

MYCOBIOTA OF SOILS POLLUTED WITH METAL-AND DYE-CONTAINING EFFLUENTS FROM INDUSTRIAL UNITS LOCATED AT MEERUT AND HAPUR (WESTERN UTTAR PRADESH)

Ashu Tyagi and M.U. Charaya

Author's Affiliation: Department of Botany,
C.C.S. University, Meerut – 250 004 (U.P.)

Corresponding Author: Ashu Tyagi
Department of Botany,
C.C.S. University,
Meerut – 250 004 (U.P.)
Email: ashubiotech@gmail.com
Received on 23.04.2017,
Accepted on 20.05.2017

Abstract:

A total of nineteen species of fungi were isolated from the soil exposed to industrial effluents containing heavy metals using serial dilution plate method. *R. oryzae*, *M. rouxii*, *A. flavus* and *P. spinulosum* together comprised roughly two-third (64.59%) of the isolates obtained from metal-polluted soils. The *Fusaria* constituted only a very meagre fraction of 0.78% only. The mucoraceous fungi dominated the plates followed by aspergilli. A total of twenty four species of fungi were isolated from the soils polluted with effluents containing dyes. *M. rouxii*, *R. oryzae*, *A. flavus* and *P. spinulosum* together comprised majority (55.49%) of the isolates. The mucoraceous fungi constituted most prominent group followed by aspergilli and penicillia — the three groups together comprised overwhelming majority (75.24%) of the total isolates. The genus *Aspergillus* was represented by maximum number of species (8 species excluding *Emericella nidulans*) followed by *Penicillium* (4 species). Family Moniliaceae has the highest contribution to the mycoflora as 15 species (out of 35 isolated) belonged to this family. Moniliaceae was the most diverse taxonomic family. Metal pollution appears to exert greater adverse impact on soil mycodiversity than dye pollution. The potential of fungi to adsorb heavy metals must be an important factor contributing to their ability to survive and flourish in soils.

Keywords: heavy metals; dyes; pollution; soil; fungal diversity

INTRODUCTION

Water, being highly integrated component of most ecosystems, is highly prone to pollution — natural as well as anthropogenic. Discharges from the industries constitute one of the major sources of environmental pollution-including water pollution. These carry a range of inorganic as well as organic pollutants including phenols, formaldehyde, dyes and heavy metals. These pollutants pose a serious threat to the environment (Bhole *et al.*, 2004; Joseph and Chinonye, 2016). The contamination of environment by “heavy metals” from natural

sources as well as a result of human activities is a matter of global concern (Dushenkov *et al.*, 1995; Garcia *et al.*, 2016). The heavy metals like Al, Cd, Co, Cu, Cr, Fe, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb and Zn deserve immediate attention as these are known to be regularly discharged into the environment (Guo *et al.*, 2003; Son *et al.*, 2004; El-Shafey, 2010; Abu Hasan *et al.*, 2012). Once these reach the soil matrix, these enter the food web through ground water aquifers (Walton, 1995; Athar and Ahmad, 2002), ultimately getting biomagnified to toxic concentrations (Herawati *et al.*, 2000). In the soil matrix itself, these affect soil microbial communities and consequently the biogeochemical cycle and plant growth. The effluents from industrial units involved in the manufacturing of batteries, gasoline additives, paint pigments, alloys and sheets etc. often contain high concentrations of lead (Tunali *et al.*, 2012). The wastes from industrial processes such as electroplating, smelting, alloy manufacturing, paints and pigments, cadmium-nickel batteries, fertilizers and pesticides serve as routes for contamination of environment with cadmium (Grayson and Othumer, 1978; Salim *et al.*, 1992; Rao *et al.*, 2010).

The dyes also constitute a major cause of water pollution. The dyes find use in a variety of industries including textile, rubber, paper and pulp, plastic, cosmetic, tannery, pharmaceutical and food-processing units. The dyestuff, especially those in current use, has been designed to resist fading as well as light-induced oxidation (Nigam *et al.*, 2000; Casieri *et al.*, 2008). Consequently, these acquire persistence, recalcitrance and become resistant to microbial degradation (Kabbout and Taha, 2014). The discharge of such dyes into the environment causes damage to the latter in a variety of manners. Even at very small concentrations (as low as 1.0 ppm), the dyes impart strong colour to the water; not only this, a number of toxic degradation products may also be formed (Dutta, 1994; Asamudo *et al.*, 2005; Moorthi *et al.*, 2007). Such polluted water has an adverse impact on the receptor water bodies, soil as well as other abiotic and biotic components of the environment including human life. The present communication pertains to a study of mycobiota of metal- and dye-polluted soils of Meerut and Hapur an aim to obtain some fungal strains resistant to these pollutants for future use in biotechnological processes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three samples of soils polluted with heavy metals were collected from the fields situated in Partapur industrial area (Meerut) while two samples were collected from the fields located at Shobhapur (Delhi-Roorkee bypass), Meerut. The effluents from electroplating, leather, paints and batteries manufacturing units are discharged in these fields. Three samples of dye-polluted soils were collected from Partapur industrial area (Meerut) and two from Pilakhuwa (Hapur) from the fields where the dye-containing effluents from the textile industries are discharged. Before taking soil sample from a given site, the upper layer of the soil was removed with the help of a sterilized trowel to remove extraneous litter/organic matter. Soil samples were then taken out with the help of a sterilized trowel and were collected in fresh sterile polythene bags aseptically. These samples were brought to the laboratory where all the five soil samples of metal-polluted sites were mixed thoroughly to obtain one composite sample. Similarly, samples collected from dye-polluted soils were combined to obtain another composite sample.

Dilution plate method (Waksman, 1917) was used to assess mycobiota of each 'composite' soil sample. 20 g of soil from a given composite sample were transferred into 200 ml of sterilized distilled water dispensed in a 500 ml Erlenmeyer (conical) flask. The contents were stirred for 30 minutes to wash fungal propagules from the soil. 10 ml of this suspension (of 1:10 dilution) were immediately transferred to a 250 ml conical flask containing 90 ml of sterilized distilled water to yield soil suspension of 1:100 dilution. The latter was used for preparing further serial dilutions (1:1000 and 1:10000) aseptically. From the suspensions of 1:100 dilution, one ml aliquot was transferred to each of a set of three Petri dishes followed by the addition of 20 ml of cooled and sterilized PDA medium (Riker and Riker, 1936) with 30 ppm of streptomycin. Similar treatment was given to the suspensions of 1:1000 and 1:10000 dilutions.

The Petri dishes containing medium and the inocula were rotated (so as to facilitate thorough mixing of broth with inoculums). These Petri plates were then incubated at $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 6 to 8 days in a B.O.D. incubator. The inoculated Petri dishes under incubation were observed for the fungal growth from the third day itself when the fast-growing fungi started appearing in the Petri dishes. A complete record of the fungal species and their numbers (CFU_s: Colony Forming Units) in the Petri dishes was maintained. The identification of the fungal species was done on the basis of their morphology and cultural characteristics with the help of keys and manuals published by Gilman (1957), Ellis (1971, 1976), Subramanian (1971), Barnett and Hunter (1972), Domsch and Gams (1972), Domsch *et al.* (1980) and Nagamani *et al.* (2006). The total number of colonies and the number of colonies of each fungal species growing in every Petri dish were recorded to determine their frequencies. The frequency classes were expressed as mentioned by Saksena (1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of nineteen species of fungi were isolated from the soil exposed to industrial effluents containing heavy metals. Their comparative distribution is presented in table 1. *Aspergillus flavus*, *Mucor rouxii* and *Rhizopus oryzae* were the most frequent species (frequency class V) followed by *Penicillium spinulosum* (frequency class IV). The fungi falling in the frequency class III were: *Aspergillus oryzae*, *A. terreus*, *Drechslera avenacea* and *Penicillium frequentans*. *Rhizopus oryzae* and *Mucor rouxii* comprised 20.87% and 15.1% of the isolates respectively, thus, together accounting for a substantial (35.97%) proportion of the total mycobiota. Another zygomycetous species *Mortierella* sp., though exhibiting 25% frequency, constituted only 1.78% of the total isolates. *Aspergillus flavus* and *Penicillium spinulosum* accounted for 15.7% and 12.92% of the total isolates respectively. Thus, *R. oryzae*, *M. rouxii*, *A. flavus* and *P. spinulosum* together comprised roughly two-third (64.59%) of the isolates obtained from metal-polluted soils. The Fusaria were meagerly represented — the two species *i.e.* *Fusarium moniliforme* and *Fusarium oxysporum* together constituting only a very meagre fraction *i.e.* 0.78% only. From the point of view of taxonomic groupings, the mucoraceous fungi dominated the plates with 37.75% of the isolates followed by aspergilli (28.8%).

Table 1: Species of fungi isolated from soils receiving industrial effluents containing heavy metals and their comparative distribution

Fungal species	Total isolates (TI)	Percentage of isolates (PI)	Frequency	Frequency class
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	79	15.7	91.66	V
<i>A. niger</i>	12	2.38	25	II
<i>A. oryzae</i>	25	4.97	41.66	III
<i>A. terreus</i>	19	3.77	58.33	III
<i>A. ustus</i>	10	1.98	33.33	II
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	13	2.58	25	II
<i>A. citri</i>	9	1.78	16.66	I
<i>Botryotrichum piluliferum</i>	7	1.39	8.33	I
<i>Drechslera avenacea</i>	17	3.37	41.66	III
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	2	0.39	8.33	I
<i>F. oxysporum</i>	2	0.39	16.66	I
<i>Geotrichum candidum</i>	5	0.99	33.33	II
<i>Helminthosporium microsporum</i>	8	1.59	33.33	II
<i>Mortierella</i> sp.	9	1.78	25	II
<i>Mucor rouxii</i>	76	15.1	83.33	V
<i>Penicillium frequentans</i>	22	4.37	58.33	III
<i>P. spinulosum</i>	65	12.92	75	IV

<i>Rhizopus oryzae</i>	105	20.87	91.66	V
White sterile mycelium	18	3.57	50	III
Total isolates	503			
Simpson's index	8.156			

A total of twenty four species of fungi were isolated from the soils polluted with effluents containing dyes. Their comparative distribution is presented in the table 2. The most frequent fungal species, assignable to frequency class V, are: *A. flavus* (100%), *Humicola grisea* (83.33%), *Mucor rouxii* (100%), *Penicillium spinulosum* (91.66%) and *Rhizopus oryzae* (91.66%). *A. fumigatus* (75%) and *A. niger* (83.33%) belonged to frequency class IV; white sterile mycelium also belonged to the frequency class IV. The fungi belonging to the frequency class III were: *Cladosporium echinulatum*, *Cunninghamella echinulata*, *Fusarium moniliforme*, *Penicillium implicatum*, *Rhizoctonia* sp. and *Trichoderma lignorum*. *Mucor rouxii* and *Rhizopus oryzae* comprised 15.06% and 15.82% of the isolates respectively; thus these two together accounted for about one-third (30.88%) of the isolates. *A. flavus* and *P. spinulosum* accounted for 12.9% and 11.71% of the isolates respectively.

Table 2: Species of fungi isolated from soils receiving industrial effluents containing dyes and their comparative distribution

Fungal species	Total isolates (TI)	Percentage of isolates (PI)	Frequency	Frequency class
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	85	12.9	100	V
<i>A. fumigatus</i>	25	3.80	75	IV
<i>A. niger</i>	55	8.37	83.33	IV
<i>A. sulphureus</i>	2	0.30	8.33	I
<i>A. sydowii</i>	13	1.97	25	II
<i>A. terreus</i>	4	0.60	16.66	I
<i>Chaetomium flavum</i>	3	0.45	8.33	I
<i>Cladosporium echinulatum</i>	21	3.19	41.66	III
<i>Cunninghamella echinulata</i>	12	1.82	50	III
<i>Curvularia lunata</i>	3	0.45	8.33	I
<i>C. pallescens</i>	2	0.30	16.66	I
<i>Emericella nidulans</i>	14	2.13	16.66	I
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	8	1.21	50	III
<i>Humicola grisea</i>	44	6.69	83.33	V
<i>Mucor rouxii</i>	99	15.06	100	V
<i>Penicillium frequentans</i>	11	1.67	33.33	II
<i>P. implicatum</i>	15	2.28	50	III
<i>P. paxilli</i>	5	0.76	25	II
<i>P. spinulosum</i>	77	11.71	91.66	V
<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp.	8	1.21	41.66	III
<i>Rhizopus oryzae</i>	104	15.82	91.66	V
<i>Stachybotrys atra</i>	16	2.43	75	IV
<i>Trichoderma lignorum</i>	6	0.91	41.66	III
White sterile mycelium	25	3.8	75	IV
Total	657			
Simpson's index	9.11			

Thus, *M. rouxii*, *R. oryzae*, *A. flavus* and *P. spinulosum* together comprised majority (55.49%) of the isolates obtained from soil receiving dye-containing industrial effluents. The mucoraceous fungi constituted most prominent group with 30.88% of the isolates, followed by aspergilla

(27.94%) and penicillia (16.42%) — the three groups together comprised overwhelming majority (75.24%) of the total isolates.

Out of a total of thirty five fungal species isolated from the soil samples in the present study, thirty four species could be identified, which belonged to 21 genera. The genus *Aspergillus* was represented by maximum number of species (8 species excluding *Emmericella nidulans*) followed by *Penicillium* (4 species). The observation substantiates the widely held belief that the Aspergilli are more common in warmer regions of the world while Penicillia are more abundant in the colder regions of the world (Waksman, 1917; Gochenaur and Backus, 1967; Singh and Charaya, 1975; Charaya, 2006). Family Moniliaceae has the highest contribution to the mycoflora as 15 species (out of 35 isolated) belonged to this family. Incidentally, almost similar observations regarding the contribution of aspergilli and penicillia as well as family Trichocomaceae as such, were recorded by Abdel-Azeem *et al.* (2007) while examining the effect of long-term heavy metal contamination on diversity of terricolous fungi in Egypt. They recorded species/genus ratio (S/G) per family and found that Trichocomaceae (including Moniliaceae) was the most diverse taxonomical rank (S/G=4.5). In the present study also, Moniliaceae turned out to be taxonomically most diverse family (Table 3) with S/G=3.

Table 3: Species: Genus Ratio of the Families of Mycobiota isolated in the present study

Family	No. of Genera (G)	No. of Species (S)	S/G
1. Trichocomaceae	1	1	1
2. Chaetomiaceae	1	1	1
3. Mortierellaceae	1	1	1
4. Cunninghamhamellaceae	1	1	1
5. Mucoraceae	2	2	1
6. Agonomycetaceae	1	1	1
7. Moniliaceae	5	15	3
8. Dematiaceae	8	10	1.25
9. Tuberculariaceae	1	2	2

As far as the species diversity is concerned, the soil samples receiving effluents from dye industries exhibited greater diversity (Simpson's index= 9.11) as compared to those receiving effluents containing heavy metals (Simpson's index= 8.156). 503 isolates belonging to 19 species were isolated from metal-polluted soils while 657 isolates belonging to 24 species could be isolated from dye-polluted soils (Simpson's index = 9.11). Mehra (2013) obtained 92 isolates belonging to 11 fungal species from metal-polluted sites as against 141 isolates (16 species) from control soils. From dye-polluted soils, he could obtain 94 isolates (12 species). Obviously, metal pollution appears to exert greater adverse impact on soil mycodiversity. The results of the present study, thus, confirm the findings of Mehra (2013).

In the present study, *Rhizopus oryzae*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Mucor rouxii* and *Penicillium spinulosum* dominated the soils polluted by heavy metals. These four species together accounted for 64.59% of the total isolates. Amongst these four species again, the mucoraceous fungi (*R. oryzae* and *M. rouxii*) contributed 35.97% of the isolates – slightly greater than by *A. flavus* and *P. spinulosum* together. Ahmad *et al.* (2005) reported the predominance of *Rhizopus* sp. and *Aspergillus* sp. in the agricultural field soil of Aligarh city (U.P.) receiving long-term application of sewage and industrial effluents. Zafar *et al.* (2007) reported *Aspergillus* spp. and *Rhizopus* sp. followed by *Penicillium* sp. to be most frequently encountered isolates from soil samples which were collected from agricultural fields receiving long-term application of effluents containing heavy metals at Aligarh. Iram *et al.* (2009) analysed the mycobiota of agricultural fields of Faisalabad at Rawalpindi (Pakistan) where heavy metals and other

pollutants had been emitted in industrial effluents for several years. They found *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *Fusarium* sp., *Penicillium* sp. and *Rhizopus* sp. to be dominant in all the samples. Joshi *et al.* (2011) also found *Aspergillus flavus* to be amongst the most dominant fungi isolated from soils treated with sewage sludge and industrial effluents containing heavy metals at Karnal, Panipat and Sonapat (Haryana). Iram *et al.* (2013) analysed the mycobiota of peri-urban agricultural areas of Faisalabad (Pakistan) contaminated by heavy metals; *Aspergillus flavus* was most frequently isolated fungus. Dwivedi *et al.* (2012) isolated fungi from soils contaminated with heavy metals at Noida (U.P.). They found *Aspergillus niger* and *A. flavus* to be most prevalent. Rasool and Irum (2014) found *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *A. flavus* and *Penicillium* sp. from heavy metal-contaminated areas in Multan and Gujrawala (Pakistan). Abdel-Azeem *et al.* (2007) studied the occurrence and diversity of mycobiota in heavy metal-contaminated sediments of Mediterranean coastal lagoons in Egypt. *A. niger* and *A. flavus* were recovered from all the sites. These two species comprised 31.9% and 27.3% of all the isolates.

There is a dearth of studies concerning long term impact of dye-containing effluents on soil mycobiota. Kousar and Charya (2002) reported *Aspergillus niger* and *Mucor mucedo* from dye-containing soils. Raju *et al.* (2007) isolated *Aspergillus flavus*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *F. moniliforme* and *Trichoderma* sp. from soil samples around the textile industries of Hanjanand (Karnataka). Moturi and Singara Charya (2009) found *Mucor mucedo* to be predominant fungus in the soils around textile industries in Mangalagiri. Laxmi and Nikam (2015) isolated *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. Niger*, *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Penicillium notatum* from textile dye effluents in Maharashtra. In a short term study, Kumar and Charaya (2012) found that soil treatment with malachite green (MG) solution caused marked decrease in the total number of isolates. However, *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. fumigatus* and *A. niger* could tolerate MG to a certain extent, and their populations were able to survive throughout the study. Kumar (2013), again in short term experiments, found that *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. fumigatus* could survive high concentrations of basic fuchsin. Thus, a reasonably good body of evidence favours the findings of the present study that *A. flavus*, *M. rouxii*, *R. oryzae* and *P. spinulosum* are able to flourish in soils polluted by heavy metals/dyes.

Bragulat *et al.* (1991) reported that basic fuchsin (500 ppm) could inhibit Zygomycetes to some extent but caused greater inhibition of Deuteromycetes. Ahmed *et al.* (2005) reported that 850 µg, 500 µg and 500 µg per ml concentrations of Ni, Cd and Cr were minimum inhibitory concentration for *Rhizopus* sp. while for *Aspergillus niger* these were only 400 µg, 150 µg and 350 µg. Zafar *et al.* (2007) calculated tolerance indices of a number of a species with respect to CO, Cr, Cu, Cd and Ni. *Rhizopus* sp. and *Penicillium* sp. were found to possess highest tolerance index — much higher than other fungal species. Joshi *et al.* (2011) found *A. niger* and *A. flavus* to be most resistant to Pb and Cr. Iram *et al.* (2013) worked out the tolerance indices of fungi to Pb and Cr. *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger* and *Humicola grisea* exhibited high level of resistance in the following order – *Aspergillus flavus* > *A. niger* > *Humicola grisea*. Rasool and Irum (2014) worked out the heavy metal-resistant fungi from Multan and Gujrawala (Pakistan). *A. versicolor*, *Penicillium* sp. and *A. flavus* were predominant fungi and were highly tolerant to Cu/Pb, Cr and Cd respectively. Dixit and Singh (2014) found *A. flavus*, *Mucor globosus* and *Penicillium* sp. were found to be most tolerant to heavy metals. On the basis of foregoing account, it may be prudent to assume that the dominance of *Rhizopus oryzae*, *Mucor rouxii*, *Aspergillus flavus* and *Penicillium spinulosum* in the present study may be attributed to their ability to tolerate heavy metals and dyes.

Extracellular sequestration (including biosorption) is also believed to be a factor contributing to the tolerance of fungal species to pollutants (Anahid *et al.*, 2011). The maximum number of isolates from metal-polluted soils in the present study belonged to *Rhizopus oryzae*. A sample survey of existing literature concerning biosorption of metals reveals that *Rhizopus* spp., are endowed with quite high metal-adsorbing capacities as follows: (i) Cd: 30-40.5 (Tobin *et al.*, 1984; Jin-Ming *et al.*, 2010); (ii) Pb: 55-66 (Volesky and Holan, 1995; Fourest and Roux, 1992);

(vii) Cr: 31 (Tobin *et al.*, 1984). *Aspergillus flavus* biomass is also known to be very good at biosorption of heavy metals: (i) (Pundir and Dastidar, 2010; Kumar *et al.*, 2014). *Mucor rouxii* has also been found to be quite efficient at biosorption of metals: (i) Cd: 6.94-27 (Fourest and Roux, 1992; Yan and Viraraghavan, 2000); (ii) Ni: 5.24, Zn: 4.84 and Pb: 17.13 (Yan and Viraraghavan, 2000). *Penicillium spinulosum* appeared to be least efficient of the four test species in metal biosorption: (i) Cd: 0.4 (Townesley *et al.*, 1966); (ii) Cu: 0.42 (Townesley *et al.*, 1966). Thus, it can be speculated that the potential of a fungus to adsorb heavy metals must be an important factor contributing to their ability to survive and flourish in soils.

The maximum number of isolates from dye-polluted soils belonged to *Rhizopus oryzae* closely followed by *Mucor rouxii* (but not *A. flavus*). *Rhizopus* spp. are known to be quite efficient at biosorption of many dyes including Bromophenol blue, Brilliant green, Methylene blue, Reactive black (Aksu and Tezer, 2000; Zeroual *et al.*, 2006; Aksu *et al.*, 2010; Lang *et al.*, 2013). Tyagi (2016) reported that the dead biomass of different fungal species tested can be placed in the following order as far as biosorption of basic fuchsin is concerned: *R.oryzae* > *S. cerevisiae* > *M. rouxii* > *A. flavus* > *P. spinulosum*. In the case of malachite green, the position appears to be quite different as follows: *R. oryzae* > *M. rouxii* > *S. cerevisiae* > *A. flavus* > *P. spinulosum*.

While extracellular biosorption of pollutants is regarded by many workers to be responsible for the tolerance of microbes to pollutants, some workers including Zafar *et al.* (2007) do not agree with this suggestion. In their opinion, there is a little, if any, correlation between metal tolerance and biosorption. The results of the present study, incidentally, do not favour outright rejection of the idea that the adsorption of metals or dyes does contribute, at least partially, to microbial tolerance to pollutants. However, this aspect of the problem requires further resolution and more in-depth studies need to be undertaken to arrive at some generalisation.

Another interesting finding was that the mucoraceous fungi were quite dominant in the soils under study; and out of 34 species identified; three species belonged to this group. A number of studies in the past (Charaya, 2006) have recorded paucity of Mucorales in India, as discussed earlier in this very section. But the results of the present study do indicate their moderate presence, perhaps in the polluted habitats.

REFERENCES

1. Abdel-Azeem, A.M., Abdel-Moneim, T.S., Ibrahim, M.E., Hassan, M.A.A. and M.Y. Saleh (2007). Effects of long-term heavy metal contamination on diversity of terricolous fungi and nematodes in Egypt – a case study. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* 186: 233–254.
2. Abu Hasan, H., Abdullah, S.R.S., Kofli, N.T. and S.K. Kamarudin (2012). Isotherm equilibria of Mn²⁺ biosorption in drinking water treatment by locally isolated *Bacillus* species and sewage activated sludge. *J. Environ. Manage.* 111: 34–43.
3. Ahmad, I., Zafar, S. and F. Ahmed (2005). Heavy metal biosorption potential of *Aspergillus* and *Rhizopus* sp. isolated from wastewater treated soil. *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Man.* 9: 123–126.
4. Aksu, Z. and S. Tezer (2000). Equilibrium and kinetic modelling of biosorption of Remazol Black B by *Rhizopus arrhizus* in a batch system: effect of temperature. *Process Biochem.* 36: 431–439.
5. Aksu, Z., Ertugrul, S. and G. Donmez (2010). Methylene blue biosorption by *Rhizopus arrhizus*: effect of SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate) surfactant on biosorption properties. *C. Hem. Eng. J.* 158: 474–481.
6. Anahid, S., Yaghmaei, S. and Z. Ghobadinejad (2011). Heavy metal tolerance of fungi. *Sci. Iran. C.* 18: 502–508.
7. Asamudo, N.U., Daba, A.S. and O.U. Ezeronyel (2005). Bioremediation of textile effluents using *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*. *Afr. J. Biotech.* 4: 1548–1553.

8. Athar, R. and M. Ahmad (2002). Heavy metal toxicity: effect on plant growth and metal uptake by wheat, and on free living *Azotobacter*. *Water Air Soil Poll.* 138: 165–180.
9. Barnett, H.L. and B.B. Hunter (1972). *Illustrated Genera of Imperfect Fungi*. Burgess Publishing Company. Minneapolis, Minnesota.
10. Bhole, B.D., Ganguly, B., Madhuram, A., Deshpande, D. and J. Joshi (2004). Biosorption of methyl violet, basic fuchsin and their mixture using dead fungal biomass. *Curr. Sci.* 86: 1641–1644.
11. Bragulat, M.R., Abarca, M.L., Bruguera, M.T. and F.J. Cabanes (1991). Dye as fungal inhibitors: effect on colony diameter. *Appl. Env. Microbio.* 57: 2777–2780.
12. Casieri, L., Prigione, V., Anastasi, A., Tigini and G.C. Varese (2008). Metabolism-independent biosorption of industrial dyes by fungal biomasses revealed by batch biosorption experiments and confocal microscopy. *Int. J. Chem. Eng.* 1: 273–287.
13. Charaya, M.U. (2006). Successive microbial colonization of wheat straw decomposing under different situations. *J. Ind. Bot. Sci.* 85: 121–134.
14. Dixit, S. and D.P. Singh (2014). Role of free living, immobilized and non-viable biomass of *Nostoc muscorum* in removal of heavy metals: an impact of physiological state of biosorbent. *Cell Mol. Biol.* 60: 110–118.
15. Domsch, K.H. and W. Gams (1972). *Fungi in Agricultural Soils*. Longman, London.
16. Domsch, K.H., Gams, W. and T. Anderson (1980). *Compendium of Soil Fungi*. Vols. I & II. Academic Press, London, N.Y.
17. Dushenkov, V., Kumar, P.B.A.N., Motto, H. and I. Raskin (1995). Rhizofiltration: the use of plants to remove heavy metals from aqueous streams. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 29: 1239–1245.
18. Dutta, P.K. (1994). An overview of textile pollution and its remedy. *Ind. J. Environ. Protec.* 14: 443–446.
19. Dwivedi, S.N., Mishra, R.P. and S. Alava (2012). Phytochemistry pharmacological studies and traditional benefits of *Trachyspermum ammi* (Linn.) *Sprague. Int. J. Pharm. Life Sci.* 5, 1705–1709.
20. Ellis, M.B. (1971). *Dematiaceous Hyphomycetes*. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, Surrey, England.
21. Ellis, M.B. (1976). *More Dematiaceous Hyphomycetes*. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, Surrey, England.
22. El-Shafey, E.I. (2010). Removal of Zn(II) and Hg(II) from aqueous solution on a carbonaceous sorbent chemically prepared from rice husk. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 175: 319–327.
23. Fourest, E. and J. C. Roux (1992). Heavy metals biosorption by fungal mycelial by-products: mechanism and influence of pH. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 37: 399–403.
24. Garcia, R., Campo, J., Cruz, J.A., Calderon, M.E., Raynal, M.E. and Y.G. Buitron (2016). Biosorption of Cd, Cr, Mn, and Pb from aqueous solutions by *Bacillus* sp. strains isolated from industrial waste activate sludge. *Revista Especializada en Ciencias Químico-Biológicas* 19: 5–14.
25. Gilman, J.C. (1957). *A Manual of Soil Fungi*. Iowa State University Press, U.S.A.
26. Gochenaur, S.E. and M.P. Backus (1967). Mycoecology of willow and cottonwood lowland communities in Southern Wisconsin-II. *Mycologia* 59: 893–901.
27. Grayson, M. and K. Othumer (1978). *Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology*, 43rd ed., John Wiley & Sons.
28. Guo, Y., Zhang, H., Tao, N., Liu, Y., Qi, J., Wang, Z. and H. Xu (2003). Adsorption of malachite green and iodine on rice husk-based porous carbon. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 82: 107–115.
29. Herawati, N., Susuki, S., Hayashi, K., Rivai, I.F. and H. Koyama (2000). Cadmium, copper and zinc levels in rice and soil of Japan, Indonesia and China by soil type. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 64: 33–39.
30. Iram, S., Ahmad, I., Javed, B., Yaqoob, S., Akhtar, K., Kazmi, M.R. and B.U. Zaman (2009). Fungal tolerance to heavy metals. *Pak. J. Bot.* 41: 2583–2594.

31. Iram, S., Zaman, A., Iqbal, Z. and R. Shabbir (2013). Heavy metal tolerance of fungus isolated from soil contaminated with sewage and industrial wastewater. *Pol. J. Environ. Stud.* 22: 691–697.
32. Jin-Ming, L., Xiao, X. and L. Sheng-lian (2010). Biosorption of cadmium(II) from aqueous solutions by industrial fungus *Rhizopus cohnii*. *Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China* 20: 1104–1111.
33. Joseph, N.T., Chinonye, O.E., Philomena, I.K., Christian, A.C. and O.C. Elijah (2016). Isotherm and kinetic modelling of adsorption of dyestuffs onto kola nut (*cola acuminata*) shell activated carbon. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Metallurgy* 51: 188–201.
34. Joshi, P.K., Swarup, A., Maheshwari, S. Kumar, R. and N. Singh (2011). Bioremediation of heavy metals in liquid media through fungi isolated from contaminated sources. *Indian J. Microbiol.* 51(4): 482–487.
35. Kabbout, R. and S. Taha (2014). Biodecolorization of textile dye effluent by biosorption on fungal biomass materials. *Physics Procedia* 55: 437–444.
36. Kousar, N. and M.A. Charya (2002). Decolourisation of Textile and Dye Amended Soils by Fungi. *Indian J. Environ*, 2002, 44(1): 65–70.
37. Kumar, P. (2013). *Studies on the factors influencing the biosorption of dyes by certain fungal species* (Ph.D. Thesis) C.C.S. University, Meerut, India.
38. Kumar, P. and M.U. Charaya (2012). Effect of treatment with lead sulphate on soil mycobiota. *J. of Plant Development Sci.* 4: 89–94.
39. Kumar, P., Singh, P., Dhir, B., Sharma, A.K. and D. Mehta (2014). Potential of some fungal and bacterial species in bioremediation of heavy metals. *Journal of Nuclear Physics, Material Sciences, Radiation and Applications* 1: 213–223.
40. Lang, W., Buakaew, P., Buranaporipan, W., Wongchawalit, J., Sakairi, N., Vanichsiratana, W. and S. Sirisansaneeyakul (2013). Biosorption of local textile dyes onto acid tolerant macro-beads of chitosan-immobilized *Rhizopus arrhizus* biomass. *Kasetsart J.* 47: 101–114.
41. Laxmi, S. and T.D. Nikam (2015). Decolorisation and Detoxification of Widely Used Azo Dyes by Fungal Species Isolated from Textile Dye Contaminated Site. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci* 4(4): 813–834.
42. Mehra, S.S. (2013). *Studies on certain aspect of metals and dyes sorption of soil fungi*. Ph.D. Thesis, C.C.S. University, Meerut, India.
43. Moorthi, P.S., Selvam, P., Sasikalaveni, S., Murugesan, A. and P.T. Kalaichelvan (2007). Decolorization of textile dyes and their effluents using white rot fungi. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 6: 424–429.
44. Moturi, B. and M.A. Singara Charya (2009). Decolourisation of crystal violet and malachite green by fungi. *Sci. World J.* 4: 28–33.
45. Nagamani, A., Kunwar, I.K. and C. Manoharachary (2006). *Handbook of Soil Fungi*. I.K. International Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, Mumbai, Bangalore.
46. Nigam, P., Armour, G., Banat, I.M., Singh, D. and R. Marchant (2000). Physical removal of textile dyes from effluents and solid state fermentation of dye-adsorbed agricultural residues. *Bioresour. Technol.* 72: 219.
47. Pundir, R. and M.G. Dastidar (2010). Recovery of Cu and Ni ions from metals loaded fungal biomass using acidic eluent. *International Journal of Chemical and Environmental Engineering* 1: 63–66.
48. Raju, N.S., Venkataramana, G.V., Girish, S.T., Raghvendra, V.B. and P. Shivashankar (2007). Isolation and evaluation of indigenous soil fungi for decolourization of textile dyes. *J. Appl. Sci.* 7: 298–301.
49. Rao, K.S., Mohapatra, M., Anand, S. and P. Venkateswarlu (2010). Review on cadmium removal from aqueous solutions. *International Journal of Engineering, Science and Technology* 2: 81–103.
50. Rasool, A. and S. Irum (2014). Toxic metal effect on filamentous fungi isolated from the contaminated soil of Multan and Gujranwala. *J. Bioresource Manage.* 1: 38–51.
51. Riker, A.J. and R.S. Riker (1936). *Introduction to Research on Plant Diseases*. John S. Swift Co., St. Louis, Mo.
52. Saksena, S.B. (1955) Ecological factors governing the distribution of soil microfungi in some forest soil of Sagar. *J. Ind. Bot. Soc.* 34: 262–298.

53. Salim, R., Al-Subu, M.M. and E. Sahrhage (1992). Uptake of cadmium from water by beech leaves. *J. Environ. Sci. Health*. 27: 603– 627.
54. Singh, P.N. and M.U. Charaya (1975). Soil fungi of sugarcane field at Meerut. Distribution of soil mycoflora. *Geobios* 2: 40–43.
55. Son, B.C., Park, K., Song, S.H. and J.Y. Young (2004). Selective biosorption of mixed heavy metal ions using polysaccharides. *Kor. J. Chem. Eng.* 21: 1168–1172.
56. Subramanian, C.V. (1971). *Hyphomycetes*. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
57. Tobin, T.M., Cooper, D.G., and R.J. Neufeld (1984). Uptake of metals ion by *Rhizopus arrhizus* biomass. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 47: 821.
58. Townsley, C.C., Ross, I.S. and A.S. Atkins (1986). Biorecovery of metallic residues from various industrial effluents using filamentous fungi. In "*Fundamental and Applied Biohydrometallurgy*" (eds., Lawrence, R.W., Branion, R.M.R. and H.G. Ebner). Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp: 279–289.
59. Tunalı, A.S., Arslan, S., Alp, T., Arslan, D. and T. Akar (2012). Biosorption potential of the waste biomaterial obtained from Cucumis melo for the removal of Pb²⁺ ions from aqueous media: Equilibrium, kinetic, thermodynamic and mechanism analysis. *Chem. Eng. J.* 185–186: 82–90.
60. Tyagi, A. (2016). *Studies on certain parameters influencing biosorption of dyes and metal by fungal biomass*. Ph.D. Thesis, C.C.S. University Meerut, India.
61. Volesky, B. and Z.R. Holan (1995). Biosorption of heavy metals. *Biotechnol Prog.* 11: 235–250.
62. Waksman, S.A. (1917). Is there any fungal flora of the soil? *Soil Sci.* 2: 103–155.
63. Walton, P.H. (1995). *Chemistry of Waste Minimization* (ed., Clarke, J.H.). Blakie Academic and Professional, New York, pp: 463–493.
64. Yan, G. and T. Viraraghavan (2000). Effect of pretreatment on the biosorption of heavy metals on *Mucor rouxii*. *Water. S.A.* 26: 119–123.
65. Zafar, S., Aquil, F. and I. Ahmad (2007). Metal tolerance and biosorption potential of filamentous fungi isolated from metal contaminated agricultural soil. *Bioresour. Technol.* 98: 2557–2561.
66. Zeroual, Y., Kim, B.S., Kim, C.S., Blaghen, M. and K.M. Lee (2006). Biosorption of Bromophenol blue from aqueous solutions by *Rhizopus stolonifer* biomass. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 177:135–46.