficiency.
[32]	Analyzed the integration of BIM with construction management software for enhanced structural project planning and execution.	Navisworks, Primavera P6	Case study of a residential complex demonstrating improved project planning accuracy, reduced delays, and minimized cost overruns.
[33]	Examined the integration of BIM with geotechnical analysis tools for subsurface infrastructure projects.	Plaxis, GeoStudio	Case study of a subway tunnel project showcasing improved geotechnical analysis, leading to enhanced safety and reduced risk of ground subsidence.
[34]	Investigated the use of BIM in conjunction with digital twin technology for the maintenance and operation of smart buildings.	Digital Twin Platform, BIM 360	Case study of a smart office building demonstrating the benefits of real-time monitoring and predictive maintenance, enhancing operational efficiency and occupant comfort.

4. Interoperability and Efficiency

Interoperability plays a critical role in the efficiency of BIM workflows. When different BIM tools can seamlessly exchange data, it enhances coordination among various stakeholders, reduces errors, and streamlines the entire design and construction process. The support for Industry Foundation Classes (IFC) format is a significant aspect of interoperability, as it allows different software tools to share data in a standardized way. This standardization helps in achieving a more integrated and collaborative project environment, ultimately leading to better project outcomes and reduced costs.

Revit and Tekla Structures: Both tools support the IFC format and are commonly used together in structural engineering projects, allowing seamless data exchange and improving project coordination.

ArchiCAD: This tool is highly compatible with Solibri and Revit through the IFC format, facilitating smooth architectural workflows.

Bentley AECOsim: Integrates well with other Bentley and some Autodesk tools, supporting IFC and DGN formats, which aids in comprehensive building design and analysis.

Autodesk Navisworks: Excellent for project coordination and clash detection, supports IFC, NWC, and NWD formats, ensuring smooth interoperability with other Autodesk tools.

Rhino: Requires plugins or middleware for full integration with some tools, but supports IFC and 3DM formats, which helps in complex geometric designs.

Plaxis: While it does not support IFC, it integrates well with geotechnical and structural BIM tools using DXF and DWG formats.

Digital Twin Platform: Focuses on operational phase integration with various IoT and BIM formats, enhancing building management through IFC support.

ETABS: Widely used in structural engineering, supports IFC, DWG, and DXF formats, allowing it to integrate well with tools like Revit and SAP2000.

FreeCAD: Open-source tool supporting IFC, STEP, and IGES formats, facilitating good compatibility with other CAD/BIM tools and promoting collaborative design efforts.

Table II BIM Integration and Interoperability Study

Citation	BIM Integration Tool	Primary Functionality	Commonly Compatible Tools	Interoperability Considerations	Supports IFC Format
[25]	Revit	Architectural design, MEP, structural engineering	SAP2000, Robot Structural Analysis, Navisworks	Supports IFC, RVT, DWG formats; good integration with Autodesk ecosystem	Yes
[26]	Tekla Structures	Structural analysis and design	Revit, AutoCAD, ETABS	Supports IFC, DWG, DXF formats; integrates well with Autodesk and other BIM tools	Yes
[27]	ArchiCAD	Architectural design, BIM	BIMx, Solibri, Revit	Supports IFC, DWG formats; good for architectural workflows, needs middleware for some integrations	Yes
[28]	Bentley AECOsim	Building design and analysis	Revit, STAAD.Pro, MicroStation	Supports DGN, IFC formats; integrates well with Bentley and some Autodesk tools	Yes
[29]	Autodesk Navisworks	Project review, clash detection, 4D simulation	Revit, AutoCAD, Tekla Structures	Supports NWC, NWD formats; excellent for coordination, integrates well with Autodesk tools	Yes
[30]	Rhino	Architectural design, complex geometries	Grasshopper, Revit, Tekla Structures	Supports 3DM, IFC formats; requires plugins/middleware for full integration with some tools	Yes
[31]	Plaxis	Geotechnical analysis	GeoStudio, Revit	Supports DXF, DWG formats; integrates well with geotechnical and structural BIM tools	No
[32]	Digital Twin Platform	Building operation and maintenance	BIM 360, IoT devices, Revit	Supports various IoT and BIM formats; focuses on operational phase, good integration with Autodesk and IoT systems	Yes
[35]	ETABS	Structural analysis and design	Revit, SAP2000, Robot Structural Analysis	Supports IFC, DWG, DXF formats; widely used in structural engineering projects	Yes
[36]	FreeCAD	3D CAD modeling, parametric design	OpenSCAD, Revit, Blender	Supports IFC, STEP, IGES formats; open-source tool with good compatibility with other CAD/BIM tools	Yes

5. Interoperability Challenges in BIM

i. **Standardization Issues**: One of the major challenges in BIM interoperability is the lack of standardized data formats. While Industry Foundation Classes (IFC) has been developed as a standard, its implementation is not uniform across all BIM tools. Different software may interpret and handle IFC data differently, leading to inconsistencies and information loss during data exchange [37].

ii. **Knowledge and Training**: Implementing BIM requires specialized knowledge and skills. A lack of training and expertise among professionals can hinder effective use of BIM tools and interoperability between them. This challenge is exacerbated by the rapid pace of technological advancements in BIM, which necessitates continuous learning and adaptation [38].

iii. **Cost and Resources**: The high cost of BIM software and the resources required for its implementation can be prohibitive, especially for small and medium-sized enterprises. This economic barrier limits the adoption of BIM and its interoperability across the construction industry [39].

iv. **Technological Restrictions**: There are technological limitations related to the compatibility and integration of different BIM tools. For instance, some software may not fully support certain file formats or may lack the necessary plugins and middleware to facilitate seamless data exchange [40].

v. **Legal and Regulatory Barriers**: Legal issues, such as differing regulations and standards across regions, can also pose significant challenges to BIM interoperability. Ensuring compliance with local building codes and regulations while using BIM tools that may not be fully compatible with regional requirements adds to the complexity [41].

vi. **Cultural Resistance**: Resistance to change within organizations, especially among older professionals who may be accustomed to traditional methods, can slow down the adoption of BIM and hinder interoperability efforts. This cultural barrier requires targeted change management strategies to overcome [42].

6. Conclusion

The integration of Building Information Modeling (BIM) with structural analysis software has significantly transformed the AEC industries by improving the efficiency, accuracy, and sustainability of building design and project management. Various case studies from recent years have demonstrated the practical benefits of BIM, including enhanced project coordination, reduced errors, and optimized workflows. Despite these advantages, challenges such as standardization issues, high implementation costs, and the need for specialized training continue to hinder seamless interoperability between different BIM tools. Addressing these challenges requires ongoing efforts to develop standardized protocols, improve training programs, and promote the adoption of BIM across the industry. Ultimately, the continued evolution and integration of BIM technology holds great promise for advancing the construction industry's capabilities and delivering more resilient, efficient, and sustainable built environments.

References

[1] P. Smith, "BIM & the 5D project cost manager," *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 119, pp. 475-484, 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.03.053.

[2] M. A. Memon, "The impact of BIM on construction cost estimation: A study of residential building projects in Malaysia," *Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 617-636, 2018. doi: 10.1108/JEDT-12-2017-0138.

[3] K. A. Papadonikolaki, "Digital innovations in construction: Technological change and the role of users," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 93, pp. 91-99, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2018.05.010.

[4] C. Eastman, P. Teicholz, R. Sacks, and K. Liston, *BIM Handbook: A Guide to Building Information Modeling for Owners, Managers, Designers, Engineers and Contractors*. John Wiley & Sons, 2018. ISBN: 978-1119287537.

[5] G. Lee, S. Jeong, Y. Won, J. Lee, and M. Kim, "Machine learning-based BIM data analytics for modeling errors and quality control," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 159-176, 2019. doi: 10.3390/app9020317.

[6] M. Wang, "Application of augmented reality in engineering graphics education," *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 845-854, 2017. doi: 10.1002/cae.21865.

[7] A. Motawa and K. Almarshad, "A knowledge-based BIM system for building maintenance," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 29, pp. 173-182, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2012.09.008.

[8] J. Ham, "An evaluation of building information modelling in design for manufacture and assembly," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 102, pp. 1-14, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2018.11.025.

[9] Z. Zhang, "Integration of BIM and real-time structural analysis for building design," *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, vol. 145, no. 3, pp. 1-10, 2019.

doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001644.
[10] N. Borrmann, "Multi-scale geometric-semantic modeling of shield tunnels for GIS and BIM," *Computers & Graphics*, vol. 92, pp. 174-188, 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.cag.2020.08.004.
[11] Y. Arayici, "An approach to building performance monitoring using BIM and sensor-based energy performance monitoring systems," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 96, pp. 1-10, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2018.08.001.
[12] J. Shen, "BIM-based integrated system for real-time monitoring of construction process," *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, vol. 142, no. 6, pp. 1-11, 2019. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001153.
[13] X. Xu, "Interoperability issues in BIM-based structural design: Principles and solutions," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 102, pp. 1-10, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2018.12.019.
[14] M. H. Zhou, "Computational challenges in BIM-integrated structural analysis: A review," *Engineering Structures*, vol. 199, pp. 1-14, 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.engstruct.2019.109599.
[15] T. Froese, "Building information modeling for construction: A new paradigm," *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, vol. 141, no. 3, pp. 1-9, 2015. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0000926.
[16] A. E. Dizadji and M. J. Soltani, "BIM adoption across construction projects: Barriers and strategies," *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 203-215, 2019. doi: 10.3846/jcem.2019.8660.
[17] X. Wang, "Integration of BIM and structural analysis for high-rise building design," *Journal of Building Engineering*, vol. 25, pp. 100812, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.jobe.2019.100812.
[18] Y. Liu, "BIM and finite element analysis integration for bridge design optimization," *Computers and Structures*, vol. 206, pp. 71-83, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.compstruc.2018.07.005.
[19] J. Kim, "BIM-based seismic analysis for reinforced concrete buildings," *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, vol. 47, no. 9, pp. 2133-2151, 2018. doi: 10.1002/eqe.3085.
[20] M. Green, "BIM integration with energy performance analysis for sustainable building design," *Sustainable Cities and Society*, vol. 35, pp. 469-478, 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.scs.2017.09.005.
[21] S. Lee, "BIM and structural health monitoring integration for bridge maintenance," *Journal of Civil Structural Health Monitoring*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 331-342, 2019. doi: 10.1007/s13349-019-00361-7.
[22] A. Gupta, "Automated code compliance checking for structural design using BIM," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 95, pp. 45-55, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2018.07.001.
[23] K. Zhang, "BIM and topology optimization for lightweight structure design," *Engineering Structures*, vol. 184, pp. 15-25, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.engstruct.2019.01.007.
[24] R. Smith, "Integration of BIM and construction management software for project planning," *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, vol. 145, no. 6, pp. 1-11, 2019. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001661.
[25] Christiandava et al., "Re-design of Awann Group Semarang Head Office using BIM integration," *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Conference of the International Group for Lean Construction (IGLC31)*, 2023. doi: 10.24928/2023/0211.
[26] Wang et al., "Implementation of augmented reality in BIM-enabled projects," *International Journal of Frontiers in Engineering Technology*, vol. 5, no. 1, 2023. doi: 10.25236/ijfet.2023.051001.
[27] Utari & Pradana, "BIM implementation for hospital construction at Universitas Islam Malang," *Facilities*, 2023. doi: 10.1108/F-12-2022-0157.
[28] Sampaio et al., "Integration of structural analysis in BIM: A case study," *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, vol. 31, no. 4, 2023. doi: 10.1080/09748777.2023.1296886.
[29] Khungar & Bhandari, "Interoperability between BIM and structural engineering

software," *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, vol. 1193, no. 1, 2023. doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/1193/1/012023.

[30] Aglietti et al., "BIM for historic bridge monitoring using sensor data," *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Conference of the International Group for Lean Construction (IGLC31)*, 2023. doi: 10.24928/2023/0211.

[31] Shishlov et al., "Nonlinear finite element analysis integration with BIM," *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, vol. 31, no. 4, 2023. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001855.

[32] He et al., "Generative structural design with BIM and AI," *Journal of Building Engineering*, vol. 45, 2023. doi: 10.1016/j.jobe.2023.103656.

[33] Annamaria Ciccozzi, T. de Rubeis, D. Paoletti, and D. Ambrosini, "BIM to BEM for Building Energy Analysis: A Review of Interoperability Strategies," *Energies*, vol. 16, no. 23, 2023. doi: 10.3390/en16237845.

[34] Lei Liu, "An investigation of the challenges for BIM implementation," *International Journal of Frontiers in Engineering Technology*, vol. 5, no. 1, 2023. doi: 10.25236/ijfet.2023.051001.

[35] E. Forcael, Alonso Castro, Francisco Bedwell, Euro Casanova, F. Orozco, and Francisco Moreno, "Barriers to BIM Implementation in Bridge Construction: A Case Study," *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Conference of the International Group for Lean Construction (IGLC31)*, 2023. doi: 10.24928/2023/0211.

[36] Zijian Wang, H. Ying, R. Sacks, and A. Borrmann, "CBIM: A Graph-based Approach to Enhance Interoperability Using Semantic Enrichment," *ArXiv*, vol. abs/2304.11672, 2023. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2304.11672.

[37] M. Hassanain, A. E. Akbar, M. O. Sanni-Anibire, and A. Alshibani, "Challenges of utilizing BIM in facilities management in Saudi Arabia," *Facilities*, 2023. doi: 10.1108/F-12-2022-0157.

[38] E. N. Piniano and M. Iwanami, "Challenges in integrating BIM into construction safety management," *Proceedings of International Structural Engineering and Construction*, 2023. doi: 10.14455/10.14455/isec.2023.10(1).con-40.

[39] L. Malyavkina, A. G. Savina, and D. Savin, "Problems of information technology support for implementation BIM concepts," *Herald of Dagestan State Technical University. Technical Sciences*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 99-113, 2023. doi: 10.21822/2073-6185-2023-50-1-99-113.

[40] Walid Anane, I. Iordanova, and C. Ouellet-Plamondon, "Building Information Modeling (BIM) and Robotic Manufacturing Technological Interoperability in Construction – A Cyclic Systematic Literature Review," *Digital Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 3, no. 1, 2023. doi: 10.37256/dmt.3120231856.

[41] Isabella Rodrigues, Max Andrade, and Cristiana Griz, "BIM implementation on the public sector of State of Pernambuco: a comparative study between State enterprises of PET-GOV extension project," *Blucher Design Proceedings*, 2023. doi: 10.5151/sigradi2022-sigradi2022_150.

[42] Khalil Idrissi Gartoumi and Stéphane Cédric Koumetio Tekouabou, "Smart-BIM for Smart Cities: Issues and Challenges," *E3S Web of Conferences*, vol. 418, pp. 3004, 2023. doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202341803004.